

# Bunker Hill & Sullivan Mining & Concentrating Company.

MINES AND WORKS AT WARDNER, IDAHO.

Tacoma Contract.

POSTOFFICE ADDRESS,

KELLOGG, IDAHO, Nov. 27/99

J. W. Bradley Esq.

San Francisco.

Dear Sir,

I have yours of 24<sup>th</sup> with copy of contract submitted to Rust. I have examined it and believe it to be substantially the same as the one submitted before. It is to be noted however, that the grades of 2<sup>d</sup> Cl. Conc. + crude ore are given as, <sup>about</sup> 37% + 45% pb respectively, while Rust's letter of Nov. 18/98 put the figures at 35% + 40%. I think the changes in the first draft of contract were made by me. Rust may make a sticking point of this, because in his recent letters he is paving the way for a readjustment of prices, or an offset to our claims, on account of the grade of 2<sup>d</sup> Cl. + crude ore running above 35%. I think as put in the contract the figures are more fair - + more nearly what these classes of ore were averaging last spring.



**Bunker Hill & Sullivan Mining & Concentrating Company.**

MINES AND WORKS AT WARDNER, IDAHO.

2

POSTOFFICE ADDRESS,

KELLOGG, IDAHO,

Nov. 27/99

When the contract was first drawn up. Our 2<sup>d</sup>. Cl. concentrates are now running much higher - in fact there seems to be no good reason at present for making any distinction between 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>d</sup>. Class conc. Our Crude ore will not average much if any over 45% and there should be no allowance made on it - but in case we make a 2<sup>d</sup>. Cl. conc. running about 45% pb. it would be fair, I think, to allow more than 13<sup>00</sup> for freight & treatment. At present while it runs over 50% we are treating it as first class, so that Rust has no cause to complain about that. On the contrary we might set it up as a feature on which he makes more than his contract contemplated, or at least we can offset it against the higher grade crude ore which we are sending him at present.

Yours truly  
Fredk. Burbidge