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## Introduction

Public land ranchers are directly affected by the decisions and policies of federal and state land agencies. Grazing policy can impact ranchers in at least five general ways. First, the cost of grazing on public lands can increase. This is the obvious controversy about grazing fees and proposed grazing restrictions that would increase the non-fee cost of public land use. Second, policy restrictions can decrease the total number of Animal Unit Months (AUMs) of grazing that can be grazed on federal lands. With a "shortage" of public land AUMs, there may be a tendency to increase lease rates on private land grazing resources. Third, the seasonal availability of forage use allowed on public lands may change. Some allotments have traditionally been grazed by specific classes of livestock and changing these classes is a fourth way that land use policies affect public land ranchers.

A fifth policy impact is the uncertainty created when the future direction of grazing fees and land use policies is undefined for an extended period. This has been the situation since at least 1986 when the debate about grazing fees was renewed with the release of new grazing fee studies. A continual stream of new grazing fee and land use policy proposals followed. Future policies and the accessibility of public lands for grazing are uncertain as controversies over the management of public lands continue.

The common denominator for estimating the economic impacts of policy changes on public land ranchers is the cost and return structure of those ranches. Cost and return estimates have been done for many years by the western land grant university system. The University of Idaho began gathering and reporting this information for farms and ranches as part of a research project in the mid-1970's. Crop cost and return estimates were gathered for various regions of the state through face to face interviews with farmers. During the late 1970's and early 1980's
maintenance and updating of these budgetary files became a cooperative Extension function, utilizing the support and expertise of county and specialist faculty. The system currently supports updates for 79 crop enterprises and 26 livestock enterprises on an alternating year basis (i.e. livestock are updated in even-numbered years and crops in odd-numbered years). Detailed technical updates on all budgets are done at least once every 5 years through surveys and producer panels (Smathers, et al. 1997).

## Background

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is currently preparing a Resource Management Plan (RMP) for the Owyhee Resource Area in southwestern Idaho. This RMP sets public policy for 1.3 million acres of public land for at least the next 10 years and decisions made under it will affect recreational users, ranchers, and others. The RMP is not without controversy. The Idaho Watershed Project and the Committee for Idaho's High Desert (groups representing environmental interests concerned about grazing) brought suit in U.S. District Court against the BLM in 1997 to force the complete closure of public lands to grazing until the completion of the RMP. The judge's decision (Winmill, 1998) did not halt grazing on the Owyhee Resource Area and in fact allowed livestock turnout in 1998. Yet, it mandated the completion of the RMP in a timely fashion. A key component relating to the completion of the RMP was the necessity to undertake an economic and social assessment of the county. The University of Idaho was contracted by the BLM and other parties to perform this analysis.

The University of Idaho study includes estimating ranch-level cost and returns, development of a regional Input-Output (I/O) model and an assessment of the social environment in the county. This paper reports on the development of ranch-level cost and return estimates and their potential use in assessing economic impacts from changes in BLM grazing policy.

## Procedure

Ranch cost and return estimates were derived using a series of 4 producer panels in Owyhee County. Panel members were identified through the County Extension faculty and the local livestock association. Invitations were mailed with background material related to cost and return estimates prior to the scheduled meetings. Four sessions were held during May and June 1998 at Pleasant Valley School (near Jordan Valley, Oregon), Marsing, Bruneau and Three Creek. At the scheduled meetings, input was solicited about ranch resources (feed, labor, land, equipment), livestock classes, sale weights and numbers and operating procedures (veterinary program, marketing). This information was used to develop ranch budgets for "typical" ranch operations in each area in the county. The resulting ranch budgets initially developed from the sessions were returned by mail to individuals on the respective producer panels for review and modification. Final budgets were prepared during the fall of 1998.

Based upon input from the 4 groups, it was decided to prepare budgets for 4 different management scenarios. These included: a 300 head cow-calf operation, using federal, state and private rangeland resources and winter feeding (budget subsequently designated as Jordan); a 500 head cow-calf operation using federal, state and private rangeland resources and winter feeding (Marsing); and two separate budgets for a 500 head cow-calf operation, using federal, state and private rangelands, with winter grazing permits on federal lands (Bruneau and Three Creek). The smaller ( 300 head) operation was most prevalent on the west side of the county (Owyhee Resource Area). The larger operations were centered from the middle of the county (Owyhee and Bruneau Resource areas) through the eastern half of the county, with winter grazing permits most prevalent in the eastern third of the county (Bruneau and Jarbidge areas). The cost and return estimates for the 4 different management scenarios are presented in the Appendix.

## Background

There are approximately 45,000 beef cows, or slightly less than 10 percent of Idaho's beef cow herd, in Owyhee County (USDA-NASS, 1998). It is uncertain how the cattle are distributed within the 3 BLM Resource Areas within the county. After a review of BLM resource area permittee lists, focus panel input, interviews conducted as part of the social survey and Census of Agriculture data, we developed the allocation of cattle numbers and ranches for the resource areas (Table 1).

Table 1. Estimates of number of ranches, beef cows and public land grazing use by resource area and budget category, Owyhee County, Idaho. 1998.

| BLM <br> Resource Area | Avg. Size | Ranches | Cows | AUM/cow | Est. | Permitted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AUMs | AUMs |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Owyhee

| Jordan | 280 | 25 | 7,000 | 6.99 | 48,930 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jordan | 350 | 12 | 4,200 | 6.99 | 29,358 |  |
| Marsing | 450 | 8 | 3,600 | 5.184 | 18,662 |  |
| Bruneau | 1000 | 2 | 2,000 | 9.072 | 18,144 |  |
| Total |  | 47 | 16,800 |  | 115,094 | 115,144 |

2. Bruneau

| Bruneau | 300 | 10 | 3,000 | 9.072 | 27,216 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bruneau | 500 | 14 | 7,000 | 9.072 | 63,504 |  |
| Bruneau | 1000 | 3 | 3,000 | 9.072 | 27,216 |  |
| Marsing | 400 | 3 | 1,200 | 5.184 | 6,221 |  |
| Total |  | 30 | 14,200 |  | 124,157 | 124,528 |

3. Jarbidge

| 3-Creek | 500 | 10 | 5,000 | 5.86 | 29,300 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bruneau | 300 | 4 | 1,200 | 9.072 | 10,886 |  |
| Bruneau | 500 | 7 | 3,500 | 9.072 | 31,752 |  |
| Bruneau | 1000 | 4 | 4,000 | 9.072 | 36,288 |  |
| Total |  | 25 | 13,700 |  | 108,226 | 108,796 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. County Total |  | 102 | 44,700 |  | 347,478 | 348,468 |

Livestock budgets recommended for use in the analysis, along with average herd size, numbers of ranches, total number of cattle, AUMs of BLM grazing use and total AUMs of grazing are presented under each resource area name. The Owyhee Resource Area (ORA) includes 25 ranches with an average herd size of 280 cows. There are 7,000 head of cows in this size category in the ORA that consume an average of 6.99 AUMs per cow per year (see Jordan budget in Appendix A). Total BLM forage used by these 25 operations is 48,930 AUMs per year. There are also 12 ranches with slightly larger herd size ( 350 cows) using the Jordan Valley budget, 8 operations with an average herd size of 450 cows (Marsing) and 2 large operations (1,000 cows each) using the Bruneau budget. The last column in the table summarizes BLM's reported permitted grazing use for each resource area. Estimates of grazing use derived through the livestock budgets (Est. AUMs) are very similar to BLM's permitted grazing use (Permitted AUMs). Allocations of cattle and AUMs of livestock use for the other 2 resource areas are also included in this table. Based upon this allocation process and the budget used, total BLM grazing in the county is estimated to be about 347,500 AUMs per year that is consumed by 44,700 head of beef cows.

Historically, sheep grazing had significant impacts on the resources, culture, economy, and social setting in Owyhee County. However, Idaho sheep numbers have declined significantly since World War II. Over the past 50 + years, Idaho sheep have declined to slightly under 300,000 head from about 1.5 million head (USDA-NASS, various issues). Reviews of historical accounts and local literature indicate similar trends have taken place with sheep numbers in Owyhee County. It appears from various sources (Census of Agriculture, NASS, permit lists, etc.) that there are only 4 or 5 range sheep operations that graze in the county and
only one operation is based there. Due to concerns about disclosure sheep costs, and returns are not included in this analysis.

There are 3 known commercial feedlots in the county and a number of ranch-based backgrounding lots that provide marketing alternatives and flexibility to county cow-calf producers. Nearly all of the cattle fed in these commercial lots are under custom feeding arrangements, with the producers retaining ownership of the cattle during the feeding phase. There is also a large feedlot in Elmore County (with an Owyhee County address) that purchases cattle from ranches. Although ties from the cattle producers to these feedlots are extremely critical, no attempt is made to assess them in this document, other than the transactions estimated in the I/O phase of the study. Feedlot budgets are prepared by the University and could be adapted to analyze that phase of the beef production cycle. In addition, a long-term extensionresearch project exists that could be used to analyze the economics of retained ownership decisions by cow-calf producers (Momont, et al. 1994, 1998).

Marketing decisions by cow-calf producers are made at weaning (usually fall) and when cull animals are sold (various times during the year). If producers background or retain ownership, another set of decisions are made during the feeding phase (sell short yearlings in winter), return yearlings to grass in the spring and market as long yearlings, or to feed through slaughter (Marousek, et al. 1992). All of these decisions are based on the management and financial flexibility of the individual operation, as well as available feed resources. To capture some of this variation in individual operations, four "model" ranches were constructed for cost and return analysis.

## Model Ranches

## Jordan Valley

The model ranch developed through this producer panel is a 300-head cow-calf operation centered in southwestern Idaho. Calves are born in February and March of each year, run with the cows on rangeland through the fall and marketed as weaned calves in November. Weaning percentage (calves weaned divided by the number of bred cows wintered) is 87 percent and the calves weigh 440 pounds for the steers and 390 pounds for the heifers, at weaning in the fall. Cull cows weigh 1,000 pounds and are usually marketed in June ( $25 \%$ ) and December ( $75 \%$ ). Cull bulls weigh 1,800 pounds and are marketed in July. Cull replacement heifers weigh 800 pounds when sold in November.

Cow replacement rate is 20 percent per year, with 18 percent of the cow herd sold as cull animals and a 2 percent death loss. The ranch runs 17 bulls and maintains 6 head of saddle horses. This is a family operation that is supplemented by seasonal hired labor during the summer farming and irrigation season.

Cattle are turned out on rangeland in mid-April and graze a mixture of BLM and state rangeland through October 15, when they are moved back to private land resources (crop aftermath) for 2 months. Winter feeding of grass hay (cows) and alfalfa hay (replacement heifers) starts in mid-December and runs through calving and turnout back onto public range in April. Replacement heifer calves are supplemented with a corn/barley mixture during the winter feeding period (See tables 4 and 5). Cows are worked in the fall at weaning, checked for pregnancy, and treated with a pour-on. Vaccinations are done in April, prior to turnout. Replacement heifers follow nearly the same veterinary program, with pregnancy checking done earlier in the year. Bulls are vaccinated in the spring, and tested for fertility and health. Calves
are vaccinated at branding in the spring and again in November at weaning. Replacement heifer calves are vaccinated for brucellosis in November.

The ranch operates with 2 tractors, a 4X4 pickup, 2 ton truck, 4 wheel ATV and the usual complement of feed wagons, vet equipment, stock trailer and other items. For all budgets, ownership costs of equipment and vehicles are calculated based upon the average value over the life of the asset. In other words, it is assumed that the asset is used and at the mid-point of its useful life. Operating expenses of the vehicles and equipment are calculated based upon annual hours of usage. Insurance and tax assessments are based upon established rates and assumed values of the facilities and equipment.

## Marsing

The model ranch developed through the producer panel in Marsing runs an average of 500 head of beef cows. Calves are born in February, March and April of each year, graze on rangeland in the spring through fall and are marketed as weaned calves in October and November. Weaning percentage is 88 percent and steer calves average 475 pounds and heifer calves average 422 pounds when sold. Cull bulls average 1,800 pounds when marketed in October. Cull cows weigh an average of 1,100 pounds when marketed in January and cull replacement heifers are sold in January at an average weight of 850 pounds.

Cow replacement rate is 17 percent per year ( 15 percent culled and 2 percent death loss). The ranch runs 25 bulls and 10 saddle horses. This is a family operation with 2 full-time employees and some additional seasonal labor during calving and winter feeding.

Cattle are turned out on public rangeland in mid-April and graze on federal and state lands through August. The cattle are moved to a mixture of private and state rangelands around September 1 where they graze until they are gathered and moved to the ranch, vaccinated and
checked for pregnancy status in early November. They graze crop aftermath until winter-feeding of hay starts in mid-December. Cows and replacement heifers are supplemented with a 20 percent protein mixture while on winter feed (see Marsing tables 4 and 5, Appendix A).

Veterinary care for calves include viral treatments and 8-way vaccinations given twice during the year and parasite treatments. Heifer calves are also vaccinated for bangs in the fall. Cows and replacement heifers are vaccinated for vibrio, lepto and treated for parasites. Ten percent of the cows and all of the replacement heifers are checked for pregnancy status in the fall. Bulls are given the same veterinary treatments as the cow herd, with the exception of pregnancy checks and the addition of trich testing.

The ranch operates with 24 X 4 pickups, one stock truck, two 80 hp tractors, a feed wagon and a stock trailer.

## Three Creek

The management scenario developed from the Three Creek producer panel involves a larger operation with more dependency on public land grazing resources. The ranch operates with a base herd of 500 cows, 25 bulls and 10 saddle horses. Most of the calves are born in March, but calving starts in February and stretches into April. Cattle are on federal range from mid-March through October when they are gathered, worked and placed on crop aftermath for November and December. Minimal feeding of hay takes in January through mid-March, while the cattle are on deeded, federal and state rangelands. Supplementation occurs in January through mid-October. Weaned steers and heifers average 415 pounds and 375 pounds, respectively, when marketed in November. Cull bulls average 1,600 pounds and are marketed in August. Cull cows have average weights of 1,100 pounds and are marketed in August and November. Replacement heifers that are culled in November and February, average 725 pounds.

The ranch operates with two 4X4 pickups, a stock truck, 2 tractors, a feed wagon, gooseneck trailer, a sedan and the usual complement of veterinary equipment and tack. It is a family operation, with two full-time hired employees and some part-time seasonal help.

## Bruneau

The Bruneau panel recommended another management scenario involving a 500-cow operation. Although similar to the Three Creek budget, there are enough differences in production, management and thus, costs and returns, to warrant preparation of a separate budget. The bulk of the calves are born in February and March and are marketed in October. There is an 86 percent weaned calf crop, with steer calves averaging 485 pounds and heifers at 445 pounds when sold in October. Cull bulls weigh 1,800 pounds and cull cows average 1,100 pounds when both are marketed in July and October. Replacement heifers weigh an average of 850 pounds and are marketed in April and October.

Cattle are on rangeland nearly year-around. Public range permits run from mid-March through October, when cattle are gathered, worked and moved to crop aftermath for 2 months. During the winter months, cows are run on a mixture of deeded, federal and state rangeland and heifers are kept on hay fields or deeded range. Protein supplementation is undertaken from January through October. Cows are supplemented with alfalfa/grass hay during January through mid-March. Heifers are fed hay from November through mid-March.

Similar to the Three Creek budget, the ranch operates with two 4X4 pickups, 2 tractors, a stock truck, a stock trailer, two ATVs (4 wheelers) and a sedan. This is a family operation that employs 2 full-time employees and some seasonal part time help during calving and haying.

## Cattle Prices

Cattle prices used in preparing the budgets were derived from the weekly Pacific Northwest Direct Sales database, available from USDA Livestock Market News. Prices for specific classes of animals (e.g. 400-450 pound medium frame steers) were averaged for each marketing month over the period of January, 1992 through May, 1998 and used in the respective budgets. The average prices used to develop cost and return estimates are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Cattle prices used in Owyhee County, Idaho cost and return estimates.

| Item | Price $(\$ /$ cwt $)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Steer Calves $(350-400 \mathrm{lbs})$ | $\$ 89.79$ |
| Steer Calves $(400-450 \mathrm{lbs})$ | $\$ 88.36$ |
| Steer Calves $(450-500 \mathrm{lbs})$ | $\$ 85.12$ |
|  |  |
| Heifer Calves $(350-400 \mathrm{lbs})$ | $\$ 79.58$ |
| Heifer Calves $(400-450 \mathrm{lbs})$ | $\$ 77.04$ |
| Heifer Calves $(450-500 \mathrm{lbs})$ | $\$ 74.82$ |
|  | $\$ 69.26$ |
| Replacement Heifers $(650-700 \mathrm{lbs})$ | $\$ 67.84$ |
| Replacement Heifers $(700-750 \mathrm{lbs})$ | $\$ 67.87$ |
| Replacement Heifers $(750-800 \mathrm{lbs})$ |  |
|  | $\$ 40.14$ |

## Results and Discussion

## Jordan Valley

Table A1 presents a modified cash-operating budget for the Jordan Valley model ranch.
The receipts and variable operating expenses sections of the budget show the sources and uses of cash generated by the business. Fixed expenses show the potential uses of cash for equipment replacement (capital recovery or depreciation), livestock investment, overhead and other items. In terms of receipts, the ranch sells 131 steer calves and 69 heifer calves each year, which amounts to 71 percent of the total gross receipts of $\$ 102,000(\$ 340 /$ cow $)$. Receipts from the sale
of cull cows, bulls and replacement heifers contribute 29 percent of the gross for the model ranch ( $\$ 30,000$, or $\$ 99 /$ cow $)$.

Operating expenses include feed, labor, veterinary expenses, interest and other miscellaneous expenses that vary with the level of production. Total operating expenses are $\$ 85,000(\$ 283 /$ cow $)$. Feed expenses associated with winter feed amount to nearly half of total operating costs (\$138/cow). Range, pasture and aftermath grazing account for another 13 percent of total operating expenses. Total feed expenses account for 60 percent of the variable operating expenses of this enterprise, with federal and state land grazing fees/leases being 4 percent of the total. Receipts over operating expense are nearly $\$ 17,000$ (\$56/cow). This amount remains to pay fixed costs associated with capital recovery (depreciation), taxes and insurance, overhead and other costs.

Ownership or fixed expenses do not vary with levels of production. These fixed expenses include items such as capital recovery (or, depreciation of fixed assets), property taxes, insurance and overhead. These non-cash expenses are faced by the operation whether the ranch produces cattle or not. Purchased breeding livestock, housing and improvements, machinery, equipment and vehicles are depreciable assets. An annual capital recovery cost can be assessed against them to allow for replacement of depreciable assets over time. Capital recovery values are based upon the initial values of the items and useful life of the assets. Insurance, taxes and overhead amounts were derived from individual ranch analyses conducted over the past 5 years in the University of Idaho FINPACK program (Hawkins, et al, 1993). The Idaho FINPACK program is an educational program on financial analysis that has resulted in a database of detailed individual farm and ranch financial statements. Total ownership expense amounts to \$61,000 (\$202/cow). Total expenses (direct operating and indirect ownership expenses) amount
to $\$ 145,000$ and returns to land, risk and management amount to $-\$ 44,000(-\$ 147 /$ cow $)$. Table A2 displays the investment summary used to develop these ranch budgets.

Table A3 presents a monthly summary of cash operating expenses and receipts. This table is based upon the information contained in Table A1, with allocations made relative to when sales and expenses occur. Although somewhat similar to a basic cash flow statement, it lacks information related to debt payments and inventory adjustments that would be included in a cash flow. This table does show the uneven flow of cash receipts and cash operating expenses (cash is generated only in July and November with the sale of livestock, while expenses vary from month to month) associated with a cattle operation. Months with negative net receipts indicate that expenses exceed receipts and the necessity to borrow operating capital to pay expenses. This table assumes that cash expenses are incurred when the resource is used in the production cycle. For example, the fees for federal range are incurred in April through November, when they may in fact be paid prior or subsequent to grazing, in one payment. Other feed expenses follow similar patterns, when actual purchases of hay or grain may occur only once or twice a year.

Table A4 presents the monthly feed requirements, by livestock class, for the model ranch. Winter feeding takes place from mid-December through mid-April. Federal and state range resources are grazed by the cows and calves, replacement heifers and bulls from mid-April through mid-October. Grazing of crop aftermath (hay meadows) occurs from mid-October through the start of winter feeding in mid-November. Feed used in this table is presented in terms of the units appropriate to the commodity (tons of hay, hundred weight of grain, AUMs of grazing, etc.). Table A5 converts all feeds used from a commodity basis (Table A4) to an AUM basis and may indicate potential shortages or surpluses during the year. In addition, this table
can be used to calculate dependency on federal and state forage resources. Total feed demanded by the livestock, converted to an AUM basis, amounts to 4,621 AUMs. Forage demanded by the livestock from federal and state resources (April through October) amount to 2239 AUMs. Thus, the dependency on federal and state forage is 48.4 percent, or nearly half of the total AUMs of livestock use are coming from federal and state land range resources (45 percent dependency on BLM). This table also forms the basis for developing ranch-level tools (budgeting, linear or dynamic programming models) for use in assessing the economic impacts of changes in the availability of feed resources, ranch management and marketing alternatives and others.

Although not covered in detail here, the budgets for the other 3 management scenarios are included in Appendix A. Dependency on BLM forage calculated from the forage balance table for each scenario was 34.7 percent for Marsing, 59.8 percent for Bruneau, 33.9 percent for Three Creek. Dependency on all public grazing was 39.8 percent for Marsing, 58 percent for Three Creek and not changed for Bruneau.

## Crops and Dairy Budgets

Crop enterprise budgets for southwestern Idaho are developed and maintained by the University of Idaho. A review of Census of Agriculture and USDA-NASS data sources and contact with growers (Hamby, 1998), association and company representatives (Thornton, 1998; Idaho-Eastern Oregon Onion Committee, 1998; Schmitt, 1998), county Extension faculty (Bolz, 1998) resulted in a determination of which crops were grown in Owyhee County. Major crops included alfalfa hay, alfalfa seed, feed barley, potatoes, corn for grain, corn silage, dry beans, mint, onions, other hay, sugar beets and wheat. For these crops enterprise budgets were derived from existing University publications and costs and returns were allocated to the I/O sectors (as detailed below) based upon a five-year average of acreage in the county.

Dairy budgets are also developed and maintained by the University (Fiez, et al. 1991). In addition, contact with the University of Idaho Dairy Specialist (Fiez, 1998) provided access to the Dairy Herd Improvement Association (DHIA) database for use in allocating cattle numbers, livestock sales and milk production at the county level. Existing University budgets were used to allocated costs and returns to the I/O sectors, based upon DHIA records and knowledge of the Dairy Specialist on cattle numbers and milk production.

## Additional Notes and Cautions

Time precluded the development of ranch-level economic models. However, we must raise a caution about the issue of fixed costs. The way the budget information was derived resulted in very realistic operating costs. However, each member of the panels operates a unique operation, with a unique set of fixed resources. If there is a weakness in this approach, it is in the area of fixed costs. This is particularly critical when, for example, the Bruneau budget is applied to herd sizes from 300 to 1,000 head. Fixed costs are "lumpy" but it is doubtful that the machinery complement for a 500 head operation will work for a 300 head operation and a 1,000 head operation. Work in this area continues.

Proposals to stop grazing at some date within the grazing season (July 15 was suggested in the Draft RMP; USDI-BLM, 1996) can be analyzed rather easily by starting with the Forage Balance Table (Tables A5, B5, C5, and D5 in Appendix A). In the analysis of this situation through budgeting or ranch-level models, the analyst (or model) must select an economically feasible alternative to grazing on public rangelands after July 15. Economic modeling and budgeting make one critical assumption related to ranch operation-that being that the ranch operator is a profit maximizer. A number of recent papers (Bartlett, et al., 1993; Torell, et al. 1994; Martin and Jeffries, 1966) have raised doubts about this assumption, particularly when
applied to western ranching. There appear to be a number of other factors affecting ranch decisions other than profit. Nonetheless, if we assume profit maximization, it is relatively easy to impose the July date on the forage resources. Replace the BLM forage with hay, leased pasture at some cost, include trucking, and measure the impacts on profitability from this action. This can be applied to the population of Owyhee graziers and the ranch-level impacts run through the I/O model to derive the total direct and indirect impacts.

## Summary

Collecting and analyzing ranch-level costs and returns is an important tool in policy impact assessment. Other steps in the policy analysis process include regional, fiscal and social impact assessment. Impacts at the ranch level must be integrated with the regional and fiscal impacts and social assessments. This level of integration has not been done in prior efforts to address public policy impact analysis. Cost and return estimates were presented based upon information gathered through producer panels in Owyhee County, Idaho during the spring of 1998. Changes in public land livestock grazing (increases or decreases in AUMs of grazing) can easily be assessed at the ranch-level and included in regional, fiscal and social assessments. This approach is being used in the assessment of Owyhee County's economic and social structure.
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## Appendix: Costs and Returns to Owyhee County Ranches

Jordan Valley
Table A1: Cow-Calf Operation, Summer on Federal and State Range, Winter on Harvested Feeds and Crop Aftermath.

|  |  | Weight Each | Unit | Total Number of Head or Units | Price or Cost/ Unit | Total Value | Value or Cost/head |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Steer calves | 4.40 | cwt | 131 | 88.36 | 50,930.70 | 169.77 |
|  | Heifer calves | 3.90 | cwt | 69 | 79.58 | 21,414.98 | 71.38 |
|  | Cull replacement heifer | 8.00 | cwt | 5 | 67.87 | 2714.80 | 9.05 |
|  | Aged bull | 18.00 | cwt | 4 | 42.00 | 3024.00 | 10.08 |
|  | Cull cows | 11.00 | cwt | 54 | 40.14 | 23,843.16 | 79.48 |
|  | Total Receipts |  |  |  |  | 101927.64 | \$339.76 |
| 2. | Operating Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Alfalfa hay |  | ton | 71.09 | 70.00 | 4976.65 | 16.59 |
|  | Feed barley |  | cwt | 248.69 | 5.30 | 1318.03 | 4.39 |
|  | Meadow hay |  | ton | 486.39 | 60.00 | 2983.54 | 97.28 |
|  | Protein supplement - 20\% |  | cwt | 552.00 | 8.75 | 4830.00 | 16.10 |
|  | Federal range |  | AUM | 2097.60 | 1.35 | 2831.76 | 9.44 |
|  | State range |  | AUM | 144.00 | 4.80 | 691.20 | 2.30 |
|  | Crop aftermath |  | AUM | 747.20 | 10.00 | 7472.00 | 24.91 |
|  | Salt |  | lb . | 6120.00 | 0.06 | 367.20 | 1.22 |
|  | Checkoff/brand inspection |  | head | 264.00 | 2.00 | 528.00 | 1.76 |
|  | Commission |  | head | 64.00 | 7.27 | 465.28 | 1.55 |
|  | Freight/trucking |  | head | 64.00 | 6.00 | 384.00 | 1.28 |
|  | Veterinary Medicine |  | \$ | 4889.62 | 1.00 | 4889.62 | 16.30 |
|  | Machinery (fuel, lubrication, repair) |  | \$ | 1851.46 | 1.00 | 1851.46 | 6.17 |
|  | Vehicles (fuel, repair) |  | \$ | 5527.15 | 1.00 | 5527.15 | 18.42 |
|  | Equipment (repair) |  | \$ | 641.94 | 1.00 | 641.94 | 2.14 |
|  | Housing and Improvements (repair) |  | \$ | 1201.79 | 1.00 | 1201.79 | 4.01 |
|  | Hired Labor |  | hour | 480.00 | 6.75 | 3240.00 | 10.80 |
|  | Owner Labor |  | hour | 3000.00 | 4.00 | 12000.00 | 40.00 |
|  | Interest on Operating Capital |  | \$ | 29758.48 | 0.09 | 2603.87 | 8.68 |
|  | Total Operating Costs |  |  |  |  | 85003.49 | 283.34 |
| 3. | Income Above Operating Costs |  |  |  |  | 16924.15 | 56.41 |
| 4. | Ownership Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Capital Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Purchased Livestock |  | \$ | 9965.23 | 1.00 | 9965.23 | 33.22 |
|  | Housing and Improvements |  | \$ | 9677.72 | 1.00 | 9677.72 | 32.26 |
|  | Machinery |  | \$ | 2370.16 | 1.00 | 2370.16 | 7.90 |
|  | Equipment |  | \$ | 1776.91 | 1.00 | 1776.91 | 5.92 |
|  | Vehicles |  | \$ | 9023.36 | 1.00 | 9023.36 | 30.08 |
|  | Interest on Retained Livestock |  | \$ | 161,550.00 | 0.10 | 15,347.25 | 51.16 |
|  | Taxes and Insurance |  | \$ | 1681.94 | 1.00 | 1681.94 | 5.61 |
|  | Overhead |  | \$ | 11,000.00 | 1.00 | 11,000.00 | 36.67 |
|  | Total Ownership Costs |  |  |  |  | 60,842.58 | 202.81 |
| 5. | Total Costs |  |  |  |  | 145,846.07 | 486.15 |
|  | Returns to Risk Management |  |  |  |  | -43,918.43 | -146.39 |

Jordan Valley
TableA2: Investment Summary

|  | Purchase <br> Price | Salvage/Cull Value | Livestock <br> Share | Useful Life | Annual Taxes and Insurance | Annual <br> Capital <br> Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings, Improvements and Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barn | 12500.00 | 1250.00 | 100 | 30 | 110.00 | 1262.66 |
| Fencing | 63000.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 25 | 504.00 | 6675.44 |
| Corral | 10700.00 | 2675.00 | 100 | 30 | 107.00 | 1070.11 |
| Water system | 5900.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 20 | 47.20 | 669.51 |
| Feed wagon | 800.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 10 | 6.40 | 127.41 |
| Squeeze | 1800.00 | 180.00 | 100 | 10 | 15.84 | 275.11 |
| Vet equipment | 650.00 | 65.00 | 100 | 15 | 5.72 | 80.91 |
| Salt mineral feeders | 16.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 5 | 0.13 | 4.17 |
| Gooseneck trailer | 11550.00 | 1155.00 | 100 | 20 | 101.64 | 1289.32 |
| Total | 106916.00 |  |  |  | 897.93 | \$11,454.63 |
| Purchased Livestock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulls | 34000.00 | 10710.00 | 100 | 4 |  | 8285.40 |
| Horses | 12000.00 | 3600.00 | 100 | 10 |  | 1679.84 |
| Total | 46000.00 |  |  |  |  | 9965.23 |
| Retained Livestock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | 150000.00 | $120000.00$ | 100 |  |  | $12825.00^{2}$ |
| Replacement heifers | $29500.00$ | $23600.00$ | 100 |  |  | $2522.25^{2}$ |
| Total | 179500.00 |  |  |  |  | $15347.25^{2}$ |
| Machinery and <br> Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor loader | 35600.00 | 7100.00 | 20 | 30 | 68.32 | 714.48 |
| Tractor - 80hp | 30000.00 | 6000.00 | 55 | 30 | 158.40 | 1655.68 |
| Pickup $4 \times 43 / 4$ ton | 27500.00 | 3300.00 | 90 | 4 | 346.50 | 7078.88 |
| Truck 2 ton | 25400.00 | 5080.00 | 34 | 16 | 129.54 | 1021.01 |
| 4 wheeler | 6000.00 | 500.00 | 100 | 10 | 81.25 | 923.46 |
| Total | 124500.00 |  |  |  | 784.01 | 11,393.52 |
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Table A3: Monthly Summary of Returns and Expenses

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steer calves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50931 |  | 50931 |
| Heifer calves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21415 |  | 21415 |
| Cull replacement heifer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2715 |
| Aged bull |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3024 |  |  |  |  |  | 3024 |
| Cull cows |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6182 |  |  |  |  | 17662 | 23843 |
| Total Receipts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75060 | 17662 | 101928 |
| Operating Input |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa hay | 1280 | 1156 | 1280 | 620 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 640 | 4977 |
| Feed barley | 291 | 263 | 291 | 141 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 188 | 145 | 1318 |
| Meadow hay | 7860 | 7091 | 7014 | 3396 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3822 | 29184 |
| Protein supplement - 20\% | 1628 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1575 | 1628 | 4830 |
| Federal range |  |  |  | 236 | $472$ | 472 | 472 | $472$ | $472$ | $236$ |  |  | 2832 |
| State range |  |  |  | 58 | $115$ | 115 | 115 | $115$ | 115 | 58 |  |  | 691 |
| Crop aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1868 | 3736 | 1868 | 7472 |
| Salt | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | $31$ | 31 | 31 | 31 |  | $31$ |  |
| Checkoff/brand inspection |  |  |  |  |  |  | $38$ |  |  |  | 410 | 80 | $528$ |
| Commission |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 |  |  |  | 36 | 291 | 465 |
| Freight/trucking |  |  |  |  |  |  | 114 |  |  |  |  | 240 | $384$ |
| Veterinary Medicine |  |  |  | 1493 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3397 |  | 4890 |
| Machinery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Fuel,Lube,Repair) | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 |  | 154 | 154 | 155 | 1851 |
| Vehicles (Fuel and Repair) | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 463 | 5527 |
| Equipment (Repair) Housing, Improvements | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 642 |
| (Repair) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 1202 |
| Taxes and Insurance | 1219 |  |  |  |  | 463 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1682 |
| Hired Labor |  |  |  |  |  | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |  |  |  |  | 3240 |
| Total Costs | 13077 | 9309 | 9384 | 6741 | 1386 | 2929 | 2756 | 2466 | 1386 | 2960 | 10171 | 9516 | 72082 |
| Net Returns | -13077 | -9309 | -9384 | -6741 | -1386 | -2929 | 6449 | -2466 | -1386 | -2960 | 64890 | 8145 | 29846 |
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## Jordan Valley

Table A4: Monthly Feed Requirements

| Feed | Units | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | ton | 18 | 17 | 18 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Feed barley |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | cwt | 55 | 50 | 55 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 27 |
| Meadow hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | ton | 116 | 105 | 102 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Bulls | ton | 12 | 11 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Horses | ton | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Protein supplement - 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | cwt | 186 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 186 |
| Federal range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 276 | 276 | 276 | 276 | 276 | 138 | 0 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| State range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Crop aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 300 | 150 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 26 | 13 |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 47 | 24 |
| Salt | lb | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |

Jordan Valley
Table A5: Forage Balance (AUMs per month)

| Feed | Units | AUM /unit | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa hay Replacement Heifers | ton | 3.75 | 68.6 | 62.0 | 68.6 | 33.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.3 |
| Feed barley Replacement Heifers | cwt | 0.19 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 10.3 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.6 | 5.1 |
| Meadow hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141.0 |
| Cows <br> Bulls | ton | 2.50 2.50 | 291.0 30.7 | 262.5 27.7 | 255.8 30.7 | 123.8 14.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 141.0 \\ 15.3 \end{array}$ |
| Horses | ton | 2.50 | $5.8$ | $5.2$ | 5.8 | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.9 |
| Protein Supplement 20\% Cows | cwt | 0.19 | 34.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.8 | 34.9 |
| Federal range Cows | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  | 138.0 | 276.0 | 276.0 | 276.0 | 276.0 | 276.0 | 138.0 |  |  |
| Cows Replacement Heifers | AUM | 1.00 1.00 |  |  |  | 23.6 | 47.2 | 47.2 | 47.2 | 47.2 | 47.2 | 23.6 |  |  |
| Bulls | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  | 13.2 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 13.2 |  |  |
| State range Cows | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  | 12.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 12.0 |  |  |
| Crop aftermath Cows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150.0 | 300.0 | 150.0 |
| Cows <br> Bulls | AUM | 1.00 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.2 | 26.4 | 13.2 |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.6 | 47.2 | 23.6 |
| Salt | lb | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total AUMs |  |  | 441.3 | 366.7 | 371.1 | 366.5 | 373.6 | 373.6 | 373.6 | 373.6 | 373.6 | 373.6 | 414.0 | 420.4 |

Marsing
Table B1: Cow-Calf ---Summer on Federal Range Marsing Winter Feeding Necessary
500 Cows
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { Weight } \\ \text { Each }\end{array} & \text { Unit } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Total } \\ \text { Number of } \\ \text { Head or } \\ \text { Units }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Price } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { Cost/ } \\ \text { Unit }\end{array} & & \text { Total Value }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Value or } \\ \text { Cost/Head }\end{array}\right]$

Marsing
Table B2: Investment Summary

|  | Purchase <br> Price | Salvage/Cull <br> Value | Livestock <br> Share | Useful <br> Life | Annual Taxes <br> and <br> Insurance | Annual Capital <br> Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Buildings, Improvements
and Equipment

| Barn | 12750.00 | 1250.00 | 100 | 30 | 112.00 | 1288.08 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fencing | 88200.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 25 | 705.60 | 9345.62 |
| Corral | 10700.00 | 2675.00 | 100 | 30 | 107.00 | 1070.11 |
| Water developments | 30000.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 25 | 240.00 | 3178.78 |
| Calving shed | 45500.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 30 | 364.00 | 4626.47 |
| Range improvement | 36750.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 10 | 294.00 | 5853.03 |
| Water system | 5900.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 20 | 47.20 | 669.51 |
| Feed wagon | 3200.00 | 295.00 | 100 | 10 | 27.96 | 490.69 |
| Gooseneck trailer | 12500.00 | 1155.00 | 100 | 20 | 109.24 | 1397.12 |
| Squeeze | 1950.00 | 180.00 | 100 | 10 | 17.04 | 299.00 |
| Vet equipment | 650.00 | 65.00 | 100 | 10 | 5.72 | 99.35 |
| Salt mineral feeders | 17.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 5 | 0.14 | 4.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2029.90 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | $28,322.18$ |  |
| Purchased Livestock | 248117.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulls | 50000.00 | 18000.00 | 100 | 4 |  | 11696.02 |
| Horses | 20000.00 | 6000.00 | 100 | 10 | 2799.73 |  |
| Total | $\$ 70,000.00$ |  |  |  | 14495.74 |  |


| Retained Livestock <br> Cows <br> Replacement heifers | 250000.00 | 175000.00 | 100 |  | $20187.50^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 47500.00 | 33250.00 | 100 |  | $3835.63^{2}$ |  |
| Total | 297500.00 |  |  |  | $24023.13^{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machinery and Vehicles | 35600.00 | 7100.00 | 20 | 30 | 68.32 | 714.48 |
| Tractor loader | 30000.00 | 6000.00 | 70 | 60 | 201.60 | 2001.92 |
| Tractor - 80hp | 28000.00 | 2400.00 | 70 | 4 | 266.00 | 5751.77 |
| Pickup 4x4 3/4 ton | 25400.00 | 5080.00 | 50 | 9 | 190.50 | 1942.66 |
| Truck 2 ton | 28000.00 | 2400.00 | 50 | 5 | 190.00 | 3447.59 |
| Pickup 4x4 3/4 ton |  |  |  |  | 916.42 | 13858.42 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table B3: Monthly Summary of Returns and Expenses

|  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steer calves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92336 |  |  | 92336 |
| Heifer calves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41978 |  |  |  | 41978 |
| Aged bull |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4536 |  |  |  | 4536 |
| Cull cows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33107 | 33107 |
| Cull replacement heifer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5769 | 5769 |
| Total Receipts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46514 | 92336 | 0 | 38876 | 177727 |
| Operating Inputs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa hay | 1995 | 2209 | 1069 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1069 | 2209 | 8550 |
| Grass hay | 11466 | 11858 | 5738 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6143 | 12695 | 47898 |
| Corn silage |  | 1031 | 516 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1547 |
| Federal range |  |  | 389 | 778 | 778 | 778 | 778 |  |  |  |  |  | 3499 |
| State range |  |  | 76 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 606 | 606 |  |  |  | 1894 |
| Private range |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 3958 | 3958 |  |  |  | 8237 |
| Crop aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4928 | 2464 |  | 7392 |
| Salt | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 600 |
| Protein supplement - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% | 729 | 802 | 406 |  |  |  |  | 116 | 128 |  | 64 | 128 | 2373 |
| Marketing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2665 |  | 642 | 3307 |
| Freight/trucking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1765 |  |  | $1765$ |
| Checkoff/brand inspection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 706 |  | 170 | 876 |
| Commission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |  | 1122 | 1201 |
| Veterinary Medicine | 375 | 2276 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6510 |  |  | 9161 |
| Machinery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Fuel,Lube,Repair) | 149 | 149 | 149 | 149 | 149 | 149 | 149 | 149 | 149 | 149 | 150 | 149 | 1790 |
| Vehicles (Fuel and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repair) | 578 | 578 | 578 | 578 | 578 | 578 | 578 | 578 | 578 | 578 | 581 | 578 | 6936 |
| Equipment (Repair) | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 763 |
| Housing, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improvements <br> (Repair) | 488 | 488 | 488 | 488 | 488 | 488 | 488 | 488 | 488 | 488 | 490 | $488$ |  |
| Taxes and Insurance |  |  |  |  | 848 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2098$ | $2946$ |
| Hired Labor | 3164 | 5439 | 5264 | 469 | 469 | 469 | 469 | 714 | 889 | 784 | 644 | 1764 | 20538 |
| Total Costs | 19057 | 24943 | 14785 | 2806 | 3654 | 2806 | 2806 | 6723 | 6910 | 18766 | 11718 | 22156 | 137131 |
| Net Returns | -19057 | -24943 | -14785 | -2806 | -3654 | -2806 | -2806 | -6723 | 39605 | 73570 | -11718 | 16721 | 40596 |
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Marsing
Table B4: Monthly Feed Requirements

| Feed | Units | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | ton | 27 | 29 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 29 |
| Grass hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | ton | 175 | 194 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 194 |
| Bulls | ton | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 |
| Horses | ton | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Corn silage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulls | ton | 0 | 38 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Federal range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 235 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 0 | 0 | 38 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| State range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Private range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Horses | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crop aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 250 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 38 | 0 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 15 | 0 |
| Horses | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 |
| Salt | lb | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 |
| Protein supplement - 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | cwt | 70 | 77 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | cwt | 13 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 15 |

## Marsing

Table B5: Forage Balance (AUMs per month)

| Feed | Units | AUM <br> /Unit | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa Hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | ton | 3.75 | 99.8 | 110.4 | 53.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53.4 | 110.4 |
| Grass Hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | ton | 2.50 | 437.5 | 484.4 | 234.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 234.4 | 484.4 |
| Bulls | ton | 2.50 | 31.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.9 | 34.9 |
| Horses | ton | 2.50 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.7 |  |
| Corn Silage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulls | ton | 1.00 |  | 37.5 | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 |  |  | 235.0 | 470.0 | 470.0 | 470.0 | 470.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 1.00 |  |  | 38.0 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 76.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulls | AUM | 1.00 |  |  | 15.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 |  |  | 15.2 | 30.3 | 30.3 | 30.3 | 30.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.2 | 15.2 |  |  |  |
| Bulls | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |
| Private Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 400.0 | 400.0 |  |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.8 | 60.8 |  |  |  |
| Bulls | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  |
| Horses | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |
| Crop Aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500.0 | 250.0 |  |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76.0 | 38.0 |  |
| Bulls | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Horses | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Salt | lb | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protein supplement 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | cwt | 0.25 | 17.5 | 19.3 | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | cwt | 0.25 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  | 3.3 | 3.7 |  | 1.8 | 3.7 |
| Total AUMs |  |  | 598.3 | 664.9 | 626.0 | 616.3 | 616.3 | 616.3 | 616.3 | 619.3 | 619.7 | 616.0 | 619.2 | 643.0 |

Bruneau
Table C1: Cow-Calf-- 500 Cows Winter and Summer on Federal Range

|  | Weight Each | Unit | Total Number of Head or Units | Price or Cost/Unit | Total Value | Value or Cost/Head |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steer calves | 4.85 | cwt | 215 | 85.12 | 88758.88 | 177.52 |
| Heifer calves | 4.45 | cwt | 100 | 77.04 | 34282.80 | 68.57 |
| Aged bull | 18.00 | cwt | 5 | 40.00 | 3600.00 | 7.20 |
| Cull cows | 10.00 | cwt | 85 | 40.14 | 34119.00 | 68.24 |
| Cull replacement heifer | 8.50 | cwt | 15 | 67.87 | 8653.43 | 17.31 |
| Total Receipts |  |  |  |  | 169414.10 | 338.83 |
| 2.Operating Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa grass hay |  | ton | 571.13 | 70.00 | 39978.75 | 79.96 |
| Federal range |  | AUM | 4536.00 | 1.71 | 7756.56 | 15.51 |
| Crop aftermath |  | AUM | 1068.00 | 11.00 | 11748.00 | 23.50 |
| Deeded range |  | AUM | 30.00 | 8.00 | 240.00 | 0.48 |
| Pasture |  | AUM | 30.00 | 13.00 | 390.00 | 0.78 |
| Protein supplement - 20\% |  | cwt | 929.23 | 8.75 | 8130.72 | 16.26 |
| Salt |  | lb | 9243.00 | 0.06 | 554.58 | 1.11 |
| Checkoff/brand inspection |  | head | 420.00 | 2.00 | 840.00 | 1.68 |
| Commission |  | head | 105.00 | 7.00 | 735.00 | 1.47 |
| Freight/trucking |  | head | 500.00 | 7.00 | 3500.00 | 7.00 |
| Veterinary Medicine |  | \$ | 9831.70 | 1.00 | 9831.70 | 19.66 |
| Machinery (fuel, lubrication, repair) |  | \$ | 2186.62 | 1.00 | 2186.62 | 4.37 |
| Vehicles (fuel, repair) |  | \$ | 10742.46 | 1.00 | 10742.46 | 21.48 |
| Equipment (repair) |  | \$ | 606.99 | 1.00 | 606.99 | 1.21 |
| Housing and Improvements (repair) |  | \$ | 2606.35 | 1.00 | 2606.35 | 5.21 |
| Hired Labor |  | hour | 2450.00 | 7.00 | 17,150.00 | 34.30 |
| Owner Labor |  | hour | 1668.00 | 7.00 | 11,676.00 | 23.35 |
| Interest on Operating Capital |  | \$ | 43533.98 | 0.10 | 4135.73 | 8.27 |
| Total Operating Costs |  |  |  |  | 132809.46 | 265.62 |
| 3.Income Above Operating Costs |  |  |  |  | 36604.65 | 73.21 |
| 4.Ownership Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Recovery: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchased Livestock |  | \$ | 11157.01 | 1.00 | 11157.01 | 22.31 |
| Housing and Improvements |  | \$ | 17879.58 | 1.00 | 17879.58 | 35.76 |
| Machinery |  | \$ | 2516.08 | 1.00 | 2516.08 | 5.03 |
| Equipment |  | \$ | 2325.33 | 1.00 | 2325.33 | 4.65 |
| Vehicles |  | \$ | 17640.15 | 1.00 | 17640.15 | 35.28 |
| Interest on Retained Livestock |  | \$ | 267125.00 | 0.09 | 23373.44 | 46.75 |
| Taxes and Insurance |  | \$ | 3164.86 | 1.00 | 3164.86 | 6.33 |
| Overhead |  | \$ | 20000.00 | 1.00 | 20000.00 | 40.00 |
| Total Ownership Costs |  |  |  |  | 98056.45 | 196.11 |
| 5.Total Costs |  |  |  |  | 230865.91 | 461.73 |
| 6. Returns to Land, Risk and Management |  |  |  |  | -61451.80 | -122.90 |

Bruneau
Table C2: Investment Summary
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}\hline & \begin{array}{llllll}\text { Purchase } \\ \text { Price }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Salvage } \\ \text { /Cull Value }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Livestock } \\ \text { Share }\end{array} & \text { Useful Life }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Annual } \\ \text { Taxes and } \\ \text { Insurance }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Annual } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Recovery }\end{array}\right]$

[^2]
## Bruneau

Table C3: Monthly Summary of Returns and Expenses

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steer calves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88759 |  |  | 88759 |
| Heifer calves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34283 |  |  | 34283 |
| Aged bull |  |  |  |  |  |  | 720 |  |  | 2880 |  |  | 3600 |
| Cull cows |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6824 |  |  | 27295 |  |  | 34119 |
| Cull replacement heifer |  |  |  | 4615 |  |  |  |  |  | 4038 |  |  | 8653 |
| Total Receipts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4615 | 0 | 0 | 7544 | 0 | 0 | 157255 | 0 | 0 | 169414 |
| Operating Inputs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa grass hay | 14268 | 13937 | 6067 | $263$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2678 | 2767 | 39979 |
| Federal range |  |  | 527 | $1033$ | 1033 | 1033 | 1033 | 1033 | 1033 | 1033 |  |  | 7757 |
| Crop aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5874 | 5874 | 11748 |
| Deeded range |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  | 240 |
| Pasture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 | 130 | 130 |  |  | 390 |
| Protein supplement - 20\% | 834 | 753 | 834 | 807 | 807 | 807 | 834 | 834 | 807 | 812 |  |  | 8131 |
| Salt | 62 |  |  |  | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 555 |
| Checkoff/brand inspection |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 788 |  |  | 840 |
| Commission |  |  |  | 56 |  |  | 126 |  |  | 553 |  |  | 735 |
| Freight/trucking |  |  |  | 1750 |  |  |  |  |  | 1750 |  |  | 3500 |
| Veterinary Medicine | 625 |  | 508 |  |  | 803 |  |  |  | 7200 | 695 |  | 9832 |
| Machinery | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 183 | 2187 |
| (Fuel,Lube,Repair) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicles (Fuel and Repair) | 895 | 895 | 895 | 895 | 895 | 895 | 895 | 895 | 895 | 895 | 895 | 899 | 10742 |
| Equipment (Repair) | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 607 |
| Housing, Improvements (Repair) | 217 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 218 | 2606 |
| Taxes and Insurance | 2325 |  |  |  |  | $840$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3165 |
| Hired Labor | 840 | 2940 | 5215 | 5040 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 490 | 665 | 560 | 420 | 17150 |
| Total Costs | 20298 | 18975 | 14496 | 10309 | 3571 | 5215 | 3760 | 3648 | 3866 | 14338 | 11213 | 10473 | 120163 |
| Net Returns | -20298 | -18975 | -14496 | -5694 | -3571 | -5215 | 3784 | -3648 | -3866 | 142918 | -11213 | -10473 | 49252 |

## Bruneau

Table C4: Monthly Feed Requirements

| Feed | Units | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa grass hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | ton | 155 | 155 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | ton | 36 | 32 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 36 |
| Bulls | ton | 9 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Horses | ton | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Federal range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 250 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 0 | 0 | 46 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Crop aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 500 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| Horses | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Deeded range 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horses | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pasture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horses | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Protein supplement - 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | cwt | 78 | 70 | 78 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 78 | 78 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | cwt | 18 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| Salt lb | 1027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 |  |

## Bruneau

Table C5: Forage Balance (AUMs per month)

| Feed | Units | AUM/ unit | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa grass hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | ton | 3.00 | 465.0 | 465.0 | 168.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | ton | 3.00 | 106.9 | 96.6 | 51.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103.5 | 106.9 |
| Bulls | ton | 3.00 | 27.9 | 25.2 | 27.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.3 | 11.3 |
| Horses | ton | 3.00 | 11.6 |  | 11.6 | 11.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Federal Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 |  |  | 250.0 | 500.0 | 500.0 | 500.0 | 500.0 | 500.0 | 500.0 | 500.0 |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 1.00 |  |  | 46.0 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 92.0 | $92.0$ | 92.0 | 92.0 | 92.0 |  |  |
| Bulls | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Crop Aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500.0 | 500.0 |
| Bulls | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Horses | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Deeded Range 1.00 ( 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pasture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protein supplement 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | cwt | 0.23 | 17.4 | 15.8 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 16.9 |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | cwt | 0.23 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 |  |  |
| Salt | lb | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total AUMs |  |  | 632.9 | 616.7 | 589.5 | 636.0 | 634.8 | 634.8 | 635.4 | 635.4 | 634.8 | 634.9 | 648.8 | 652.6 |

Three Creek
Table D1: Cow-Calf Winter on Federal Range500 Cows

|  |  |  | Total Number <br> of Head or | Price <br> or <br> Cost/ | Weight <br> Units | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Total Value | Value or |
| :--- |
| Cost// |
| Head |

1.Gross Receipts

Steer calves
Heifer calves
Aged bull
Cull cows
Cull replacement heifer
Total Receipts

| 4.15 | cwt | 213 | 88.36 | 78105.82 | 156.21 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.75 | cwt | 100 | 79.58 | 29842.50 | 59.69 |
| 16.00 | cwt | 6 | 42.00 | 4032.00 | 8.06 |
| 11.00 | cwt | 85 | 40.14 | 37530.90 | 75.06 |
| 7.25 | cwt | 13 | 67.87 | 6396.75 | 12.79 |
|  |  |  |  | 155907.97 | 311.82 |

2.Operating Costs

Meadow hay
Protein supplement 20\%
Salt
BLM
U.S. Forest Service

State Range
Deeded range
Crop aftermath
Checkoff/brand inspection
Commission
Freight/trucking
Veterinary Medicine
Machinery (fuel, lubrication, repair)
Vehicles (fuel, repair)
Equipment (repair)
Housing and Improvements (repair)
Hired Labor
Owner Labor
Interest on Operating Capital
Total Operating Costs
3.Income Above Operating Costs

| ton | 340.45 | 60.00 | 20427.00 | 40.85 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| cwt | 186.35 | 3.50 | 652.23 | 1.30 |
| lb | 11297.00 | 0.06 | 677.82 | 1.36 |
| AUM | 2930.80 | 1.71 | 5011.67 | 10.02 |
| AUM | 1590.00 | 1.71 | 2718.90 | 5.44 |
| AUM | 240.00 | 5.00 | 1200.00 | 2.40 |
| AUM | 730.00 | 8.00 | 5840.00 | 11.68 |
| AUM | 1200.80 | 10.00 | 12008.00 | 24.02 |
| head | 432.00 | 2.00 | 864.00 | 1.73 |
| head | 119.00 | 7.00 | 833.00 | 1.67 |
| head | 119.00 | 7.00 | 833.00 | 1.67 |
| \$ | 3852.86 | 1.00 | 3852.86 | 7.71 |
| \$ | 1520.39 | 1.00 | 1520.39 | 3.04 |
| $\$$ | 11112.57 | 1.00 | 11112.57 | 22.23 |
| \$ | 547.00 | 1.00 | 547.00 | 1.09 |
| $\$$ | 2343.87 | 1.00 | 2343.87 | 4.69 |
| hour | 2450.00 | 6.75 | 16537.50 | 33.08 |
| hour | 1668.00 | 6.75 | 11259.00 | 22.52 |
| \$ | 31772.18 | 0.10 | 3018.36 | 6.04 |
|  |  |  | 101257.15 | 202.51 |
|  |  |  | 54650.81 | 109.30 |

4.Ownership Costs

Capital Recovery:
Purchased Livestock
Housing and Improvements
Machinery
Equipment
Vehicles
Interest on Retained Livestock
Taxes and Insurance
Overhead
Total Ownership Costs

| $\$$ | 11546.11 | 1.00 | 11546.11 | 23.09 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 16642.38 | 1.00 | 16642.38 | 33.28 |
| $\$$ | 1804.82 | 1.00 | 1804.82 | 3.61 |
| $\$$ | 2128.54 | 1.00 | 1804.82 | 3.61 |
| $\$$ | 16111.85 | 1.00 | 16111.85 | 32.22 |
| $\$$ | 252875.00 | 0.09 | 22126.56 | 44.25 |
| $\$$ | 2822.39 | 1.00 | 2822.39 | 5.64 |
| $\$$ | 20000.00 | 1.00 | 20000.00 | 40.00 |
| $\$$ |  |  | 93182.65 | 186.37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 194439.80 | 388.88 |

6.Returns to Land, Risk and Management
$-38531.83$
$-77.06$

## Three Creek

Table D2: Investment Summary

|  | Purchase Price | Salvage/ Cull Value | Livestock <br> Share | Useful Life | Annual Taxes and Insurance | Annual Capital <br> ${ }^{1}$ Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings, Improvements andEquipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barn | 12750.00 | 1250.00 | 100 | 30 | 112.00 | 1204.01 |
| Fencing | 120000.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 25 | 960.00 | 11970.17 |
| Corral | 5100.00 | 1275.00 | 100 | 30 | 51.00 | 475.65 |
| Water developments | 30000.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 25 | 240.00 | 2992.54 |
| Feed wagon | 3200.00 | 295.00 | 100 | 10 | 27.96 | 473.50 |
| Gooseneck trailer | 8000.00 | 1500.00 | 100 | 10 | 76.00 | 1132.96 |
| Squeeze | 1950.00 | 180.00 | 100 | 10 | 17.04 | 288.52 |
| Calf Cradle | 900.00 | 80.00 | 100 | 10 | 7.84 | 133.37 |
| Vet equipment | 650.00 | 65.00 | 100 | 10 | 5.72 | 95.84 |
| Salt mineral feeders | 17.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 5 | 0.14 | 4.34 |
| Total | 182,567.00 |  |  |  | 1497.70 | 18770.92 |
| Purchased Livestock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulls | 42500.00 | 16250.00 | 100 | 4 |  | 9480.01 |
| Horses | 16000.00 | \$6000.00 | 100 | 10 |  | 2066.10 |
| Total |  | 58500.00 |  |  |  | 11546.11 |
| Retained Livestock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | 250000.00 | 175000.00 | 100 |  |  | $18593.75^{2}$ |
| Replacement heifers | 47500.00 | 33250.00 | 100 |  |  | $3532.81^{2}$ |
| Total | 297500.00 |  |  |  |  | $22126.56^{2}$ |
| Machinery and Vehicles 7100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor loader | 35600.00 | 7100.00 | 12 | 30 | 40.99 | 400.09 |
| Tractor - 80hp | 30000.00 | 6000.00 | 50 | 30 | 144.00 | 1404.73 |
| Pickup $4 \times 43 / 4$ ton | 27000.00 | 5400.00 | 85 | 4 | 344.25 | 6037.71 |
| Pickup 4x4 3/4 ton | 27000.00 | 5400.00 | 100 | 6 | 405.00 | 5251.73 |
| Truck 2 ton | 25400.00 | 5080.00 | 70 | 7 | 266.70 | 3006.55 |
| Sedan | 18000.00 | 1800.00 | 50 | 6 | 123.75 | 1815.86 |
| Total | 163000.00 |  |  |  | 1324.69 | 17916.67 |

[^3]Three Creek
Table D3: Monthly Summary of Returns and Expenses

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steer calves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78106 |  | 78106 |
| Heifer calves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29843 |  | 29843 |
| Aged bull |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4032 |  |  |  |  | $4032$ |
| Cull cows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25168 |  |  |  |  | $37531$ |
| Cull replacement heifer |  | 3936 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2460$ |  |  |
| Total Receipts | 0 | 3936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29200 | 0 | 0 | 122772 | 0 | 155908 |
| Operating Inputs: 274657 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meadow hay | 3032 | 2738 | 14657 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20427 |
| Protein supple 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 322 | 330 | 652 |
| Salt | 62 | 62 |  | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 678 |
| BLM | 308 | 308 |  | 975 | 975 | 975 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 975 |  |  | 5012 |
| U.S. Forest Service |  |  |  |  |  |  | 906 | 906 | 906 |  |  |  | 2719 |
| State range | 100 | 100 |  | 250 | 250 | 250 |  |  |  | 250 |  |  | 1200 |
| Deeded range | 2400 | 2400 |  | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 240 | 240 | $5840$ |
| Crop aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $6004$ | 6004 | $12008$ |
| Checkoff/brand inspection |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 126 |  |  | 702 |  | 864 |
| Commission |  | 126 |  |  |  |  |  | 441 |  |  | 266 |  | 833 |
| Freight/trucking |  | 126 |  |  |  |  |  | 441 |  |  | 266 |  | 833 3853 |
| Veterinary Medicine |  |  | 661 |  |  | 1621 |  |  |  | 493 | 1078 |  | 3853 |
| Machinery (Fuel,Lube,Repair) | 127 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 1520 |
| Vehicles (Fuel and Repair) | 926 | 926 | 926 | 926 | 926 | 926 | 926 | 926 | 926 | 926 | 926 | 930 | 11113 |
| Equipment (Repair) | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 547 |
| Housing, Improvements (Repair) | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 196 | 2344 |
| Taxes and Insurance | $2069$ |  |  |  |  | $754$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2822$ |
| Hired Labor | 810 | 2835 | 5029 | 4860 | 236 | 236 | 236 | 236 | 473 | 641 | 540 | 405 | $16538$ |
| Total Costs | 10073 | 10024 | 21639 | 7520 | 2896 | 5271 | 2742 | 3750 | 2978 | 3795 | 10773 | 8340 | 89802 |
| Net Returns | -10073 | -6087 | -21639 | -7520 | -2896 | -5271 | -2742 | 25450 | -2978 | -3795 | 111999 | -8340 | 66106 |
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## Three Creek

Table D4: Monthly Feed Requirements

| Feed | Units | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | ton | 0 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | ton | 35 | 32 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulls | ton | 12 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Horses | ton | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Protein supplement 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | cwt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 77 |
| Replacement Heifers | cwt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 |
| Salt | lb | 1027 | 1027 | 0 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 | 1027 |
| BLM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 180 | 180 | 0 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 0 | 0 |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Bull Replacements | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. Forest Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| State range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 20 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| Deeded range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 300 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulls | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| Horses | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Crop aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 500 |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 |
| Horses | AUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
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Three Creek
Table D5: Forage Balance (AUMs per month)

| Feed | Units | $\begin{gathered} \text { AUM/ } \\ \text { unit } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow hay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | ton | 2.50 |  |  | 484.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | ton | 2.50 | 87.6 | 79.1 | $87.6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulls | ton | 2.50 | 29.1 | 26.3 | 29.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horses | ton | 2.50 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protein Supplement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% | cwt | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.8 | 19.3 |
| Cows | cwt | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| Replacement Heifers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt | lb | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 | 180.0 | 180.0 |  | 450.0 | 450.0 | 450.0 |  |  |  | 450.0 |  |  |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  | 90.4 | 90.4 | 90.4 | 90.4 | 90.4 | 90.4 | 90.4 |  |  |
| Bulls | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  | 24.0 |  |  |
| Bull Replacement | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500.0 | 500.0 | 500.0 |  |  |  |
| Bulls | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |  |  |
| State range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Deeded range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 | 300.0 | 300.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulls | AUM | $1.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Horses | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |
| Crop aftermath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500.0 | $500.0$ |
| Replacement Heifers | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.4 | 90.4 |
| Horses | AUM | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total AUMs |  |  | 626.3 | 614.1 | 610.7 | 630.4 | 630.4 | 630.4 | 636.4 | 636.4 | 636.4 | 630.4 | 653.4 | 654.0 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Annual capital recovery is the method of calculating depreciation and interest recommended by the National Task Force on Commodity Costs and Returns Measurement Methods.
    ${ }^{2}$ Interest on average investment
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