Foreign Direct Investment and Advertising in the Frozen Potato Industry

Joseph F. Guenthner, D. Kent Lanclos, and Stephen Devadoss

A.E. Research Series No. 95-16

December 1995

Joseph F. Guenthner is a professor, D. Kent Lanclos a post-doctoral research associate, and Stephen Devadoss an assistant professor, all in the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology, University of Idaho.

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary1 |
|---|
| Introduction |
| Methods |
| Results |
| Discussion |
| References |
| Table 1. Domestic Demand for US Frozen Potatoes |
| Table 2. Export Demand for US Frozen Potatoes |
| Table 3. Impact of an Additional Dollar of US Potato Board Advertising 11 |
| Table 4. Impact of an Additional Dollar of Third Party Advertising |
| Table 5. Predicted US Frozen Potato Exports in 2000 |
| Figure 1. US Frozen Potato Exports to Japan |
| Appendix Table 1. Frozen Potato Data - Japan |
| Appendix Table 2. Frozen Potato Data - Mexico |
| Appendix Table 3. Frozen Potato Data - Philippines |
| Appendix Table 4. Frozen Potato Data - Thailand |
| Appendix Table 5. Frozen Potato Data - United States |

FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT AND ADVERTISING IN THE US FROZEN POTATO INDUSTRY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Consumer preference for meals away from home, particularly in fast food restaurants, has increased domestic demand for frozen fries. Development activities by US fast food and potato industries are leading consumers in some export markets to develop similar preferences. The objective of this study was to determine the impacts on frozen potato markets of US Potato Board advertising and US fast food industry investment.

We analyzed US frozen potato exports to four countries -- Japan, Mexico, Philippines and Thailand -- representing four tiers of export volume. Export demand equations were estimated using ordinary least squares with data for the 1978-93 period. Domestic demand functions were estimated for retail and foodservice markets using 1970-93 data.

Results suggest a strong link between the fast food industry and demand for frozen potatoes. The number of McDonald's restaurants was a proxy variable to represent foreign direct investment in the fast food industry. The highest returns for this variable were in Japan and Mexico, the tier 1 and 2 countries.

We found that each dollar of US Potato Board advertising returns the following in terms of grower sales: Japan \$11, Mexico \$167, Philippines \$76, Thailand \$24 and US \$7. Returns are lowest in the mature markets (Japan, US) and the smaller, newer market (Thailand). Returns were highest in Mexico (tier 2) and Philippines (tier 3).

Potato Board export programs also include third party advertising by processors and restaurants. Each dollar of third party advertising returns the following to growers sales: Japan \$91, Philippines \$221 and Thailand \$57. The Board did not conduct a third party program in Mexico during the study period. Board efforts to encourage third party programs multiply the impact of its expenditures.

We estimated export growth curves for all four markets, using time as the explanatory variable. We then used the quadradic equations to predict the following rates of growth in exports from 1993 to 2000: Japan 74 percent, Mexico 164 percent, Philippines 169 percent and Thailand 161 percent.

The forecast is certainly optimistic for future growth of US frozen potato exports. However, the keys to getting foreign markets on these steep growth curves are foreign direct investment by the US fast food industry and US Potato Board advertising.

INTRODUCTION

The US frozen potato industry has grown rapidly. Processors packed 0.9 billion pounds of frozen potatoes in 1963, 2.7 billion pounds in 1973, 4.5 billion pounds in 1983 and 7.3 billion pounds in 1993. Production increased eight-fold in three decades. About 85% of the 1993 frozen potato pack was fries. Exports accounted for 8 percent of production in 1994, an increase of nearly 90 percent in five years (USDA Potato Facts).

US consumers enjoy the convenience of frozen fries, especially when they buy them at restaurants. Americans spend half their food money on meals away from home, but consume nearly 90 percent of frozen fries in the away-from-home market. The increasing popularity of fast food restaurants is the driving force behind the growth in demand for frozen potatoes. Although some restaurants use fresh potatoes for fries, McDonald's, Burger King and most of the large fast food chains use the frozen product.

Foreign consumers are developing similar preferences for hamburger-and-fries meals at US-style fast food restauraunts. In 1993 McDonald's opened 324 new restaurants in the US and 576 in other countries. Since 1989 the company has grown more rapidly in foreign markets than in the US (McDonald's annual reports). Fast food firms can purchase frozen fries locally in some of those markets, such as Western Europe. In other markets, such as the Asian Pacific Rim, they rely on frozen potatoes imported from the US.

The US government has helped the potato industry in overseas markets. Beginning in 1986 the US Potato Board received money from USDA's Targeted Export Assistance (TEA) program. The Board used the initial \$2 million and subsequent grants to build potato advertising and promotion programs in the Asian Pacific Rim, with an emphasis on frozen potatoes.

Funding of agricultural export assistance programs has been diminishing and may disappear altogether. The Potato Board has responded by increasing internal spending on export programs and by developing joint promotion programs with US potato processors and fast food firms in some countries. The Board would like to know where their potato promotion programs have the biggest impact on frozen potato sales.

Other researchers have conducted studies on frozen potato exports. Guenthner, Jones, Gentillon and Withers (1990) analyzed Japanese at-home and away-fromhome markets for frozen potatoes. They concluded that US Potato Board advertising and the growth of the Japanese fast food industry helped increase demand, but they did not quantify the impacts. Lin, Guenthner and Levi (1991) analyzed Japanese imports of frozen potatoes during the 1978-89 period. They found that consumer preferences changed in favor of imported frozen potatoes, especially in more recent years. This study, however, did not examine the influences of the fast food industry and advertising.

Gao and Guenthner (1993) found that price, consumer income and currency exchange rates influenced Japanese imports of frozen potatoes during the 1978-90 period. They used a mathematical model of growth through time to predict the exports of frozen potatoes to the rest of the world. They found that US demand for frozen potatoes was influenced by processor advertising and Potato Board advertising.

Jones and Ward (1989) and Guenthner, Levi and Lin (1992) also found positive impacts of advertising on US frozen potato markets. None of the researchers estimated the impact on frozen potato demand of US Potato Board advertising in foreign markets or the impact of foreign direct investment in the fast food industry.

The objectives of this study were to:

- (1) estimate domestic and foreign demand functions for US frozen potatoes,
- (2) determine impacts of US Potato Board advertising and US fast food investments,
- (3) evaluate returns to US Potato Board advertising in different markets.

METHODS

We put the countries that import US frozen potatoes into four tiers based on 1993 import volume. Japan is alone in the first tier as the largest importer by a wide margin. The second tier consists of Hong Kong, Mexico and South Korea. Tier three includes Australia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore and Taiwan. The fourth tier includes China, India, Indonesia and Thailand as well as smaller customers. All of the countries listed above, except India and Mexico are in the Asian Pacific Rim.

We selected one representative country from each of the four tiers. The countries and their 1993 imports of US frozen potatoes (million lb) are:

| Tier One | Japan | 281.7 |
|------------|-------------|-------|
| Tier Two | Mexico | 18.8 |
| Tier Three | Philippines | 13.8 |
| Tier Four | Thailand | 5.1 |

We estimated demand equations using ordinary least squares covering the 1970-93 period for US retail and foodservice markets and 1978-93 for the four foreign markets. Foreign market price and quantity data were not available before 1978.

Demand theory focuses on own-price and four factors that can shift the demand for a product: (1) population, (2) consumer income, (3) prices of other goods and (4)

tastes and preferences. We attempted to include own-price as well as variables in all four demand shifter categories.

The US Bureau of Census provided population data for all of the markets. Per capita quantity variables captured the influence of population, but total population was included as a potential explanatory variable. We also considered another population variable, the percentage of the population in the 0 to 29 age group, hypothesizing that demand for frozen potatoes would be higher when the percentage of "young" people increases.

The US Department of Commerce provided consumer income data. In the export market we used gross domestic product (GDP) as a proxy for consumer income. We hypothesized that frozen potatoes are a normal good. We expected a positive coefficient, indicating that as income goes up, consumers purchase more frozen potatoes.

A variety of sources provided data on prices of other goods (see Appendix). We expected positive coefficients, indicating a substitute relationship, for fresh potatoes, rice and corn. We expected negative coefficients, indicating a complement relationship, for hamburger and beef.

Several advertising variables represented changes in tastes and preferences. One variable in the US market was annual advertising expenditures by frozen potato processors. Another variable was generic advertising expenditures by the US Potato Board together with state potato associations such as the Idaho Potato Commission. Although the generic advertising does not focus on frozen potatoes, we expected the coefficients of both variables to be positive.

There were also two types of advertising variables for the export markets. One variable was the annual amount of money spent by the US Potato Board for advertising in the country. The other variable was third party advertising for US frozen potatoes. This is from a Board program that develops joint advertising programs with fast food restaurants and US processors. We expected positive coefficients for all advertising variables.

We also used fast food variables to capture the influence of changing consumer tastes and preferences. In the US markets the variable was the annual sales for fast food restaurants. For the foreign markets we used the number of McDonald's restaurants in the country. We expected positive coefficients, hypothesizing that both variables would represent changing consumer preferences for away-from-home hamburger and fries meals.

Two other variables in the US markets represented tastes and preferences: the percentage of females in the labor force and the percentage of households that own microwave ovens. We hypothesized that an increase in female laborers would

increase the demand for frozen potatoes because women employed outside the home might prefer the convenience of frozen potatoes. We expected a negative coefficient for the microwave oven variable. Microwave ovens make it more convenient to prepare fresh potatoes, a substitute for frozen potatoes.

Complete data sets for all six markets, including variable definitions and sources, are in the appendix.

RESULTS

Table 1 contains the demand equations for the two US markets. The foodservice equation does not include a price variable because data was not available. The Bureau of Labor Statistics provides data for frozen fries in the retail market, but not the foodservice market. It would be difficult to estimate foodservice prices because of "meal deal" pricing strategies that include a sandwich, fries and a beverage for one price.

All coefficients in Table 1 have the expected signs. We dropped the female labor force variable from both equations because of incorrect signs. The advertising variables have mixed signs. Frozen advertising has a positive impact in the retail market where the advertising is targeted and a negative impact in the foodservice market. Apparently the advertising influences people to buy more frozen potatoes in the retail market at the expense of the foodservice market. Generic advertising has the opposite impact -- increased sales in the foodservice market but reduced sales in the retail market. Adding the two coefficients for generic advertising yields a net positive impact.

Table 2 shows demand equations for the four export markets. The equations are simple but powerful, with R² values ranging from 0.91 for Japan to 0.99 for Thailand. The equations contain only three variables: the number of McDonald's restaurants, US Potato Board expenditures, and third party advertising expenditures. The equation for Mexico does not include the third party advertising because the US Potato Board had not implemented that program in Mexico during the period of study.

We attempted to develop more complete demand equations for each country by using own-price and other variables to represent all four demand shifters. We were unsuccessful because of serious problems with incorrect coefficient signs, low t-values, multicollinearity and negligible improvement in explanatory power.

We used the equations in Table 1 and Table 2 for further analysis. It seems that tastes and preferences dominate the demand for frozen potatoes in the four countries. The two advertising variables and the number of McDonald's restaurants appear to be the driving forces that determine the demand for frozen potato imports

from the US. When the markets become more mature, consumer demand behavior may be more like that in the US demand equations.

Table 3 shows the impact of US Potato Board advertising in the US and the four export markets. Calculations are based on 1993 values of deflators and frozen potato prices in each country. The results show dramatic differences in the impact of US Potato Board advertising expenditures. The biggest impacts are in Mexico and Philippines, Tier 2 and Tier 3 markets where exports are growing rapidly. The market in Japan (Tier 1) is more mature, while the market in Thailand is quite new, with significant volume beginning only in 1991 (see Appendix Table 4). The impact in the US market is the smallest, but that is not surprising, since the Potato Board does not specifically target frozen potatoes in its domestic advertising programs.

Table 4 shows the impact of third party advertising for three of the export markets. The US Potato Board did not have a third party advertising program in Mexico during the period of study. Results of third party advertising are similar to US Potato Board advertising in that the impact is smaller in the Tier 1 and Tier 4 markets.

The impacts of both types of advertising are quite large, but the third party advertising is larger. For example, returns to growers for a dollar of Potato Board advertising in Japan is \$11, but the return to a dollar of third party advertising is \$91. Advertising programs that promote potato products as well as particular restaurants in which they can be purchased seem to be more effective.

Third party advertising programs multiply the impact of US Potato Board money invested in foreign markets. For each dollar of US Potato Board money spent in Japan during the 1991-93 period, \$1.70 was spent on advertising by third parties. The ratio was much larger in The Philippines: \$4.20 of third party advertising to \$1.00 of US Potato Board advertising. In Thailand the ratio was \$6.30 to \$1.00. Potato Board efforts that help raise third party advertising obviously provide benefits beyond those in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

Results of this study verify that advertising and foreign direct investment in the fast food industry are important factors in the demand for US frozen potatoes. We also found that US Potato Board advertising has the most impact in the countries that are in the middle ranks of total export volume.

We also found that the fast food variables influenced frozen potato demand in both the domestic and export markets. In the US markets the explanatory variable was the sales volume of the fast food industry. In the export markets the number of

McDonald's restaurants had a positive impact on imports of US frozen potatoes. The impact per restaurant was largest in Japan and Mexico, the Tier 1 and Tier 2 countries. Perhaps the impact per restaurant accelerates as the fast food industry becomes larger and has more influence on consumer tastes and preferences.

As a follow-up to estimating demand equations, we analyzed export volume through time. The graph for the most mature export market, Japan, shows a typical quadratic growth pattern (Fig 1). An equation that fits the Japan data well is:

Y = 1,712,546 - 1,742.95T + 0.443(T²) - 102D(2.28) (2.31) (6.68) R² = 0.97

where

Y = Japanese imports of US frozen potatoes (million lb)

T = Year

D = 1 for 1989 and 0 elsewhere (accounting for the 1989 US crop shortage).

We developed similar equations for the other three countries. Their growth patterns also fit quadradic equations well, with the following R² values: Mexico 0.83, Philippines 0.95 and Thailand 0.72. The fit with these markets is not as good as with Japan, probably because they are in the early stages of growth.

We expect that growth in the other export markets will follow a pattern similar to that in Japan. Per capita consumption of US frozen potatoes in 1993 was: Japan 2.26 lb, Mexico 0.21 lb, Philippines 0.21 lb and Thailand 0.09 lb. This suggests that the Tiers 2-4 countries have a lot of room for additional growth.

We used the quadradic equations to predict US frozen potato exports in the year 2000 (Table 5). A significant increase is forecasted in all four markets, ranging from 74 percent growth in Japan to more than 160 percent growth for the other markets. The forecast is certainly optimistic for future growth of US frozen potato exports.

The two catalysts for growth are advertising and foreign direct investment in the fast food industry. The US Potato Board has done an excellent job of bringing together these two forces by developing third party advertising programs, which multiplies the impact of Board efforts. The results of this study suggest that the Board should continue to put new export markets on steep growth curves with help from the fast food industry.

REFERENCES

- Gao, Yanqing and Joseph F Guenthner. 1993. "The Global Demand for US Frozen Potatoes in Year 2000." *HortTechnology*, Vol 3, No. 2, pp 239-244.
- Guenthner, J F, J R Jones, J R Gentillon and R V Withers. 1990. The Potato Export Market. Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin No. 710, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho.
- Guenthner, J F, A E Levi and B-H Lin. 1992. Forecasting the Demand for Idaho Potatoes. Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin No. 734, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho.
- Lin, Biing-Hwan, Joseph F Guenthner and Annette E Levi. 1991. "Forecasting Japan's Frozen Potato Imports." *Journal of International Food & Agribusiness Marketing*, Vol 3(4), pp 55-67.
- McDonald's Corporation. 1987-1994, Annual Business Reports. Oak Brook, Illinois.
- United States Department of Agriculture. 1995. Potato Facts. Economic Research Service, USDA, Washington, DC.

Table 1. Domestic Demand for US Frozen Potatoes

| Variable | Foodservice | Retail |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Intercept | -2.77 (0.22) | 2.73 (3.52) |
| Price | | -133 (2.23) |
| Income | 1.71 (0.76) | 0.11 (1.43) |
| Substitute price - fresh potatoes | 7.44 (0.76) | 2.43 (4.32) |
| Complement price - hamburger | -0.05 (2.01) | |
| Microwave ovens | -0.05 (1.06) | -0.01 (4.31) |
| Fast food sales | 0.08 (0.69) | 0.01 (2.11) |
| Advertising - generic | 0.08 (2.34) | -0.002 (1.01) |
| Advertising - frozen | -0.007 (1.12) | 0.0003 (9.90) |
| R ² | 0.92 | 0.74 |
| F Value | 25.7 | 6.40 |

Dependent variable is billion pounds of frozen potatoes T-values are in parentheses Variable descriptions and units are in the appendix

Table 2. Export Demand for US Frozen Potatoes

| Variable | Japan | Mexico | Philippines | Thailand |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Intercept | -7.68 (0.30) | -0.13 (0.50) | 0.15 (0.36) | 0.015 (1.86) |
| McDonald's restaurants | 0.24 (3.63) | 0.23 (12.0) | 0.09 (2.13) | 0.0008 (0.24) |
| Advertising - USPB | 0.12 (0.11) | 2.32 (0.63) | 1.02 (0.42) | 0.28 (4.73) |
| Advertising - third party | 0.93 (1.02) | NA | 2.17 (3.59) | 0.58 (62.9) |
| R ² | 0.91 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| F Value | 38 | 320 | 120 | 20162 |

NA = not applicable; third party program was not conducted for Mexico Dependent variable is million pounds of frozen potatoes T-values are in parentheses

Variable descriptions and units are in the appendix

| Country | Quantity (Ib) | Processor Value | Grower Value |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Japan | 115 | \$37 | \$11 |
| Mexico | 1669 | \$559 | \$167 |
| Philippines | 761 | \$259 | \$76 |
| Thailand | 244 | \$78 | \$24 |
| United States | 70 | \$23 | \$7 |

Table 3. Impact of an Additional Dollar of US Potato Board Advertising

Note: assumes 1993 frozen potato prices, a 2:1 raw to final product conversion rate and a grower price of \$5.00 for raw product.

Table 4. Impact of an Additional Dollar of Third Party Advertising

| Country | Quantity (Ib) | Processor Value | Grower Value |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Japan | 915 | \$289 | \$91 |
| Mexico | NA | NA | NA |
| Philippines | 2213 | \$753 | \$221 |
| Thailand | 573 | \$183 | \$57 |

Note: assumes 1993 frozen potato prices, a 2:1 raw to final product conversion rate and a grower price of \$5.00 for raw product.

NA = not applicable; third party advertising program not conducted in Mexico.

Table 5. Predicted US Frozen Potato Exports in 2000

| Country | Actual 1993 | Predicted 2000 | Increase |
|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------|
| Japan | 281.7 | 489.5 | 74% |
| Mexico | 18.8 | 49.7 | 164% |
| Philippines | 13.8 | 37.1 | 169% |
| Thailand | 5.1 | 13.3 | 161% |

Note: Quantity is million pounds





Appendix Table 1. Frozen Potato Data - Japan

| Year | ER | GDP | DEFL | Ν | Q | Ρ | MCD | APB | AF3 | AGE | RICE | HAMB | FRESH |
|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| 1978 | 210 | 204 | 78.8 | 114.9 | 32.4 | 0.260 | 161 | 75 | 0 | 48.5 | 4380 | 501 | 156 |
| 1979 | 219 | 222 | 80.9 | 115.9 | 39.2 | 0.284 | 212 | 75 | 0 | 48.0 | 4390 | 514 | 160 |
| 1980 | 227 | 240 | 84.6 | 116.8 | 55.7 | 0.291 | 268 | 50 | 0 | 47.2 | 4427 | 567 | 198 |
| 1981 | 221 | 258 | 87.8 | 117.7 | 66.6 | 0.309 | 302 | 65 | 0 | 46.7 | 4578 | 600 | 247 |
| 1982 | 249 | 271 | 89.2 | 118.5 | 71.8 | 0.354 | 346 | 25 | 0 | 45.9 | 4776 | 617 | 220 |
| 1983 | 238 | 282 | 90.5 | 119.3 | 82.1 | 0.358 | 395 | 60 | 0 | 45.0 | 4921 | 630 | 209 |
| 1984 | 238 | 301 | 92.6 | 120.1 | 94.8 | 0.326 | 455 | 60 | 0 | 44.2 | 5078 | 634 | 259 |
| 1985 | 239 | 320 | 94.0 | 120.8 | 105.7 | 0.320 | 532 | 120 | 0 | 43.4 | 5220 | 650 | 199 |
| 1986 | 169 | 335 | 95.7 | 121.5 | 144.8 | 0.310 | 573 | 1860 | 275 | 42.6 | 5238 | 677 | 222 |
| 1987 | 145 | 348 | 95.7 | 122.1 | 177.6 | 0.321 | 604 | 1903 | 950 | 41.9 | 5235 | 706 | 238 |
| 1988 | 128 | 371 | 96.0 | 122.6 | 216.1 | 0.307 | 653 | 1703 | 1505 | 41.2 | 5167 | 705 | 231 |
| 1989 | 138 | 396 | 97.8 | 123.1 | 102.4 | 0.703 | 704 | 2884 | 1780 | 40.9 | 5328 | 735 | 230 |
| 1990 | 145 | 425 | 100.0 | 123.5 | 230.2 | 0.323 | 776 | 3528 | 2663 | 40.3 | 5364 | 759 | 261 |
| 1991 | 135 | 451 | 102.0 | 123.9 | 256.6 | 0.325 | 865 | 4257 | 5737 | 39.9 | 5373 | 771 | 312 |
| 1992 | 127 | 464 | 103.6 | 124.3 | 266.6 | 0.317 | 956 | 3193 | 5184 | 39.5 | 5489 | 799 | 280 |
| 1993 | 111 | 469 | 104.6 | 124.7 | 281.7 | 0.316 | 1040 | 2253 | 4598 | 39.2 | 5409 | 776 | 284 |

| DEFINITIONS: | SOURCES: |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| ER = currency exchange rate, yen/\$ | IMF |
| GDP = gross domestic product (proxy for income) | IMF |
| DEFL = price deflator | IMF |
| N = Population (million) | IMF |
| Q = quantity of frozen potatoes imported from US (million lb) | USDA ERS |
| P = price of frozen potatoes imported from US (\$/lb) | USDA ERS |
| MCD = number of McDonald's restaurants | McDonald's Annual Reports |
| APB = advertising by US Potato Board & industry (\$1,000) | US Potato Board |
| AF3 = advertsing by foreign third party (\$1,000) | US Potato Board |
| AGE = percent of the population that is 0 to 29 yr old | US Bureau of Census |
| RICE = price of rice | Japan Statistical Yearbook, 1978-93 |
| HAMB = price of hamburger, meals away from home | Japan Statistical Yearbook, 1973-93 |
| FRESH = price of fresh white potatoes | Japan Statistical Yearbook, 1973-93 |

Appendix Table 2. Frozen Potato Data - Mexico

| Year | ER | GDP | DEFL | N | Q | P | MCD | APB | AF3 | AGE | CORN | BEEF |
|------|--------|---------|------|-------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|--------|
| 1978 | 0.0228 | 2337 | 1 | 65.66 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71.9 | 128 | 1152.1 |
| 1979 | 0.0228 | 3068 | 1 | 67.52 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71.8 | 149 | 673.6 |
| 1980 | 0.0230 | 4470 | 1 | 69.66 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71.7 | 156 | 1000.0 |
| 1981 | 0.0245 | 6128 | 1 | 71.35 | 1.03 | 0.28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71.4 | 148 | 2163.7 |
| 1982 | 0.0564 | 9798 | 2 | 73.02 | 0.59 | 0.43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71.4 | 162 | 2000.0 |
| 1983 | 0.1201 | 17879 | 3 | 74.67 | 0.04 | 0.44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71.1 | 135 | 194.8 |
| 1984 | 0.1678 | 29472 | 5 | 76.31 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70.7 | 150 | 199.5 |
| 1985 | 0.2569 | 47392 | 7 | 77.94 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 70.5 | 148 | 211.0 |
| 1986 | 0.6118 | 79191 | 13 | 79.57 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 70.3 | 110 | 244.6 |
| 1987 | 1.3782 | 193312 | 31 | 81.20 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 69.9 | 79 | 177.8 |
| 1988 | 2.2731 | 390451 | 61 | 82.84 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 69.6 | 129 | 231.1 |
| 1989 | 2.4615 | 507618 | 77 | 84.49 | 0.49 | 0.68 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 69.3 | 131 | 225.1 |
| 1990 | 2.8126 | 686406 | 100 | 86.15 | 4.32 | 0.33 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 69.0 | 115 | 250 |
| 1991 | 3.0184 | 865166 | 122 | 87.84 | 7.31 | 0.35 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 68.4 | 126 | 267.7 |
| 1992 | 3.0949 | 1019156 | 139 | 89.54 | 14.63 | 0.32 | 56 | 20 | 0 | 68.1 | 141 | 299.1 |
| 1993 | 3.1156 | 112758 | 153 | 91.21 | 18.83 | 0.33 | 80 | 74 | 0 | 67.7 | 331 | 237.3 |

| DEFINITIONS: | SOURCES: |
|---|---------------------------|
| ER = currency exchange rate | IMF |
| GDP = gross domestic product (proxy for income) | IMF |
| DEFL = price deflator | IMF |
| N = Population | IMF |
| Q = quantity of frozen potatoes imported from US (million lb) | USDA ERS |
| P = price of frozen potatoes imported from US (\$/lb) | USDA ERS |
| MCD = number of McDonald's restaurants | McDonald's Annual Reports |
| APB = advertising US Potato Board & industry (\$1,000) | US Potato Board |
| AF3 = advertising by foreign third party (\$1,000) | US Potato Board |
| AGE = percent of the population that is 0 to 29 yr old | US Bureau of Census |
| CORN = price of imported corn | FAS |
| BEEF = price of imported beef | FAS |

Appendix Table 3. Frozen Potato Data - Philippines

| Year | ER | GDP | DEFL | Ν | Q | Р | MCD | APB | AF3 | AGE | RICE | BEEF |
|------|-------|------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| 1978 | 7.37 | 178 | 21.68 | 45.79 | 0.000 | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70.9 | NA | 848 |
| 1979 | 7.38 | 218 | 24.98 | 47.04 | 0.020 | 0.359 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70.8 | 625 | 1499 |
| 1980 | 7.51 | 244 | 26.60 | 48.32 | 0.053 | 0.525 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70.7 | 667 | 2150 |
| 1981 | 7.90 | 282 | 29.88 | 49.54 | 0.048 | 0.979 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 70.5 | 2000 | 2400 |
| 1982 | 8.54 | 317 | 32.48 | 50.78 | 0.036 | 0.331 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 70.3 | 923 | 2295 |
| 1983 | 11.11 | 369 | 37.10 | 52.06 | 0.082 | 0.367 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 70.2 | NA | 2446 |
| 1984 | 16.70 | 525 | 56.89 | 53.35 | 0.000 | NA | 8 | 0 | 0 | 70.0 | 448 | 2702 |
| 1985 | 18.61 | 572 | 66.92 | 54.67 | 0.000 | NA | 10 | 0 | 0 | 69.6 | 487 | 1681 |
| 1986 | 20.39 | 609 | 68.89 | 56.00 | 0.000 | NA | 12 | 0 | 0 | 69.3 | 199 | 1390 |
| 1987 | 20.57 | 683 | 74.06 | 57.36 | 0.448 | 0.263 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 68.8 | 433 | 1473 |
| 1988 | 21.10 | 799 | 81.20 | 58.72 | 1.660 | 0.293 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 68.6 | 399 | 1699 |
| 1989 | 21.74 | 925 | 88.54 | 60.10 | 2.592 | 0.553 | 25 | 29 | 119 | 68.1 | 279 | 1704 |
| 1990 | 24.31 | 1073 | 100.00 | 61.48 | 7.100 | 0.314 | 32 | 38 | 178 | 68.0 | 215 | 1808 |
| 1991 | 27.48 | 1244 | 116.55 | 62.87 | 8.971 | 0.329 | 38 | 47 | 225 | 67.6 | 555 | 1598 |
| 1992 | 25.51 | 1346 | 125.94 | 64.26 | 10.379 | 0.317 | 47 | 123 | 317 | 67.1 | 476 | 1628 |
| 1993 | 27.17 | 1458 | 134.11 | 65.65 | 13.831 | 0.335 | 50 | 112 | 570 | 66.8 | 444 | 1672 |

| SOURCES: |
|---------------------------|
| IMF |
| IMF |
| IMF |
| IMF |
| USDA ERS |
| USDA ERS |
| McDonald's Annual Reports |
| US Potato Board |
| US Potato Board |
| US Bureau of Census |
| FAS |
| FAS |
| |

Appendix Table 4. Frozen Potato Data - Thailand

| Year | ER | GDP | DEFL | Ν | Q | Р | MCD | APB | AF3 | AGE | RICE | BEE |
|------|------|------|-------|------|--------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|
| 1978 | 20.3 | 488 | 55.4 | 45.1 | 37.8 | 0.212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69.1 | 77.8 | 29.Ē |
| 1979 | 20.4 | 559 | 60.2 | 46.1 | 3.8 | 0.520 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68.9 | 82.6 | 33.2 |
| 1980 | 20.5 | 659 | 67.7 | 46.7 | 75.2 | 0.333 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68.6 | 92.7 | 48.8 |
| 1981 | 21.8 | 760 | 73.5 | 47.7 | 2.2 | 0.461 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68.2 | 117.0 | 55.7 |
| 1982 | 23.0 | 820 | 76.2 | 48.7 | 2.7 | 0.374 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67.8 | 115.4 | 56.7 |
| 1983 | 23.0 | 910 | 78.8 | 49.7 | 3.7 | 0.548 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67.3 | 109.8 | 56.4 |
| 1984 | 23.6 | 973 | 78.7 | 50.6 | 2.7 | 0.753 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66.7 | 109.2 | 56.7 |
| 1985 | 27.2 | 1014 | 79.3 | 51.6 | 0.0 | NA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66.0 | 106.5 | 50.7 |
| 1986 | 26.3 | 1095 | 81.6 | 52.5 | 0.0 | NA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 65.3 | 106.6 | 56.3 |
| 1987 | 25.7 | 1253 | 85.2 | 53.4 | 35.7 | 0.280 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 64.7 | 105.7 | 56.0 |
| 1988 | 25.3 | 1507 | 90.5 | 54.3 | 0.0 | NA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 64.1 | 128.7 | 57.5 |
| 1989 | 25.7 | 1776 | 95.2 | 55.2 | 8.2 | 0.735 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 63.2 | 141.2 | 63.6 |
| 1990 | 25.6 | 2051 | 100.0 | 56.1 | 31.6 | 0.442 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 62.5 | 146.8 | 66.2 |
| 1991 | 25.5 | 2368 | 105.8 | 56.9 | 1126.6 | 0.315 | 8 | 50 | 173 | 61.8 | 149.6 | 67.9 |
| 1992 | 25.4 | 2547 | 110.1 | 57.8 | 3614.0 | 0.311 | 16 | 110 | 625 | 61.1 | 153.9 | 70.1 |
| 1993 | 25.3 | 2739 | 114.6 | 58.6 | 5079.8 | 0.320 | 20 | 97 | 943 | 60.2 | 145.1 | 46.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| DEFINITIONS: | SOURCES: |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ER = currency exchange rate | IMF |
| GDP = gross domestic product (proxy for income) | IMF |
| DEFL = price deflator | IMF |
| N = Population | IMF |
| Q = quantity of frozen potatoes imported from US (1000 lb) | USDA ERS |
| P = price of frozen potatoes imported from US (\$/lb) | USDA ERS |
| MCD = number of McDonald's restaurants | McDonald's Annual Reports |
| APB = advertising by US Potato Board & industry (\$1,000) | US Potato Board |
| AF3 = advertising by foreign third party (\$1,000) | US Potato Board |
| AGE = percent of the population that is 0 to 29 yr old | US Bureau of Census |
| RICE = price of rice | Statistical Yearbook, Thailand |
| BEEF = price of beef | Statistical Yearbook, Thailand |

Appendix Table 5. Frozen Potato Data - United States

| YEAR | AF | AG | CPI | DPI | FAST | FRESH | FLF | MW | Ν | PFS | PHB | PR | QFS | QR | QR | RICE |
|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-----|-------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1970 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 38.8 | 9.88 | 6.19 | 9.0 | 43.3 | 0.0 | 204 | 41.9 | 46.9 | 29.5 | 1.52 | 579 | 709 | 0.23 |
| 1971 | 1.67 | 0.31 | 40.5 | 10.11 | 7.01 | 8.6 | 43.3 | 0.0 | 207 | 41.6 | 48.4 | 29.0 | 1.64 | 581 | 740 | 0.24 |
| 1972 | 1.34 | 0.12 | 41.8 | 10.41 | 8.04 | 9.3 | 43.7 | 0.0 | 210 | 43.9 | 52.7 | 29.6 | 1.71 | 532 | 781 | 0.24 |
| 1973 | 2.30 | 1.43 | 44.4 | 11.01 | 9.68 | 13.7 | 44.4 | 1.2 | 212 | 46.8 | 66.6 | 30.7 | 1.81 | 507 | 830 | 0.29 |
| 1974 | 4.01 | 1.76 | 49.3 | 10.83 | 11.36 | 16.6 | 45.3 | 2.2 | 214 | 60.7 | 67.5 | 39.6 | 1.85 | 583 | 803 | 0.45 |
| 1975 | 2.61 | 1.83 | 53.8 | 10.91 | 13.60 | 13.4 | 46.0 | 3.2 | 216 | 64.7 | 62.3 | 45.6 | 2.04 | 583 | 777 | 0.41 |
| 1976 | 1.76 | 1.42 | 56.9 | 11.19 | 16.35 | 14.6 | 47.0 | 5.1 | 218 | 65.7 | 61.6 | 48.8 | 2.16 | 638 | 794 | 0.38 |
| 1977 | 5.65 | 1.12 | 60.6 | 11.41 | 19.30 | 15.0 | 48.1 | 6.7 | 220 | 67.6 | 60.2 | 50.0 | 2.44 | 606 | 851 | 0.35 |
| 1978 | 7.58 | 2.23 | 65.2 | 11.85 | 22.22 | 14.0 | 49.5 | 7.1 | 223 | 71.0 | 76.2 | 53.8 | 2.69 | 591 | 859 | 0.40 |
| 1979 | 7.54 | 1.37 | 72.6 | 12.04 | 24.94 | 13.4 | 50.6 | 7.6 | 225 | 75.0 | 101.7 | 56.8 | 2.90 | 506 | 865 | 0.42 |
| 1980 | 7.78 | 1.10 | 82.4 | 12.01 | 28.70 | 19.1 | 51.3 | 14.3 | 228 | 78.9 | 104.6 | 53.5 | 2.56 | 610 | 898 | 0.51 |
| 1981 | 9.35 | 1.38 | 90.9 | 12.16 | 32.12 | 25.0 | 52.1 | 17.0 | 230 | 91.3 | 102.6 | 62.8 | 3.01 | 533 | 919 | 0.56 |
| 1982 | 10.12 | 2.20 | 96.5 | 12.15 | 35.36 | 21.1 | 52.7 | 20.7 | 232 | 100.0 | 102.1 | 64.5 | 3.00 | 516 | 896 | 0.50 |
| 1983 | 6.35 | 1.81 | 99.6 | 12.35 | 39.49 | 20.6 | 53.1 | 25.0 | 234 | 101.8 | 99.4 | 62.1 | 3.24 | 468 | 896 | 0.47 |
| 1984 | 8.94 | 2.28 | 103.9 | 13.03 | 43.72 | 24.2 | 53.7 | 36.0 | 236 | 108.2 | 98.4 | 67.3 | 3.23 | 501 | 896 | 0.48 |
| 1985 | 10.09 | 4.62 | 107.6 | 13.26 | 47.48 | 20.8 | 54.6 | 45.0 | 238 | 113.0 | 95.9 | 70.6 | 3.66 | 446 | 890 | 0.47 |
| 1986 | 12.92 | 3.70 | 109.6 | 13.55 | 50.48 | 24.1 | 55.5 | 58.0 | 241 | 113.5 | 94.8 | 69.6 | 3.83 | 548 | 877 | 0.45 |
| 1987 | 19.51 | 3.83 | 113.6 | 13.55 | 54.25 | 27.6 | 56.2 | 66.0 | 243 | 115.2 | 100.1 | 69.0 | 3.77 | 561 | 881 | 0.40 |
| 1988 | 41.38 | 2.18 | 118.3 | 13.89 | 61.40 | 26.1 | 56.8 | 76.0 | 245 | 114.9 | 103.4 | 69.8 | 3.94 | 585 | 912 | 0.48 |
| 1989 | 21.30 | 3.50 | 124.0 | 14.01 | 65.78 | 34.2 | 57.7 | 83.0 | 247 | 119.4 | 108.6 | 75.1 | 4.25 | 633 | 971 | 0.50 |
| 1990 | 26.99 | 5.73 | 130.7 | 14.07 | 69.46 | 37.1 | 57.9 | 87.0 | 250 | 125.5 | 118.1 | 83.6 | 4.35 | 729 | 971 | 0.50 |
| 1991 | 20.25 | 4.86 | 136.2 | 13.89 | 71.89 | 33.0 | 57.1 | 90.0 | 253 | 127.8 | 119.9 | 85.3 | 4.50 | 686 | 963 | 0.50 |
| 1992 | 5.76 | 3.02 | 140.3 | 14.04 | 75.63 | 30.5 | 58.4 | 92.0 | 255 | 126.1 | 118.9 | 86.9 | 4.74 | 614 | 961 | 0.53 |
| 1993 | 16.18 | 6.48 | 144.5 | 14.34 | 80.24 | 35.0 | 58.4 | 94.0 | 258 | 134.7 | 120.1 | 86.0 | 5.08 | 633 | 976 | 0.51 |

DEFINITIONS:

AF = Advertising for frozen potatoes (\$million) AF = Advertising for potatoes, generic (\$million) CPI = consumer price index (1982-84 = 100) DPI = disposable per capita income (1987 \$1,000) FAST = revenue of fast food restaurants (\$ billion) FRESH = retail price of fresh white potatoes (cents/lb) FLF = female (at least 20 years old) particpation in labor force MW = percentage of homes with microwave ovens N = US population July, including military (millions) PFS = producer price index, frozen french fries (1982 = 100) PHB = retail hamburger price index PR = retail price of frozen french fries (cents/lb) QFS = quantity of frozen french fries, foodservice (billion lb) QR = quantity of frozen french fries, retail (million lb) RICE = retail price of white, long grain uncooked rice (\$/lb)

SOURCES:

Leading National Advertisers Leading National Advertisers US Dept of Commerce US Dept of Commerce National Restaurant Asociation US Dept of Commerce, BLS US Dept of Commerce US Energy Information Administration US Dept of Commerce, BLS American Frozen Food Institute, USDA American Frozen Food Institute, USDA