My purpose in this paper is to describe and explain what materials are used in the toolmaking industry, to resolve what stone is adaptable for flaking and to point out some of the working problems related to material that confront a flintknapper. The following text will endeavor to further explain man's ability to control the size, thickness, width and length of flakes thus detached. When one is able to control the four dimensions - thickness, width, length and curve when removing a flake, he can then produce almost any tool he may need.

The study of lithic materials is important for the interpretation of manufacturing techniques, and for typology. The material used has a direct bearing on methods of manufacture and could even have restricted the toolmaker in the final control of thinness, and flaking uniformity, Further, a working knowledge of the stone to be flaked is essential for the knapper, as any variation in its quality may require a different method of flaking.

What are lithic materials? Ideal lithic materials are kinds of stone with the necessary properties of texture, elasticity and flexibility. They must be relatively free of flaws, cracks and inclusions so they will withstand the proper amount of shock and force necessary to detach a flake of a predetermined dimension. When subjected to the proper amount of force, applied on a properly prepared platform, portions of the stone can be removed producing flakes with a very sharp cutting edge. This definition is based on my own experience in making stone tools.

I would like to point out the differences in the many types of lithic materials. Each source of stone has certain attributes of which the worker is aware. For example, when Dr. Francois Bordes and the writer were doing some experimental flint working at the University of California in Berkeley, materials for our project were from many and diverse locations, i.e., Southern France, Northern France, Indiana, California (2 locations), Oregon and Idaho, representing seven widely separated sources. After a week of working the materials were almost entirely utilized and the resulting array of flakes was mingled in one big heap. Yet, if any single flake had been given us,

CC.

35,10

00

I

and this happened, we could identify its origin without error. The point I am trying to emphasize is that after the toolmaker has worked with a given material, he will be able to <u>identify</u> its <u>peculiar</u> properties.

Often I have heard reference being made to a large thick biface, irregularly on unsuitable material cours surface-flaked, as "crude heavy biface" or "crude percussion work", where as in reality, the worker was a skilled craftsman to have produced any type of tool conour quality of the stone sidering the material he had to work with. I have also heard this same reference being made to pressure work on poor material whereas the presence of any control at all denoted a skilled craftsman. A stone knapper will always relate the quality of workmanship to the material and this same rule should apply tonly MyDCertain materials will allow the platform to collapse + leaving a dull edge ahen while others haven't sufficient strength or flexibility to permit making a long thin 2 will brook off about Causing flake, thereby causing multiple hinge and step-fractures. Personally, I cannot do the + loan achieve fine controlled pressure flaking on coarse-grained materials, but this I can do with San appartunely on finer, more closely-grained stone. From the few collections I have been able to study, textured well have revealed this same relationship of fine flaking to fine material. Therefore, strolled I reiterate that we must consider material in our analysis of tools, our explanation of type, and the study of technology.

Poor material showing skilled and controlled surface techniques **does** indicates good workmanship. Good quality material skillfully worked also denotes good workmanship. When we **do** find poor work on quality stone then I think it is safe to assume we are viewing <del>poor</del> workmanship, unless we find, on inspection, that the worker was merely preforming good material which was later to receive refined techniques.

() Most sources of lithic materials produce a stone that is identifiable through special qualities recognized by the stoneworker. He must, When choosing material, we observe must determine the homogeneity of the mass, and appraise the texture and lustre of the stone choose the range material gapping finite and fit the size of the rough material to what he wants in a finished tool. The me having myriad bright colors are desirable, but color in most instances, does not indicate

Ce. 35.10

00

2

workabelety of workability of stone. In making an appraisal of the, flint-like materials to determine their workability, one may first tap the stone lightly to prevent bruising, and listen to the sound of the tapping. Other features to be examined are: texture, lustre, surface character (rind or cortex) color, transparency, sound, flexibility, sharpness of the removed flakes and perhaps most important is the amount of resistance to the necessary force required for detaching a flake. The degree of lustre is used as a guide by the toolmaker to regulate the amount of force necessary to remove a flake of demation a given size. The variations of lustre include glassy, waxy, greasy, satiny to dull, matt, flat, sugary, fine crystalline, medium crystalline, coarse crystalline and sandy. Generally, the coarser the stone texture, the tougher and the more difficult it is to work. The tougher the stone, the more difficult it becomes to remove regular But, conversely, and uniform flakes. However, the platform prepared on coarse material will collapse more readily than that fabricated on finer textured material.

3 35.10. CIB

W

3