

idaho argonaut

Vol. 76, No. 15

The University of Idaho

Oct. 24, 1972

Co-ed living:

White Pine Hall is the first University-sponsored co-ed type living group on the U of I campus. It is, moreover, much more than just a hall in which both boys and girls are allowed to live while attending the University.

As described by Dr. David Barber, the main coordinator of the White Pine project, the hall is the second stage of a purely academic program started last year.

The first stage consisted of a nine-credit block of three courses proposed to first semester freshmen last year. The courses were English 101, psychology 100, and geography 252, offered as a package for freshmen who had applied for the program during the previous summer.

After the completion of the first semester, Barber and 10 of the freshman participants set out to make plans for improvement on the first stage, described by Barber as a "mixed success." At that time, according to Barber, the program was limited to just the academic program, and no plans for a co-ed dorm existed.

Hall Idea Emerges

Eventually, Barber said, the idea of a residence hall emerged, with the underlying theory being that "the two aspects of student life (academic and residence) could be brought together to help each other out."

Things were worked out with the University Housing Department, and the fifth floor of the northeast wing of Wallace Complex was designated for the experiment.

Barber and the freshmen then worked out a new academic program for this year's class. It consists of two courses, English 101 and interdisciplinary 200. The first is a two-hour a week class, held in the dorm itself, and is a slightly revised version of the regular introductory English composition class.

Faculty Participates

About 20 faculty members are participating in the second class. With a fairly loose structure, the different

instructors come in several times a week to help acquaint the students with the University and the different areas of study.

The main requirement for completion of the Inter. 200 course, according to Barber, is a project to be done by each freshman on an area covered by one of the involved faculty members.

Beyond those six credits, all are carrying a normal load of 15 to 18 credits.

Living in the hall are 30 freshmen, half girls and half boys. There are also three of the sophomores who participated last year and helped plan this year's program, and two resident advisors.

The 30 remain after what Barber described as a minimal selection process of the approximately 40 total original applicants. Two have left the hall since the beginning of the semester.

Low Number of Applicants

Barber said the reason for the low number of applications was that the forms were sent out late in the summer, and there was not much time to reply before the deadline. Only 15 girls applied, and all were accepted.

As the hall was brand new, the freshmen were allowed to set up their own governing structure. Barber noted that there was almost too much optimism at first, and the system started out in an "anarchistic" fashion.

Barber said the freshmen gradually got a little more structure and now have two hall officers and a few basic rules concerning quiet hours and voluntary phone duty and dues.

Future Uncertain

The future of the hall is somewhat undetermined, according to Barber, and will depend on an evaluation of this year's program. Several of the students are making a complete evaluation their project for their Inter. 200 project.

Members of the University community are also observing the dorm, and there have already been visitors staying in the empty head resident's apartment on the floor of the hall.



Photo by Craig Evans

White Pines

by Charlie Spencer

It is often claimed that one of the best ways to learn about a culture is to enter and live in it for a while, to mingle with the natives, so to speak. Taking this advice, I attempted to uncover the secrets of co-ed living by moving into White Pine Hall, the University's first co-ed dorm, for two days last week.

I soon found that it was not just a matter of moving in, looking around, writing it all down, and leaving content in the assurance that I knew everything that was going on. What made the task even harder was the timing, too. At the end of last week, "mid-term" week, the activity in the dorm was undoubtedly not entirely consistent with the normal week-ending activity. Plus, the inhabitants, like many other students, were streaking it for the weekend; for the mountains: the ISU game; and other places.

In the long run, however, I don't think this hampered my job. It soon became apparent to me that how the White Pines lived, or what they did around me, was not what I was looking for. My attention eventually rested around simply trying to find out what they felt about the hall and each other, and what people outside the dorm felt about it and preconceived it to be like.

Increasing Bias

I must note that I became increasingly biased towards the dorm. I was intrigued by what I was convinced to be immense sincerity on the part of the people involved. And I was mad at some

of the attitudes and closed-mindedness I found outside of the hall.

For example, it seems that the first thoughts connected with the phrase "co-ed dorm" are concerned with sex and its various derivatives. Of course this is the result of, among other things, a long bunch of programming on society's part with respect to putting college-age boys and girls together in any type of living situation. Who doesn't remember the pictorial specials and magazine articles appearing in the last decade concerning the new wave of "promiscuous co-ed living on our campuses today"?

Sex Is Irrelevant Here

At least in the case of White Pine and the University of Idaho though, I don't think such a discussion is even relevant to any person who has a realistic idea of the different living situations on campus. Basic to my argument here is that the "visitation" rules which began here two years ago have nearly wiped out many of the factors which would have made White Pine a real novelty before. It even looks like the restricted visitation in effect in many places on the campus will not be around much longer, as many halls are considering 24-hour or open visitation.

Of course, contact with the opposite sex is more constant and occurs at more unusual hours than in most other living groups, but seems to only enhance the whole boy-girl relationship. As one guy put it, it came quite natural for him, as he had sisters at home and it didn't ever really bother him.

(Continued on page 2.)

Twenty-four 24 hour visitation?

Prior to the adoption of the Code of Conduct, The Faculty Council endorsed a proposed change in visitation regulations recommended by living group presidents all over campus. The maximum hours of visitation would have been 24 hours, seven days per week, subject to 75 per cent living group approval.

However, President Hartung "held" this for joint consideration with the Code of Conduct adopted in October by the council. All of this will be considered at the December Regent's meeting. Before that meeting, an executive Regent's committee will meet with President Hartung, Vice-President Richardson, a Faculty Council member, ASUI President Roy Eiguren, and ASUI Attorney General John Lukens, to discuss all current Regents' policy regarding students.

(See page 8)

Life in the Pines: the co-ed experience

(Continued from page 1.)

As far as dating is concerned, kids that I talked to claimed most dating was done with people outside of the hall. I would compare the hall to one big family. Rather than trying to start out by being brother-brother or sister-sister like many fraternal organizations, the people in the hall sort of fell together, were nourished by each other, and became closer as time went on.



There were evidently reasons for this. From what I could gather, a great amount of optimism sprung from within the group. This was partly because the experiment was new, and the kids had to prove it would work. This self-perpetuating optimism was evident in that they didn't want to set up any rules at first. Everything was open, but soon it was decided that a few basic guidelines would have to be set down.

Optimistic and Protective

A thing I really noticed when talking to most of the kids was that, not only were they truly optimistic and hopeful for the

program, but they were also very protective for it. They all had comments about "how comfortable it is here" or how "everybody cares." Only one that I talked to had any intention of leaving at the semester and moving to an all-boy or all-girl group. The rest said they weren't sure where they would go if they couldn't stay in the same type of situation next year, and they were worried about it.

Another thing that seemed to mold them together was the outside opinion. They told me that during the first few weeks a lot of people ("usually in pairs, for they were scared to come alone") came up to look around. They all expected to find something, evidently what they had read existed in co-ed dorms, but evidently didn't find much, for few have returned to search again. The White Pines had to be put on the defensive, though. They even named one of their rooms the "orgy room," evidently to satisfy the imaginations of some of the onlookers.

Sincerity and Work

As I was impressed by the sincerity shown by the kids, so was I impressed by the work of people involved with the hall. Dr. David Barber, who has taken much responsibility for the experiment, has put in much work, and stays at the dorm from time to time. Dr. Stan Thomas, a faculty member who spent several days, with his wife, living in the dorm, strongly backed



the project. He told me he thought the atmosphere was good, and listed such factors as "less rivalry, more friendliness" as contributing to the success of the dorm. Thomas said "the experiment from my point of view is almost needed and very worthwhile" in terms of what is happening in today's classroom. He expressed discontent with various aspects of the educational system, and stressed that he felt the system as a whole needed reform. He felt White Pines, at least as an experiment, was a vital step for the University.

Thomas also praised the concept of the interdisciplinary class. He seemed to be a strong believer in the concept of coordination of many disciplines, and stated that we have been too long treating academic areas as separate compartments.

Good Feelings

My feelings arising from my admittedly short visit in White Pine were overall very good. I am sure that even if the experiment does not pan out to be all it was expected to be, many valuable things will be learned from it, especially on the academic side.

I could note a couple cautions though. First is that even though the people involved are very optimistic, some of this

at idaho

today

Veterinary Science 200 seminar will meet at the Old Veterinary Science building at 7 p.m. for a trip to WSU to tour their small and large animal clinics and their anatomy section. Rides for freshmen have been arranged and upperclass majors should arrange rides.

Vandal Mountaineers Ski Club will meet tonight at 8 p.m. in the SUB Dipper. Jim Rennie, University of Oregon outdoor programs director, will show slides on outdoor activities including the Mr. McKinley clean-up.

Area women will meet at 1:30 and 7:30 p.m. to form consciousness-raising groups. All women are invited to attend the meetings, both in the Women's Center, room 104A in the Administration building.

Theta Sigma Phi meets at 7:30 p.m. in the SUB.

The Peace and Freedom Party meets at 8 p.m. to continue discussion of the Tenants' Union, abortion, marijuana and initiatives.

wednesday

Milton Small, Idaho's executive director of higher education, will be guest speaker at Faculty Forum at noon in the FOB lounge. He will discuss "The Office of Higher Education and the University System." All are welcome.

There will be a meeting to discuss the proposed foreign language French house tonight at 7 p.m. in the SUB Dipper. Anyone interested is invited to attend.

U.S. Senator Frank Church will speak today at 2 p.m. in the Borah Theater of the SUB.

Dr. Delores J. Cooper, a third-year law student, will discuss the legal status of women at the first luncheon meeting of the "Brown Bag" series at noon in the Women's Center.

Intercollegiate Knights will meet at 8 p.m. in the Cataldo Room of the SUB.

energy is bound to run out after a while. Second, I think that several unique conditions contributed to the apparent initial success of the program. The experiment, and hall, were new, and the participants predominately 18-year-old freshmen.

If these kids stay in the dorm next year, and new freshmen are added, many new frictions and factors may develop. For example, they are already talking about selecting the next crop themselves. Thus I see them heading towards Greek Row, and many added problems will emerge. This is not necessarily a good or a bad prediction. I do think, though, that the complexion of the hall will change greatly, and care must be taken to adjust when the time comes.

The Argonaut is looking for original literary works to be published in a special literary edition of the Argonaut, either in late November or early December. Anyone may submit original works to the Argonaut for publication. The Argonaut would also like to print any original creative photography and art work.

Works submitted to the Argonaut should be sent to Argonaut Editor, Literary edition, Student Union Building, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho 83843.

College Republicans will have a meeting at 7:30 p.m. in the SUB. County commissioner candidates will be present.

The Committee to Re-elect the President meets at 7:20 p.m. in the SUB to discuss state-wide polls on the election.

College Republicans meet at 7:30 p.m. in the SUB. The County Commissioner candidates, "Doc" Lucas and Bill Jones will attend.

thursday

John Rosholt, a Twin Falls attorney will discuss problems of instream water use in the Thousand Springs area at 7:30 p.m. in the SUB.

Dr. Hugo John, associate dean of the College of Forestry, will discuss simulation modeling as an approach to the solution of biologic problems before at Plant and Soil Sciences Seminar at 11 a.m.

There will be a bridge meeting for all those interested tonight at 7:30 p.m. in the Blue dining room of the SUB.

Luv's Hallmark

Sesame Street Books and Records
1973 Hallmark Calendar
Thanksgiving Cards
Christmas Preview

In MOSCOW at 314 S. Main

CLASSIFIED

For Sale

Head skis 720's 205 cm./used once have marker rotomat bindings/over \$100.00 savings price \$120.00/Phone 882-5794.

For Sale: Colorful all wool Columbian ruanas for women and girls. Unusual wall hangings. Ideal Christmas gifts. Call 882-2686.

64 Pontiac LeMans Conv. 4 Spd. Mag. Wheels, Eldorado Tavern, Hgwy. 95, Potlatch, Idaho.

65 T-Bird P/S P/B. P/Seat 51,000 good condition, Eldorado Tavern, Hgwy. 95, Potlatch, Idaho.

Assorted Scuba Gear: Standard tank with reserve, regulator, backpack, \$90.00. 882-3738.

1967 Datsun '600' sports car, show tires, low mileage, first reasonable offer takes, 882-3738.

1967 Ford Galaxie 2 dr. HT, factory air, power steering. 885-6754.

Miscellaneous

For Sale: Rosemount Ski Boots, size call 882-7465 after 5 p.m.

FOR SALE: 1970 3-bedroom mobile home, skirted, all-electric, 12'-63' for \$5695. Phone 882-1033.

For Sale: 1970 Opel GT, 1.9 Liter Engine 4 spd. full intermentation, \$1900. Room 114 Snow Hall.

Seven (7) keys on ring lost in stadium Oct. 14. If you found them - Call 882-2010.

Wanted to Buy — Comic books dated before 1968. Call 882-0371 after 5 p.m.

If you want to see decent law enforcement in Latah County for a change, write-IN Larry Waterbly.

How much do you know about "THE GRAND DESIGN"? Don't miss this full length movie which takes a shocking second look at the United Nations! One showing only. Borah theater - SUB, 8 p.m. Tues. Oct. 24, admission: 25 cents, couples 35 cents.

Pepsi Glass Special



Large Pepsi

(Keep the Glass)

35c

With Any Purchase

Oct. 23-29



TACO TIME

e. 530 Main
Pullman

Open Till
1 a.m. Weekdays
2 a.m. Weekends



Brit Hume

Capitol reporter speaks here

Brit Hume, an investigative reporter for Jack Anderson's syndicated Washington Merry-Go-Round column, will be speaking in the Borah Theatre at the Idaho Union Building Wednesday, Oct. 25 at 11 a.m.

Hume says, "People say things to me like 'Aren't you concerned about the long-term effect of having these scandals and these outrages and these uproars? Why, the public will get the impression from reading this that Washington is full of underhanded, double-dealing men operating offices for the benefit of narrow interests.' "Well," he smiles, "They're absolutely right."

Hume is an English graduate from the University of Virginia, he worked for many national newspapers, including the Hartford Times and Baltimore Evening Sun before joining forces with Anderson. He will be addressing himself to "The Role of the Press in a Democracy" at 11 a.m. Wednesday, Oct. 25 in the Borah Theatre. After lunch at the Idaho Union Building, Hume will be involved in an informal rap session in the Vandal Lounge. Everyone is invited to both sessions. He is being sponsored jointly by the Homecoming Committee and ASUI Issues and Forums.

Regents delay decision on health fee

The board of regents met last week but postponed action on a proposal to increase the student health center fee from \$12.50 to \$19.50 each semester.

"They feel there has not been a sufficient background study done of what students want in the way of a health service," ASUI President Roy Eiguren said.

The board meets again in mid-November. Before then, Eiguren said, the senate and the Student Health Center Advisory Board will draw up a list of priorities which students want in a health center. This will probably involve a student poll. The state department of health will be asked to assist the two student groups in forming a needs assessment, he added.

The Regents turned down the University's bid to leave the Big Sky athletic conference. The decision means that the U of I, which is allowed 62 scholarships and 75 players under Big Sky regulations, next year will be playing the larger schools of Texas Christian and the U of Washington which are allowed 155 scholarships.

Hartung asked the Regents whether the University should continue with the present schedule to play those teams and other major teams or pay penalties for cancelling the games. The Board replied that ISU and Boise State should support a U of I proposal to raise the number of scholarships to 75. This proposal comes before the Big Sky president's meeting in November. It would be binding for only one year, thus giving the U of I time to possibly change some of its commitments, Eiguren said.

Eiguren noted that the University is trying to make the athletic program self-sufficient so it won't have to depend on student fees or taxes.

The Regents will meet Dec. 7 and 8. Proposed in-state tuition charges will be considered. Eiguren and student body presidents of other Idaho schools will make a presentation to the Regents and if necessary, to the legislature if the Regents do not rescind their September decision to implement some sort of additional fee.

A petition opposing the fee increase is being presented to all living groups. Students can also sign it at the SUB information desk and in the senate offices.

Other action taken by the Regents

includes the formation of a School of Communication which combines the Radio-TV, Journalism and Speech departments; approval of a low bid by Halvorson-Berg Construction Co. of Spokane for \$1.2 million to construct the performing Arts Center and authorization of the transfer of \$250,000 from other university and student funds towards the project.

The Regents approved the removal of 18 World War II housing units on South Hill and authorized the construction of 20 new homes there. They are to be ready next fall. Rent will be about \$120 per month.

The Regents agreed to add "age" to the civil rights policy which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin and sex.

Mum corsages for Homecoming

*Order in your living group
or at the SUB*

\$3
for a big yellow mum

Sale ends today



Sponsored by Theta Sigma Phi Women's Communications Honorary



Before The Last Sun Sets on the '72's, We Made A Special Purchase

We Have 27 New 1972 Volkswagens—
CONVERTIBLES, SUPER BEETLES, FAST BACKS,
AUTOMATICS—on Our Lot and Are Selling
Them at the LOWEST PRICES Ever Offered.

Plus—
***Free Service For 1 Year**
Or 12 000 miles, including oil changes, lubes, etc.
Everything but the gas!!!

***48-Month Financing**
Special 4 year financing has been arranged to give you lower monthly payments

See The 1973 VW's—
On Display Now... Super Beetles,
Fast Backs, Square Backs, 4-Door
Sedans

 <p style="text-align: center;">'72 VW 7 Pass. Bus Stock No. 805 4 Speed Transmission \$3601.65 \$3295 SPECIAL PRICE \$295 DOWN PAYMENT \$82.50 MONTHLY</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">'72 VW CAMPER Stock No. 802 4-Speed Transmission \$439.75 \$3995 SPECIAL PRICE \$395 DOWN PAYMENT \$99.00 MONTHLY</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">'72 VW BEETLE Stock No. 353 4 Speed Transmission \$2075 \$1950 SPECIAL PRICE \$195 DOWN PAYMENT \$48.25 MONTHLY</p>
 <p style="text-align: center;">'72 VW SUPER BEETLE CONV. Stock No. 824 4-Speed Transmission \$2797.50 \$2498 SPECIAL PRICE \$198 DOWN PAYMENT \$63.25 MONTHLY</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">'72 KARMANN GHIA CONV. Stock No. 821 4 Speed Transmission \$3297.50 \$2998 SPECIAL PRICE \$298 DOWN PAYMENT \$74.25 MONTHLY</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">'72 VW TYPE 3 FASTBACK Stock No. 745 4-Speed Transmission \$2780.90 \$2475 SPECIAL PRICE \$295 DOWN PAYMENT \$59.95 MONTHLY</p>
 <p style="text-align: center;">'72 VW SUPER BEETLE SQUAREBACK Stock No. 826 Automatic \$3212.50 \$2995 SPECIAL PRICE \$295 DOWN PAYMENT \$74.25 MONTHLY</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">'72 VW SUPER BEETLE Stock No. 815 4-Speed Transmission \$2422.90 \$2198 SPECIAL PRICE \$198 DOWN PAYMENT \$55.00 MONTHLY</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">'72 VW 411 3-DOOR SQUAREBACK OR 4 DR SEDAN Stock No. 830 \$3451.50 \$3195 SPECIAL PRICE \$295 DOWN PAYMENT \$79.75 MONTHLY</p>

—ON APPROVAL OF CREDIT—48 MONTH CONTRACT—14.55 ANNUAL PERCENTAGE RATE

UNIVERSITY VOLKSWAGEN

619 South Washington
Moscow, Idaho
Phone 882 5501

The Eagleton affair

by John F. Greenfield

If ever a candidate was misunderstood by the electorate, he is George McGovern. It's partly due to Administration distortions of his positions, but it's partly his own fault as well. Some of the misunderstanding appears to be no one's fault at all. McGovern himself has been unable to work his way back toward the center of the Democratic Party from its liberal edge — the razor-sharp cutting edge of anti-war kids and young adults who sparked his successful pre-convention drive over dull Edmund Muskie and a hackneyed Hubert Humphrey. Now that he is the nominee of the entire party, he finds himself required to assume a more moderate stance. He must make amends with Lyndon Johnson and Mayor Daley, revise his economic proposals, and talk about keeping residual forces in Thailand until our prisoners of war are returned. Although nothing of real substance has changed, as McGovern moves toward the center in order to make a truly serious bid for the presidency, the incongruity between his earlier and more recent political "postures" are bound to cause misunderstandings. Perhaps there is nothing he can do about most of the confusion which seems both unavoidable and without remedy.

Misunderstanding

There is one great point of misunderstanding, however, that can be cleared up, and that is the "Eagleton Affair." In a recent poll of University of Idaho living groups, President Nixon was preferred by a good number of students. The poll asked the students to list the reasons for their respective choices. Looking over these reasons, I discovered that a surprising percentage of those favoring Nixon were actually going to vote against McGovern because of the latter's decision to drop Senator Thomas Eagleton from the Democratic ticket — because of "what McGovern has done to Senator Eagleton." Some spoke of a McGovern "credibility gap" created by his handling of Eagleton. I have other views.

The "Eagleton Affair" became crystal clear to me within a half hour after I read the headlines "EAGLETON ADMITS TO PSYCHIATRIC TREATMENT."

My first reaction was something like: "Oh God, is Nixon going to have fun with this; and all because so many people will summarily dismiss as unfit a man who recognized psychological trouble in

himself, then had the good sense to see a doctor about it."

First reaction

And "If everybody had the intelligence and courage to do the same thing before they beat their wife, left their family, or got arrested driving down the road half-drunk at 100 miles an hour, our world would be a better place."

"Jesus," I thought, "Nixon and Agnew probably need a psychiatrist as badly as any two people in the country."

But as I worried over poor Tom Eagleton, a different set of thoughts came creeping into the picture. Like, why do we get this revelation now? I mean, it wouldn't make sense that McGovern would select a running mate with this type of image flaw, what with all the trouble he was going to have winning in the first place. In fact, McGovern was saying that he hadn't known about this aspect of Senator Eagleton's history until now, though he was also claiming to be "1000 per cent" behind him.

Eagleton's double-cross

What, then, had actually happened? Wasn't it obvious? An old fashioned double-cross? I pondered a statement Eagleton had made during those days McGovern was trying to choose a running mate. He was considering a number of people — Senator Ribicoff, Kennedy, Nelson, Humphrey, and Muskie, United Auto Workers president Leonard Woodcock, Sargent Shriver, and Eagleton, as well as Idaho senator Frank Church. Most of them were in the process of turning him down, but all spoke of the offering in noble phrases. Frank Church, for instance, responding to rumors that he might be McGovern's pick, noted that perhaps he wouldn't be the most potent addition to the ticket politically, given the location and small population of his home state, but that being considered was certainly "a great honor."

Eagleton, on the other hand, was reported that week to be "ecstatically available!" Ecstatically available? This didn't at all ring with the humble tone of Senator McGovern's candidacy. Wasn't McGovern seeking the nation's highest office for the people, rather than the giant corporate interests that more and more seem to control them? Wasn't he asking what he could do for the country, rather than what he could do for himself? What a refreshing change George McGovern had been from the machine politics of LBJ, Hubert Humphrey, and the Party's one-time heir apparent Edmund Muskie. He was no ego-tripper. He was a man of the people, seeking their vote so he could do what they wanted—end the Vietnam War, restructure the unfair tax system, and so on. Indeed he'd overtaken Muskie and later beaten off a late charge by Humphrey by going to the people on a grass roots level during the primaries — striking the populist chord that's been the strength and the spirit of the Democratic Party for two centuries.

Eagleton available

But now, as he is searching for a vice-presidential running mate among a seemingly distinguished group of gentlemen, one of their number announced that he is "ecstatically

available." I was less than ecstatic when that particular senator was ultimately chosen.

Shortly after receiving the nomination, and after he'd made his nervous and rather unimpressive acceptance speech, Senator Eagleton was asked how he became interested in public office. He talked about his youth — about how some of the kids he played with wanted to be firemen, and some wanted to be cops, but that he had always wanted to grow up to be a "politician." That struck me as funny too. Not that being a politician is a dishonorable profession. On the contrary, it is, or should be, the most honorable profession of them all. That is, if you wish to regard the terms "politician" and "public servant" as synonymous. I can't. Somehow the two terms seem different to me. Somehow the naked wish to become a "politician" doesn't strike me as all that honorable.

Power for powers sake

The "politician" is primarily interested in gaining and retaining power for power's sake alone. The "public servant" is primarily interested in serving his constituency as his people instruct. The subject quickly becomes metaphysical, and it is admitted that a public servant or statesman must be enough of a politician to figure out how to get himself elected. Nonetheless, I discern a fundamental distinction between the two. And Eagleton, by his words and acts, was rapidly revealing himself a politician.

As information began to pour in on this relative unknown, we see that he did fulfill his childhood ambitions, and that he did so at what is typically described as a "meteoric" pace. Eagleton leaped "into politics" in St. Louis immediately after law school and in short order became Missouri's youngest-ever attorney general, youngest-ever lieutenant governor, and youngest-ever U.S. senator. Naturally this kind of flaming success doesn't come cheaply, not in any field. He must have worked at a frenetic rate. Undoubtedly he paid for it with the severe mental exhaustion and depression that led him to his celebrated "shock" treatments. He probably had ulcers too.

Darkhorse wins

Yet, suddenly, through it all, here he is, in 1972, a contender for the nomination of vice president of the United States. He's young (42), handsome, labor connected, a Catholic, has a good, moderately liberal voting record in the Senate, and hails from a swing state to boot — definitely a darkhorse. In the tense and hectic days following McGovern's own nomination, as one by one of his choices for vice president turned him down, Eagleton's number came up. The longshot had made it.

Did he have any skeletons in his closet? Hell no. None that we're going to keep him from doing a swan dive into the national limelight anyhow. There was this little problem of past mental disorders but, well, we'll tell George about it later. It will all blow over. Besides, even if the Republicans try to make an issue out of it, the McGovern-Eagleton ticket will reap a bundle of sympathy from an American public enlightened enough to know a man can have a little nervous trouble and still be qualified for the vice presidency. Right?

The difference

Are you kidding? The American public can't distinguish between marijuana and heroin, Vietnam and Pearl Harbor. Nixon wouldn't even have to put Eagleton's shock treatments in issue; in fact, when he heard about them he promised he wouldn't. That was like saying "Gee, I am certainly sorry to hear that Senator Eagleton has had a history of mental illness. I guess it's the type of thing that can happen to anyone, so why make an



issue out of it. I understand he has had certain treatments for it though, and I am sure he's fully recovered." Parenthetically: "Spiro Agnew may be ugly, but at least he's in his right mind!"

Well, then, was Eagleton's failure to tell McGovern of these things before he accepted the nomination "poor judgement" on his part? The answer to that question is no. It was shrewd judgement, a real smoke-filled-room shot worthy of any real "politician." Of course his past illness could be an issue. But assuming the worst, so what? They'd never kick him off the ticket. It would hurt McGovern worse than keeping him on. In the latter estimation, he was probably right.

Lack of candor

No, Eagleton's lack of candor was an act of truly despicable selfishness. He sacrificed McGovern's already slim chances for winning the presidency for the sake of his own obviously uncontrollable ambition.

McGovern was no doubt careless in not checking into Eagleton's past with more precision, but his negligence, or that of his aides, pales in the face of the monstrous indiscretion committed by Senator Eagleton.

Wait a minute, some people say. If McGovern understood the callousness of Eagleton's act, why did he state that he was "1000 per cent" behind him for a week? This may have happened because McGovern himself is not a very good politician. He is inclined to speak out publicly before weighing all of the consequences. The more plausible theory, however, is that McGovern was trying to give Eagleton a chance to get off the ticket gracefully, on his own. With McGovern 1000 per cent behind him, he could simply apologize for the trouble he'd caused, claim that he really didn't consider the shock therapy a very bad skeleton, and make way for a replacement. Unfortunately, Eagleton either didn't take the hint or stubbornly, and incredibly, decided to force McGovern's hand.

In the end, the Democrats convinced Eagleton that his position was hopeless and were able to help him off the ticket with the aid of a delightful piece of rationale — namely, that Eagleton's mental illnesses would be likely to overshadow the more important issues surrounding the race, to obscure the differences between McGovern and Nixon. That is the current Party line on the Eagleton Affair. It seemed to be an acceptable argument at first, adequate to satisfy most people that McGovern has really done no wrong.

To my mind, however, it is much too kind to Eagleton. Furthermore, by letting Eagleton off so easily this rationale is now proving to be an inadequate explanation of the affair for the millions of would-be McGovern voters who perceive some sort of McGovern ruthlessness or crass political expediency in his handling of the matter. It is costing McGovern student enthusiasm and student votes he neither deserves to lose nor can afford to lose.

Extra Sensory Perception
Interview-ESP consultant, Moscow Hotel
Saturday 1-5pm, Sunday 9-12 am; NO CHARGE
Classes begin soon.

WE HAVE HOMECOMING MUMS

SCOTT'S
FLOWER SHOP

Kenworthy Plaza

882-2547

2nd and Washington

End of the F?

Students, faculty and staff will be able to voice their opinions concerning non-punitive grading during an open hearing at 4:10 p.m. Thursday in UCC 101, according to R. R. Furgason, University Curriculum Chairman.

This open hearing will be possibly the only time students will have to indicate their support or disapproval of non-punitive grading before it goes to Faculty Council.

Below are the recommendations the committee is now considering. We strongly urge everyone to read these recommendations (and take them to the hearing for reference.)

1. That the grade of F (failure) be discontinued and a grade of NC (no credit) be instituted.
2. That any course in which a student receives a grade of W or NC not appear on the student's transcript.
3. That any student withdrawing from a course within four weeks of the end of the semester receive a grade of NC in that course.
4. That a student be put on academic probation at the end of any semester in which either:
 - (a) the student's cumulative grade-point average in successfully completed courses (those in which grades of A, B, C, D, or P are assigned) falls below a 2.00, or
 - (b) the student receives a grade of NC in more than 25 percent of the credit hours attempted that semester.
5. That a student on academic probation be automatically removed from probation after any semester in which both:
 - (a) the student's cumulative grade-point average in successfully completed courses rises to 2.00 or above, and
 - (b) the student receives NC grades in not more than 25 percent of the credit hours attempted.
6. That a student on academic probation who does not satisfy the criteria of recommendation No. 5 (above) for removal from academic probation but who, during the next or subsequent semester after being placed on probation,
 - (a) attains a semester average of 2.00 or above, and
 - (b) receives NC grades in not more than 25 percent of the credit hours attempted remains on probation.
7. That a student on academic probation be disqualified at the end of a probationary semester if he does not meet the criteria of recommendation No. 5 for removal from probation or the criteria or recommendation No. 6 for remaining on probation.
8. That during the fourth semester of operation under this grading system the Faculty Council appoint a joint student-faculty committee to review the system.

Church will discuss Congress On U of I Campus Wednesday

Sen. Frank Church, D-Idaho, will discuss the recent 92nd Congress at 2 p.m. Wednesday, Oct. 25, in the Borah Theater at the University of Idaho's Student Union Building.

Roy Eiguren, Meridian, president of the Associated Students of the University of

Idaho, said the appearance would be open to the university faculty, staff and students and to the general public.

Eiguren said Church would also discuss some future problems facing the country and would be available for a question and answer period.

Idaho Argonaut

The Idaho Argonaut is entered as second class postage at Moscow, Idaho post office 83843. Our goal is information and our message is peace.



ELECT
E. B. "Jim"
NEWSOME

For
STATE SENATE

REPUBLICAN

Church heads committee

Idaho's Democratic Senator Frank Church and Maryland's Republican Senator Charles Mathias have been elected co-chairmen of a new bipartisan committee. Consisting of eight members, four drawn from each party, the committee will investigate the vast array of emergency powers now concentrated in the Presidency.

As a result of his new assignment, Church becomes one of the few Senators to hold two committee chairmanships. He also serves as chairman of the Senate Special Committee on Aging.

HOW MUCH DO YOU KNOW ABOUT

THE GRAND DESIGN?

one showing only

Borah Theater


SUB

8:00 pm - Tuesday, October 24th

25¢ singles, 35¢ couples

DON'T MISS
THIS FULL-LENGTH
MOVIE WHICH TAKES
A SHOCKING SECOND
LOOK AT THE UN

Sponsored by the UN DAY OF SHAME
COMITTEE



WE TAKE IT FOR GRANTED

You might say Americans are born with an electric switch at their fingertips. We flick a switch to heat, wash, to dispose of garbage, to light, to power machinery, shred, recycle, to clean, to add, subtract, and compute, and for entertainment. We need a lot of electric energy for a quality environment. We'll need more in the future.



THE WASHINGTON WATER POWER COMPANY

Clean Energy for a Quality Environment

Vandals drill

Coach Wayne Anderson opened University of Idaho Vandal basketball practice here Monday with 15 varsity candidates reporting for duty.

The Idaho basketball coaching staff expects definite improvement in many phases of the game in the 1972-73 season. The addition of four outstanding junior college transfers along with the sophomore group from last year's freshman team, will bolster basketball fortunes at Idaho. Returning starters from the 1971-72 squad include seniors Paul Hardt, 6'7"; Chris Clark, 6'0"; and juniors Marty Siegwein, 6'7", and Steve Ton, 6'7".

Competition for a starting position will be a major factor in the overall improvement of the Vandals. The four returning starters will be challenged by the junior college transfers and sophomores for a starting role. Coach Anderson feels that this year's club will have the best depth that his teams have had the past seven years.

Roger Davis, 6'9" sophomore, and Sid Hansen, 6'8" junior college transfer, will be counted on for more rebounding power. Davis is an outstanding sophomore prospect with quickness and great jumping ability. Sid Hansen, big and strong around the backboards, will give strength to the inside game.

Last year's leading rebounder, Steve Ton is back to bolster the rebound department. Add Marty Siegwein; Paul Hardt; Steve Kalota, a 6'8" sophomore; Rick Nelson, a 6'6" sophomore; and Bob Jorgensen, a 6'6" sophomore, to the inside area and one can see why coaches are more optimistic about rebounding strength.

Outside shooting and guard play will be vastly improved for the 1972-73 season. Returning starting guard Chris Clark will have considerable competition for a starting role. Frank Munos, 6'2"; Ty Fitzpatrick, 6'1"; and Steve Bakker, 6'3", are all junior outstanding junior college careers. From the 1971-72 freshman team, the addition of Jim Valentino, 6'2"; Mike Dunda, 6'3"; and Fred Jensen, 6'1", will give the varsity more outside firepower.



Photo by Karen Ford

Soccer team ties against Montana

The University of Idaho Soccer Team travelled to Missoula last weekend where they played the University of Montana, tying them, 2-2.

The Vandals were the first to score as Bob Spencer made the first goal, followed by a Nicos Rossides goal, also in the first half of action.

However, the Montana Grizzlies came back in the second half to score two goals and tie the game. Montana is presently the leading team in the Northwest Intercollegiate Soccer League, having won all of their games, except for this one with the Vandals, which they tied.

Noori Hejazi, goalie, was the top defensive player of the week for the Vandal soccer club while the top offensive player was Bob Spencer.

According to Frans Hoogland, the soccer club has positive scheduling for their game this Sunday at 10:30 in the New Idaho Stadium against the City of Spokane team. Admission will be free.

Also, at the present time, the team is trying to schedule a game with Whitman College of Walla Walla at 10:00 a.m. Saturday morning before the homecoming football game. However, this game has not been confirmed yet, but should be by the next Arg issue.

Vandals bomb out game

by Kim Crompton

The Vandal football team, hurt by injuries and a bunch of mistakes, put on a miserable showing over regional television while losing to the Idaho State Bengals in the Minidome, 35-7.

The cross-state rival Bengals, aided by the accurate arm of quarterback Tom Lee, wasted little time in picking on the Idaho secondary and doing it ridiculously easily.

The secondary, which might as well not have been there, never seemed to catch on to the fact that unless they provided excellent coverage, Tom Lee's accurate throwing would burn them, which it did.

Long, lobbing passes were caught again and again by the Bengal receivers who made yardage by leaps and bounds.

Throughout the first half, the Vandal front line defense did an excellent job of holding the Bengal ground yardage to only 51 yards at halftime. However, the discouraged Vandal defense even laxed up on the ground in the second half as the Bengals ended up leading in rushing yardage with 196 yards compared to 186 for Idaho.

The story was told in passing yardage where the Vandals had only 145 yards net compared to 291 for the Bengals.

Idaho had 22 first downs compared to 21 for the Bengals. The game was somewhat error-filled as both teams were intercepted three times with Idaho fumbling four times and losing them all, the Bengals lost all three of their fumbles.

Pocatello's hometown boy, Dave Comstock, piloted the Vandals through most of the game but was unable to get them gaining consistent yardage, as Rick Seefired came into the game in the third quarter and led the Vandals to their only score.

The touchdown was scored on a three-yard run by Randy Ammerman with Steve Tanner connecting on the extra point.

Unfortunately, the Vandals weren't the only ones to put on a lousy show. A large number of Idaho fans, mostly students, showed everyone within throwing or hearing distance exactly what unsportsmanlike conduct meant. As the game got worse, the crowd got more rowdy. Some members of a local fraternity house should be congratulated

on their fine display of vocal rudeness and general profanity. The impression that they left on non-University people is probably a lasting one. Also, the people who threw cups, papers, and garbage on the crowd in the lower seats should be proud of their fine throwing arms and their ability to gain the attention of as many people as possible. It's really a pity that the crowd can't maintain some small amount of mature thinking, despite the fact that their team is actually going to lose the game.

Andrus Proclaims 28th Vandal Day in Idaho

Gov. Cecil D. Andrus has proclaimed Saturday, Oct. 28, to be Vandal Day in Idaho in honor of Homecoming activities at the University of Idaho.

Roy Eiguren, Meridian, president of the Associated Students of the University of Idaho, said the governor tentatively is scheduled to meet with the football team Wednesday afternoon to present the Vandals with the proclamation and in return receive a letter jacket.

The Vandals will meet the University of Pacific at 1:10 p.m. Saturday in the new Idaho stadium for the traditional Homecoming game.

Andrus' proclamation extended best wishes to the team for a victory and welcomed those who would participate in the weekend Homecoming activities.

I-MUMS
FOR
HOMECOMING
\$2.50



ORDER YOURS TODAY!
(Supply Is Limited)

For The Best In Flowers and Largest Gift Selection
In The Palouse Area See Us Anytime

Moscow Florists & Gifts

Corner Main At Sixth

Phone 882-2543

Incense • Posters • Pipes • Candles
In A Wide Selection

Increased fees or tuition is not a desirable alternative for additional financing of higher education. Most students are having trouble paying the current charges and increased tuition would force them out. The number one choice is to increase state funding. If we can't convince the legislature, then the second choice is to prevent farther duplication of costly programs among the colleges and universities in the state and perhaps eliminate some duplication that now exists.

Vote November 7
STATE REPRESENTATIVE
PAUL KAUS
Democrat District 5

Provided by Kaus for the House Comm. Don Butler, Student Chairman

What's happening

Homecoming, part one

by Mark Fritzier

Homecoming is the big event news this week, if you haven't yet noticed. The most interesting events are those that aren't even going to happen. In an article elsewhere on this page, you will find a complete explanation for the non-appearance of two groups that had been scheduled. We are the losers twice over in two "almost" music events of exceptional quality. We will be reprieved with the later appearance of Dr. John and the next semester tentative scheduling of the New Riders.

Sunday night quite a few UI students were the losers by not turning up to an excellent concert put on by El Chicano. The turn-out was disappointingly small, according to ASUI Programs Director, Mary Wickstrom. Those 200 plus discerning fans of good music who did attend were treated to some excellent music. The turn-out is not surprising,

Good grief, Charlie Brown returns from summer theatre

"You're a Good Man, Charlie Brown," the box office hit of the University Summer Theatre, will be presented again at the university Thursday through Saturday, Oct. 26-28.

Curtain time for the comic strip comedy is 8 p.m. at the University Auditorium.

The play will be given a new staging as it is switched from the intimate atmosphere of the KIVA to the large hall atmosphere in the auditorium, according to Edmund M. Chavez, head of the drama-speech department and director of the comedy.

There will be only one change in the

given the track record of Idaho student audiences of late.

Homecoming Events

Some further events of Homecoming this week: Agnes Moore is displaying her exhibit of paintings, "Impressions of Idaho," in the SUB Vandal Lounge all week; Brit Hume, noted journalist, will be speaking Wednesday morning at 11:00 a.m. in the SUB as a guest of the Issues and Forums Committee of the ASUI; the traditional goopy Pajama Parade will be screechingly performed beginning at 5:45 p.m. Thursday in Wallace Complex followed by the Football Rally at 7:00 in the SUB; "You're a Good Man, Charlie Brown", the hit of the summer drama season on campus, will raise curtain on a three day run beginning at 8:00 p.m. in the University Auditorium Thursday evening. Weekend events will be announced in the Friday issue.

cast, however, Chavez said. Jan A. Downing, will take over the role of Lucy, the crabby little girl. Miss Downing was seen last year in the comedy, "You Know I can't Hear You When the Water's Running."

In the title role is Val Molken Buhr III. Snoopy will be played by Paul D. Gussenhoven who will spend much of the evening looking for the deadly Red Baron. Linus, complete with his blanket, will be played by Jim Hutcherson. Ed D. Britt will play Schroeder. Patty will be played by Deborah Magee.

Tickets are now on sale at the Student Union Building and at Carter's Drug.

Innovative Series One concert premieres tonite

A variety of faculty artists and selected students will perform in the Series One Concert at 8 p.m. tonight, at the Music Building Recital Hall.

Series One is the first in a group of concerts designed to present several faculty members performing vocal and instrumental music rather than the traditional individual faculty recital.

The repertoire will include the "Sonata No. 4 in C Major" for flute quartet. Members of the quartet are flutist Francile Bilyeu, violinist LeRoy Bauer, cellist Howard Jones, and pianist Landon Bilyeu.

"Auguste Jam Coelestium" by Schubert and Beethoven's "Ne giorni tuoi felici" will be sung by soprano Dorothy Barnes and tenor Charles W. Walton

accompanied by pianist Gladys Bath. There is no charge for admission.

Hartung speaks on programs

Dr. Ernest W. Hartung will discuss specialization and consolidation of Idaho's colleges and universities at 7 p.m. tomorrow night on KUID's "Channel 12 Tonight" show. The show was taped Oct. 6. Dr. Hartung talks about programs and courses which are likely to be cut back during the coming year if the Board of Regents enacts the consolidation plan. Zaye Chapin, social work professor, will be on hand to rebut some of Dr. Hartung's comments on the social-work

Oct. 24, 1972

Dr. John-New Riders

Concert confusion explained

by Joel Turner

The confusion over the New Riders—Dr. John concerts has ended. On Nov. 8 Dr. John and his retinue will play in the SUB ballroom with Meters as the second bill. For those who were lucky enough to miss the confusion, these are the events which led to the presently scheduled concert.

Dr. John was to appear with the New Riders at various concerts in the Northwest. When the New Riders cancelled for medical reasons, Dr. John went down on the same ship. Those cancellations left him free to appear at U of I prior to his opening at the Whiskey—a-Go-Go in Los Angeles on

Oct. 25. Without Dr. John's knowledge, he was scheduled at U of I for Oct. 24. When he found out, the Dr. cancelled for two reasons: unavailability of proper p.a. equipment on such short notice; lack of time for promotion.

A good performer protects his reputation: Dr. John is not well known at U of I, and a bad concert or small crowd would hurt his future in this area. And Dr. John, the Night Tripper, is an excellent performer, with a reputation among other musicians (notably the Stones) for being a musical genius who takes pride in his work.

His music is complex and evocative; it sounds good at first and better after repeated listening.

University orchestra features chamber music

Audiences will hear much chamber music from the University Orchestra this year, according to director Dr. Ronald J. Klimko, associate professor of music.

Emphasis on chamber orchestral music, is due in part to the small string section in the department, Klimko said.

The first concert at 8 p.m. Tuesday, Oct. 31, in the Music Building Recital Hall will include works ranging from Bach and Mozart to Stravinsky.

Plans for the school year include accompanying the Vandaleer Choir in a performance of the "Childhood of Christ" by Berlioz at Christmas and combining with the Washington State University Orchestra next spring to perform larger works. Klimko said that combining orchestras would give the students a chance to play in a conventional size orchestra as well as play a concert twice,



For
Understanding and Effective
Leadership
Vote

**NORMA
DOBLER**

For

State House of Representatives
Democrat — 5th District

Paid Pol. Ad by Elect Dobler Comm.

Interested in

**Winter
Session**

December 22, 1972 - January 12, 1973

Special Programs Office is attempting to determine if there is a sufficient interest to offer some courses during the Winter Session this year. A minimum of twelve fee-paying students is required, and the fee rate would be the regular part-time rate of \$18.00 per credit hour. A three credit course would be scheduled to meet 3 hours a day, 5 days a week, for 3 weeks, and one course would constitute a full student load.

We are asking anyone interested to nominate courses to be offered by providing information requested below:

To:
Special Programs Office
Attn: Paul Kaus
Adult Education Bldg.
University of Idaho

I request that the following course be scheduled during the Winter Session.

Course No. and title _____

Student name _____

Local address _____

Local telephone _____

**ARCTIC CIRCLE
DRIVE IN**

Weekly Special

OCT. 23-24-25

Cheeseburgers — 4/\$1.00

1000 Pullman Road

882-3421

A Student Code of Conduct For
The University of Idaho

(As approved by the Faculty Council, October 10, 1972)

PREAMBLE

The Faculty of the University of Idaho adopts these regulations in accord with Section III of the Statement of Student Rights.

Article I. Scope of the Code

This document is a codification of disciplinary regulations enacted to govern the conduct of students on campus or at authorized University activities. Acts in violation of federal, state, or municipal statutes come under the jurisdiction of the University only when they are also in violation of this Code of Conduct. In such cases, findings of fact in the civil process shall not be redetermined by the University judicial system. While the student is subject to both civil law and University regulations, the University judicial system accepts jurisdiction when the student behavior in question affects the operation or performance of the University. The inclusion of, or failure to include, regulations herein pertaining to academic matters or to motor vehicles shall not affect any regulation, or the enforcement of any regulation, now or hereafter enacted by the University or any college or department thereof pertaining to academic matters or to motor vehicles. (Note: Within practical limits, every effort is made to reduce the double accountability of the student. The University is not a sanctuary from the law. It does maintain standards essential to its educational purposes.)


Article II. Academic Honesty

In order to maintain the academic atmosphere and integrity of the University, academic honesty is of the utmost importance. Cheating on classroom or outside assignments, examinations or tests is in violation of this Code. Plagiarism, falsification of academic records and the acquisition and/or use of test materials without faculty authorization are to be considered forms of academic dishonesty and, as such, are in violation of this Code.

The operation of the University requires the accuracy and protection of its records and documents. To use, make, forge, print, reproduce, copy, alter, remove or destroy any record, document or identification used or maintained by the University violates this Code when done with intent to defraud or misinform. Entrance without proper authority into any private office or space of a member of the faculty, staff or student body is in violation of this Code.

Instructors and students are responsible for maintaining academic standards and integrity in their courses (see Academic Regulation 0-2). Penalties for cheating and plagiarism within a course should not exceed evaluation of the work in question. In cases where an essential element of the course work is involved, final grades may be affected to the extent of the importance of the work in question. Additional penalties solely for the disciplinary infraction must be judicially imposed.

Sanctions that may be imposed by the judicial system for academic dishonesty as defined in this section range from warning to expulsion (see Article XI) depending on the severity of the offense (see Section IV, Paragraph 9, of the Statement of Student Rights). Extensive, organized, serious, or persistent violation of the provisions of this Article may critically endanger the essential operation of the University.



Straight ticket vote

by Greg Casey and
David Warnick

Early in the presidential campaign a cartoon appeared which showed local Democratic politicians staring at McGovern wearing a coat without any tails. But actually he does have quite extensive coat-tails which could affect local races.

Almost all McGovernites will vote a straight Democratic ticket all the way down. Such instances as a car with a McGovern bumpersticker and a 'Bob Weisel, Republican for State Representative' bumpersticker are relatively rare. Thus some McGovern supporters will vote for Democrats who

Article III. Physical Abuse, Hazing or Harassment

Living together in a University community requires a respect for the rights of fellow members of that community to pursue their academic goals and to participate in lawful campus activities. Physical abuse, harassment, hazing, detention or other action taken to intentionally cause physical discomfort or mental anguish to others are in violation of this Code when they jeopardize the academic interests of any member of the University community.

Sanctions that may be imposed by the judicial system for such violations range from warning through suspension depending on the nature and extent of the offense (see Article XI).

Sanctions already imposed by the civil process shall be taken into account when imposing the University sanction.

Article IV. Campus Disorders and Disruption

All members of the University community have lawful freedom of movement on campus; lawful use of property, facilities or parts of the University; and the right of lawful ingress to and egress from the institution's physical facilities.

To violate the above rights of the University community with intent by: physically hindering entrance to, exit from or normal use of any University facility or part thereof; remaining in any University building after being advised by an appropriate

Sanctions available to the living groups range from warning to probation. In addition, fines not in excess of \$25 and/or restitution for damage or loss may be levied. (Sanctions affecting the individual's residence in University housing may also be imposed as outlined in Article XI.)

Article VI. Physical Safety and Welfare

As in any community, certain forms of responsible conduct must be adhered to in order to ensure the physical functioning and safety or security of that community. The need to safeguard the property of the University community requires that unauthorized entry into heating tunnels, shops, mechanical rooms, trunk rooms, storerooms and similar spaces and unauthorized presence on roofs and fire escapes be violations of this Code.

Possessing, giving to another, making or causing to be made, any key to operate locks or locking mechanisms of University facilities without proper authorization are violations of this Code.

In addition, theft or misappropriation of University property is also a violation of this Code. Theft or misappropriation of the private property of any individual occurring on campus will also be a violation of this Code.

Protecting the University community against fire is a major concern. Smoking is prohibited in

offense. Restitution for damages caused may also be imposed. Serious or repeated violations of this Article may critically endanger the essential operation of the University. Exceptions may be made for supervised University courses.

Article VII. Discrimination

Any violation of the basic rights of a human being is contrary to the very nature of a University.

The Regents' anti-discrimination policy is as follows:

(quote in full)

Any student conduct which violates this policy shall, upon due proof, be a violation of this Code.

The judicial body may order corrective action or restitution in kind, in addition to the standard sanctions ranging from warning to probation. Repeated serious violations may result in suspension, due to their violation of the essential function of the institution.

Article VIII. Drugs and Alcohol

The University does not condone or remain indifferent to any act or conduct which impairs the pursuit or dissemination of knowledge or which may be judged to have a deleterious effect upon the academic community. The primary role of the University in handling matters involving the use or potential use of drugs or alcohol by its students is that of counseling. The investigatory, prosecuting and disciplinary roles relating to illegal drug and alcohol use are the responsibility of law-enforcement authorities. However, jeopardizing the academic operation or interests of the University community through drug or alcohol use is a violation of this Code.

Sanctions that may be imposed by the judicial system for such a violation range from warning through expulsion (see Article XI), depending on the nature and extent of the offense. Sanctions already imposed by the civil process shall be taken into account when imposing University sanctions.

Article IX. Accessory

A person is in violation of this Code if he or she intentionally aids or abets another in the commission of any offense(s) mentioned in this Code. An individual convicted of being an accessory is subject to the same range of penalties as an individual committing the act.

Article X. Judicial Proceedings

In order to provide adequate safeguards and orderly hearings, the judicial system is vested with certain inherent authority possessed by courts. A University judicial body may cite for contempt when its lawful processes are threatened or its sanctions disregarded. It may cite for perjury and issue subpoenas against individuals and for information including academic records in accord with the Statement of Student Rights (Section V, Paragraph 1a). Penalties for disruption of these judicial processes range from warning to suspension depending on the severity of the offense. Fines of not more than \$25 may also be imposed.

Article XI. Range of Sanctions

Sanctions that may be imposed are listed here in order of their severity:

1. Warning (to the individual);
2. Probationary status (no action is taken as long as no further violations of conduct regulations occur; probation is for a set length of time; in special cases restrictions of behavior may be the grounds for granting probation rather than suspension, i.e., the chronic alcohol violator may be required not to drink during a probationary period, violation of which would mean judicially imposed suspension);
3. Suspension (removal from the University community for a specified length of time, e.g., semester or academic year);
4. Expulsion (removal from the University community).

Fines and restitution for damage or loss may be imposed where noted in the Code. When appropriate, a student may be given the option of working off the equivalent of the sanction for the University at the minimum wage. Transcripts and/or diplomas may be held by the University until fines or restitution have been paid.

Sanctions affecting an individual's residence in University housing may be imposed only when the violation directly involves University housing. These sanctions are loss of privileges within the living group and temporary or permanent removal from University housing.

The Code of Conduct

Nearly two years. That's how long the Student Code of Conduct has been a major issue on campus. And understandably many don't even know what's been happening.

Originally attached to the "Statement of Student Rights" adopted by the Regents in November 1970, the Code has been revised by the "old" ASUI Senate and student leaders (remember Mann, Slayton, Russell, and Eberhard?); hassled over in the Campus Affairs Committee last year, (remember the senate version - vs - Davey-Richardson draft?); and finally reached the Faculty Council this year where upon this version was approved on Oct. 10, 1972.

Most of this final version is as it was approved by the senate, with the scope of the Code adopted from the Davey-Richardson draft.

The Code, which must still be approved by the general University faculty on Nov. 15 before going to the Regents in December, provides for a living group to set its own visitation hours, incorporates the Regent's anti-discrimination policy, and specifically delegates the investigatory, prosecuting and disciplinary roles relating to illegal drug and alcohol use to law-enforcement authorities.

According to this version, jeopardizing the academic operation or interests of the University community through drug or alcohol use violates the Code.

Previously, jeopardizing the academic operations of the University through drug or alcohol use violated the Code only when substantiated by civil convictions.

delegate of the President that the building is closed for business; interfering, through harassment, with the University's operation (this may include use of noisemaking or amplifying devices); interfering with reasonable use of driveways, parking lots, or sidewalks of the University; disruptively interfering with authorized events on University owned or controlled property or in University facilities; or intentionally interfering with University officials in the lawful conduct of their duties is a violation of this Code. (Idaho Code 33-3716 and 33-3715 are appended for information only and are not part of this Code.)

Sanctions which may be imposed for violations of this Article range from warning to expulsion (see Article XI) depending on the nature of the offense. The judicial body may also impose fines not in excess of \$25 or require restitution for damages.

Article V. Housing

The internal regulation of residence halls shall primarily be the responsibility of the individual living group organization. Such regulations must conform to the Statement of Student Rights; violations of those regulations may also be violations of this Code.

Living groups may also internally set regulations governing hours and areas of public access provided that such regulations do not condone the violation of an individual's right to privacy in his or her own rented space.

In the absence of a tenant organization, University dwellings are regulated only by this Code and the housing contract. Provisions of the housing contract may not violate the Statement of Student Rights or the stipulations of this Code.

... maybe

classrooms, laboratories, and corridors, and also where posted. Building or setting fires on University owned or controlled property without proper authorization, removing or tampering with fire equipment or fire alarm systems, or failure to vacate buildings promptly when fire alarms sound constitute violations of this Code.

Firearms also may endanger the safety of the University community when improperly handled. No firearm may be brought onto campus unless it is dismantled or cased. Firearms shall remain dismantled or cased until removed from campus. (Dismantling is defined as any procedure which removed the part(s) of the weapon necessary for discharging it. A loaded gun is defined as one containing live ammunition either in the chamber or in the magazine.) Loading or reloading ammunition is not permitted anywhere on campus; gun powder is not permitted except that contained in live ammunition. No ammunition will be allowed on campus except that designed for firearms which are properly stored on campus. Other explosive substances are also prohibited on campus, except as approved by the Department of Institutional Services. Breaches of the above stipulations are in violation of this Code.

Sanctions that may be imposed for violation of this Article range from warning to expulsion (see Article XI) depending on the nature and severity of the

This is only one strange feature of this election year. Two facets of the Watergate affair were recently brought to our attention by some Republican politicians, which have received little coverage elsewhere.

The first is the incongruity of bugging the National Headquarters of the party. As one Republican pro pointed out, "Nothing of importance is kept in a national headquarters. All you're going to find is some worthless files." The real campaign information of any value is with the candidate's private staff or in various state headquarters but certainly not in the national headquarters.

Obviously, the buggers are not legally innocent but they are innocent of political expertise.

The second facet is the affair's treatment by the outraged press. As Senator Barry Goldwater pointed out,

"The liberals who are today complaining because the public is not inclined to hold President Nixon personally accountable for every act committed by Republican workers are the same people who went all the way for the re-election of President Lyndon Johnson despite the crimes committed by his personal Senate protegee, Bobby Baker." And those crimes were played down by the media.

(But then if we'd elected Goldwater he probably would have got us into a war in Vietnam or something like that.)

And this same outraged press is ignoring something pointed out in an editorial by the Spokesman Review. It told how some Nixon headquarters across the country have been subject to such things as firebomb attacks.

But then that's the new politics.