

BLADE TECHNOLOGY

The technology of blade making has much to offer archaeology because of the diverse diagnostic features. Many old world cultures were based primarily on blade industries. The blades were used as they were removed from the core, some slightly modified and others drastically altered and modified into a vast array of implements, many specialized forms of tools being made into implements corresponding to a particular environment and designed to perform a particular function. There are occasions when the blade was flaked and reflaked on both faces and both margins until the original blade is difficult to identify it's original character or to determine if it was a core or a blade tool. Small projectile tips often lose their identity to whether they were derived from a core, flake or blade.

Professor Francois Bordes and Jacques Tixier, both eminent French archaeologists and typologists have based a great deal of the typological findings on the technological features of the blade making industries of Europe and North Africa. These men are not alone in the interpretations of Old World prehistory but have made many important and valuable contributions to the profession. Their contributions have a technological basis that results in factual rather than theoretical conclusions.

ce. 31.7.1 (10)

Since the Conference on Lithic Technology held in Les Eyzies, France in Nov. 1964, ten years have ^{now} transpired and one can now look in retrospect and appraise the developments that have taken place in experimental archaeology as a means of a better understanding of prehistoric technology. One part of experimental archaeology is that pertaining to working stone with emphasis on the fracturing techniques used in forming stone with the properties of isotropism, that is ~~###~~ lithic (lithos, Greek meaning stone) materials that when subjected to force that exceeds the elastic limits of the material fracture in any direction in a predetermined manner. The most desirable materials to be used by prehistoric and ~~modern~~ recent technology are those silicious, vitreous, cryptocrystalline ~~rocks~~ ~~###~~ flint~~##~~ or flintlike rocks. Many of the materials with these qualities respond to controlled fracture much better than others. Some have great sharpness but are extremely friable, while others are extremely tenacious and difficult to control predetermined fracture but are ideal for tools that are subjected to rigorous abusive tasks. Materials are selected to correspond with tools that are designed to perform a specific function when they are available. Material qualifications for blade making cannot be stressed too much and for precision blade making good material is a requisite.

Blades have an almost universal distribution, much more in Old world technologies than the New World. In the past there was thought to be almost no blade making in North and South America, but as further archaeological investigation progresses blade making is in evidence over a considerable time span and possibly more evident among ^{paleoman} ~~pre-columbian~~ than among the later times. One of the reasons that New world blade technology is in so little evidence is the rarity of finding cores, it is indeed rare to find a well formed percussion blade core, however pressureblade cores are common in Mesoamerica ~~and~~, Upper Mississippi valley and the Arctic. The percussion blade cores appear to have been utilized for the making of smaller artifacts.

How is a blade or blade core identified? Often the blade has been modified by flaking, broken into sections and changed into tools that make immediate recognition difficult or impossible. What is the difference between a blade and a flake. The archeologist is confronted by these and other problems, first one should be concerned with the intention of the worker and his techniques of removing a long specialized flake with parallel sides that upon removal falls into the category of a blade. (Blade technology is not to be confused with unifacial or bifacial flaked artifacts.) ~~#####~~ A blade upon removal from the core is

unmodified by additional flaking with sharp parallel lateral margins, usable as is for unlimited cutting and slicing functions or hafted to protect the workers hand. Too the blade may be modified into a vast array of artifacts, particularly industries based on blades. Time and text does not permit a breakdown of the numerous varieties of tools that can be ~~#####~~ derived from blades. The blades can be designed in all sizes from the moste minute micro blades to those of Grand Pressigny that may exceed forty centemeters in length. The worker may also control the width and thickness. The dorsal surface may also be characterized by one or more ridges the most common are those blades ^{triangulate or} that have a single ridge and are/sub triangulate in transverse section or trapozoidal with two ridges. Blades with more than two ridges on the dorsal surface are not uncommon , generally thicker at mid section and sometimes assosiated with a rejuvenationof the core face, particularly when a previous blade failed to detach the full length of the core. Too upon detachment of a thick blade with more than two guiding ridges often terminates by removeing ~~the~~ a portion of the distal end of the core. A blade of this character bears the term of 'otrepasse' a French term by Tixier meaning over and beyond. Blades like flakes will have variations of curvature , termination and platform character directly

related to the technique involved in the detachment of blades.

Some time and some where in the dim past a biped called man supplemented his teeth and nails by using sharp fragments of stone. With the passage of time and slowly developed skills of designing implements conforming to his survival and environment started a phylogeny of stone tool making that became increasingly more sophisticated until the introduction of metals. It may be taken for granted that simple direct percussion using a hammerstone was first used to detach flakes from flintlike materials rather than using indirect percussion and pressure. The early worker in stone was confronted by the problem of repetitiously removing flakes that had certain dimension and attributes. Usable flakes with sharp cutting edges were in no way as complex to produce as making blades. Through trial and error eventually experience was gained to control the length, width, thickness, curvature and termination of specialized flakes. Certain specialized flakes fall into the categories of blades. In order to accomplish control of the flake dimension the surface character of the object being worked on was ~~the~~ the major factor, becoming increasingly more obvious as the making of choppers, handaxes and flake cores progressed through time. The development of the Levalloise¹ core and flake is an early example of controlling flake character.

The Levallois core and flake tradition ~~was~~ was disseminated over a large area of Europe and Africa and not unknown in other parts of the world. The Levallois technique has many diversified technological approaches, a possible explanation for such wide spread blade and blade core techniques. The Levallois core and flake production was generally a wasteful method of toolmaking in order to only get one and occasionally two usable flakes. Their advantage being that all but the basal area had a sharp usable margin, without the necessity of modification, and a useful tool of predetermined size and form. It was found that when the Levallois core was fabricated with the right degree of convexity the width and length of the Levallois flake could be controlled. Such a control in all likelihood progressed into blade and blade core technology. It was found that the degree of convexity and the angle or angles on the faces of a core were in direct control of the spread of the flakes or blades. The angles on the faces could vary from the acute to the obtuse, but as the obtuse approached hundred and eighty degrees the blade would spread excessively, margins would not be parallel, eradicate marginal ridges on the core and make worthless for the removal of more additional blades. Some Levallois cores were prepared longitudinally rather than from around the perimeter. The cores prepared around the

perimeter are characterized by a convex surface, the degree of convexity controlling the expansion of the levallois flake to be detached.

The convex surface core demonstrated control of the flake but ~~the~~ had no guiding ridges that were present on the cores that were prepared longitudinally and from these cores it would seem that blade technology developed. After preparing a longitudinal levallois core it would seem reasonable that instead of removing one or two blade-like flakes, one would make a larger and thicker core and remove numerous blades successively. Upon learning the principle of using preestablished ridges to control the length and dimensions of the blade, the ^{and more} techniques became more/refined and sophisticated with the advancement of time. With the advanced techniques numerous techniques were developed

With a wide distribution in many parts of the world. The longitudinal levallois technique may have been/instrumental in developing some blade techniques but other approaches and independent techniques ~~were~~ are

~~in evidence~~ evident in time and space where there was no history of the levallois core and flake. There will probably never be an exact orientation of the beginnings of blade technologies. There is little question that it originated from a percussion technique rather than a pressure technique. To cite a few examples of blade making

it would be well for me to relate the results of my first experiments in replicating blades of prehistory. First I did not invent the blade, but was furnished examples of the end results by the examination of

blades described in various archaeological journals and publications. ^{archaeological collection} in numerous museums and University ^s Upon examination of the prehistoric models/it was discovered that one

last and had only the/final blueprint of the finished artifact. Gone were the multiple stages of manufacture ~~###~~, tools and techniques and origins of the raw materials. The problems were indeed perplexing, how to change

a chonchoidal expanding flake into one that has the form of a prism with and a dorsal surface bearing typical blade scars . paralell sides/ ~~Th#~~ An example that comes to my mind is that of a

modern housewife in a New York High rise recieving the inheretance of a spinning wheel from a long past ancestor and imediately making homespun fabrics for her neighborly occupants. The example has little to do

with flintworking , but only illustrates how soon comparatively simple manipulative ^{soon} ~~#####~~ manual procedures are/forgotten. It is of interest to

review a recent publication by Eric Sloane, A Museum of Early American

Tools, a special members edition of the American Museum of Natural History,

New York, To see how soon are forgotten common everyday tools, many are

hard to identify without the caption, let alone the consideration of

a modern craftsman using them. This paper is not to be one concerned

: a tition of stone tool

with use and function of the stone tools but the techniques and methods by which they were made. Upon understanding these processes it will aid in difrenciation^{of}/differences and identifying blades produced in assorted societies in time and space. ## Eric Sloane in his ~~#####~~ book on ~~#####~~ Ear.y American Tools has a chapter titled " An Ax is an Axe!", we too upon an apraizial of a blade is a blade find many identifyiable varations.

Previously stated^{as}/a possible inception of blade making was the Levallois longetudnal preparation which may have been instrumental in blade making. There are also other possible approaches. These may in part depend on the sources of raw ## material and the nature of the deposit. Flintlike materials that occur in dikes or ~~#####~~ horizontal or blanket veins often erode or are mined in the form of subrectangular blocks or other allide lithic ^{materials} blocks and angular pieces. Pieces of fling/with natural ridges or corners on the blockey material can often be used as cores with a minimum of modification. The ridge being the guiding factor nessary to make a prismatic flake or single ridged blade. The intentional removal of the bladelike ridge causes two additional ridges to be formed which can also be used to guide aditional blades from the corelike block. It should be mentioned here that natural pressures, diastrophisim and expansion can cuase an exfoliation that can be compared to the first blade

removed from a core. However if the first blade bears flakeing on the dorsal surface at right angles to the longitudinal axis of the blade or if flake scars appear on one or both sides of the ridged dorsal surface or if the flake scars are alternated to either establish or straighten the ridge prior to the removal there is little question of whether the blade was made by man or nature. The second and third blades may retain one half of the dorsal surface covered by cortex or the natural surface but the other side of the single ridged blade will bear the negative blade scar of the previous removed blade. the removal of a second or subsequent blades is very unlikely by natural occurrences.

Another beginning to blade manufacture, but somewhat more complex is the reduction of cobbles, natural concretionary masses and spheroid masses to core forms with a flat top that will serve as a striking platform. Upon removal of the core top from a rounded surface requires considerable skill of the workman and the intention must be preconceived. Simple battering or throwing will usually render the material useless because of making non homogeneous areas that would inhibit the removal of a series of blades. Upon the removal of the top of a kidney shaped nodule of flintlike material, the worker will appraise the ekingated margins and select the area presenting the most convex surface