References auted

"bends" to the right. This usage, of course, is common in every-day language - but it is improper in mechanics theory.

was se fles he goods words:

And I suspect, that is how the ambiguity comes in. The ambiguity is inherent in the common, everyday ranguage. I realize, of course, that no archeologist without training in mechanics theory can be expected to be aware of this ambiguity. For example, after you had used the term bending ambiguously - but in a way that is quite consistent with everyday usage - Bornichsen in his thesis (p.36-37) was arguing against some of your observations or interpretations, and against elasticity theory. In his arguements he compounded the confusion by being unaware of the ambiguity of the term bending that is present in both your writings and in everyday usage.

Because of the above ambiguity, I find it impossible to interpret the following two of your observations or interpretations:

1). "If it were not for this property of flexibility (...quality to be bent, or pliancy...), there would be no convex or double-convex artifacts"(1967, P.24).

2). "There is little doubt that the worker can control the bending of flakes or blades, for we have the surface evidence proof on bifacially flaked artifacts that have been ripple-flaked over a curved surface from one lateral edge to the other"(1968, p. 472).

My questions are as follows:

A). In the above statement No.2, when you use the term bending do you intend it to refer to the kind of deformation known in mechanics theory as bending (involving changes in curvature), or did you intend to use it in the sense of, say, the road is "bending" to the right, or a flake has curvature (with no implication on change in curvature)?

Using the term "bending" only with reference to its meaning in mechanics theory - that is, to indicate changes in curvature - from your observations,

B). Do you believe it is possible to control bending in the

production of blades from polyhedral cores?

C). Do you believe it is possible to control bending when ripple-flaking or parallel-flaking over a curved surface of a preform from one lateral edge to the other?

D). Do you believe it is possible to make adjustments to the applied forces (the "downward" or "outward" components) during blade or flake detachment - that is, after the blade or flake has started to come off the core or biface, but before it has completely been detached? Do you believe this is possible in the production of blades from polyhedral cores? Or in the removal of flakes in some parallel-flaking?

I do realize that some of the above questions may be naive on my part. Nevertheless, I do hope that you will find the time to answer

I know that you have a lot of correspondence, and I know that you are doing a lot of writing. So, honestly Don, if I could get a response from you any time before the end of May, it would be perfectly fine with me.

With best wishes to you and Evelyn,

Are Tsirk

4.

References eited in my enter to your works:

1967 Tebiwa 10(1): 8-24.

1968 American Antiquity 33(4): 446-478.

1972 An Introd. to Fluit working. Occ. Papers

of the Idaho State Univ. Museum 28.

-engin sid al . Troud this real and the contract to be some of the contract to -idea and le succession paint of real and the contract of the c

Because of the above ambiguity, I find it impossible to errors the following two of your observations or interventions

1). "If it were not for this property of Theribility (...quelity to be bont, or pliancy...), there would be no conver
or double-convex artifacts"(1967,F.24).

ding of flakes or bisdes, for we have the nurisse evidence crost on bissessiy flaked artifects that have been ripple-flaked over a curved surface from one lateral ware to the other (1968, p. 472).

A). In the above statement No.2, when you use the tent bearing do you intend it to refer to the wind of deformation bushes in uschanics theory as bending (favolving observer as corver to ture), or did you intend to use it is the sends ut, may the read in "bending" to the right, or a finds has convenue.

Uning the term "bending" only with reference to its nearing in servature - contacted theory - that is, to indicate diameter in our value of from your observations,

E). No you believe it is possible to control sending in the production of blades from polyhedral cores; (), To you believe it is possible to control sending when tipple-distinct or possible to the control sending or the control sending or

I). Do you believe it is possible to make adjustments to me applied forces (the "downward" or "sutward" components) during blade or flake detechment - that is, after the blade or flake has started to dome off the core or bilace, but bafore it has completely been deteched? Do you believe

Timiting?
de realise that some of the above quantions may be naive on my or . Mevertheless, I do hope that you will that the size to answ

Word I has some accounted to sol a ward way take word I
from I to not with send on any time box send on the to sol a mount
from I to not with the best ore the best ore the to the to the to the to the send of the send o

with beer washed to not been dely

9276T 926