

**Bunker Hill & Sullivan Mining & Concentrating Company.**

MINES AND WORKS AT WARDNER, IDAHO.

POSTOFFICE ADDRESS, KELLOGG, IDAHO,

Oct. 29/99

J. W. Bradley, Esq.

San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Sir,

Replying to your letter of 24th inst. from El Paso, in reference to the settlement of the Tax suit. He never made any definite settlement with the County Commissioners at \$1000. I told Lyde that we would be willing to pay that sum and he said he thought it would be accepted, as he knew the Commissioners did not desire to carry on the suit if they could decently avoid it. But the other two Commissioners refused to accept the proposed settlement. Scott Anderson being particularly opposed to it, and demanding \$1500.- I refused to increase our offer and said the suit could go on &c. Anderson appeared to be quite angry and said I was putting the Board in a very embarrassing position. That even at

**Bunker Hill & Sullivan Mining & Concentrating Company.**

MINES AND WORKS AT WARDNER, IDAHO.

POSTOFFICE ADDRESS, KELLOGG, IDAHO,

Oct. 29/99

\$1500 - they would be severely criticised by the public, and so on. Then Lyde asked me if I would make a proposition of any amount greater than \$1000 - and I refused to do so. He came back again with a proposition from the Board to settle at \$1250. net, and I agreed to it, as I considered it good business for us to do so, rather than to take chances on the outcome of the suit.

yours truly,  
Fred. Burbidge