GRINDING AND SMOOTHING OF STONE ARTIFACTS

To arrive at a comprehensive evaluation of the diagnostic features of stone implements, the analyst should be capable of spearating the diverse implications of grinding and attrition on stone artifacts. Some grinding and attrition is the result of the manufacturing process but, generally, it represents a modification. For example - Neolithic implements are formed and shaped by grinding and abrading all surfaces to ultimately form and sharpen the artifact. On the other hand, we find unifacially and bifacially flaked stone artifacts which are often made more even by grinding or attriting the surfaces. This is not a forming action but, rather, a means of making the artifacts smoother and for for easier penetration, withdrawal and cutting. In recap - the Neolithic implements are formed by grinding and the bifaces are formed by chipping but are ground only for functional expediency.

Both intentional and unintentional surface attrition is a possible diagnostic feature which may be useful when correctly evaluating flaked stone implements. Intentional attrition can be a diagnostic trait related to the manufacturing technique. Unintentional attrition can aid in determining the functional purpose or can be attributed to natural causes. I know of no publication which has described this particular attribute but it can be a valuable key to the manufacturing process or functional hypothesis. Recently there has been considerable interest in the study of functional wear on the edges of tools which appear to be the wear pattern resulting from continual use. This would be an example of attrition resulting from function on the working part used to perform a specific task.

Cc. 35.18.1-8

Abrasion, grinding and polishing on the basal margins of projectile points have been observed and described in various texts as a preparation technique of platforms prior to flaking. It has also been noted that grinding is evident and perhaps even limited to the surfaces and basal portions of Clovis points and other paleoindian projectile points of the new world while the grinding and abrasion of platforms on blade cores generally has a universal distribution. However, intentional abrasion of flaked surfaces has remained unnoticed or overlooked and little is known of the extent and distribution in time and space of this smoothing process and purpose.

Abrading the platform surface aids the stoneworker in detaching flakes and blades because it strengthens the area where force is applied thereby preventing crushing of the platform which would result in only a partial removal of the flake or blade. The smoothing and rounding of the acute edge of the proximal end of the projectile was , undoubtedly, done to prevent severing the lashings or servings when the stone tool was inserted and affixed to the shaft. Intentional abrasion was quite prevelent among the paleoindians due to their advanced technique of precision platform preparation and the possible use of their implements as thrusting spears. The stoneworker seldom - if ever - ground the basal portion of the artifact classified as an arrowhead because he realized it would not survive more than one flight without breaking. However, an exception is the Hopewell beveled notched points which were used repeatedly as knives. These show polishing at the hafted part which was apparently affixed with lashings to handles. We can hypothesize then that we can separate projectile points and thrusting spears intended for continuous and repeated use by the grinding or lack of grinding on the basal portion. Those intended for hafting and sustained and repeated use would be intentionally

ground at the basal portion - those intended for a one-shot kill would lack this basal grinding and polish.

Surface attrition of one face (uniface) and two faces (biface) is generally overlooked by the analyst as one of the diagnostic traits of prehistoric man and, therefore, not included or described in reports. However, this can be a pertinent diagnostic feature. The ground and polished faces I have observed in collections have generally been on paleoindian artifacts and appear to be an intentional smoothing of the surfaces rather than the result of function. Recently, Gene Titmus, recovered a chalcedony knife in mint condition which was worked with parallel diagonal flaking and exhibited superior skill and exquisite workmanship. This was a surface find from the Shoshone Basine in South Central Idaho. This knife-like oviate is approximately 12 cm. long ~~xx~~, 5 cm. wide and 4 mm. thick with very sharp margins. The ridges of the flake scars on each face have been ground and polished with accuracy and precision. When the surface is prepared by this smoothing process, friction and drag are substantially reduced thereby allowing repeated deep cutting action with a minimum of effort. When deep penetration is desired - whether the implement is used as a knife or thrusting spear - th e smooth surfaces of both faces facilitate the cutting or thrusting. The spectacular Clovis points from the Simon site in Idaho (Swanson, Tebiwa) are superb examples of intentional surface smoothing. They are designed for killing large game animals by the deep penetration of thrusting spears. It is unlikely that they were affixed to a foreshaft and propelled by the throwing stick or atatal. It is even possible that the Simon points were used for butchering in which case the surface smoothing would make the job easier. Once a spear is thrown or cast the hunter is weaponless and unless

the projectile scores a fatal hit the stone point will likely be fractured . This manner of killing would require a backup supply of spears to accomplish the kill. It would seem unlikely that a single throw or cast of the spear would result in the instant kill of an animal as large as the giant bison or elephant. However, a shorter spear fitted with the classic Simon polished fluted point is ideal for repetitious deep thrusting of the spear at closer proximity and resulting in a quicker kill. A skillful hunter could use this type of thrusting implement indefinitely barring accidental breakage from mishandling or the tip striking bone. When one considers the manufacturing skill and meticulous precision necessary to produce this fluted biface with ground surfaces it seems evident that it was intended for a thrusting spear and not a projectile. The steps involved in arriving at the end product include many stages of manufacture plus grinding and polishing, indicating that the worker was trying to produce an implement which would endure through many kills.

It was not an easy task to secure the proper abrasive media - which must be of the hardness of seven on the Mohs scale - for rubbing and lapping the stone. Abrasive materials having a hardness of eight or nine are usually found in metamorphic rocks. Garnet is probably the most common. Corundums are harder than garnet and have a limited distribution. The Columbia River Plateau has a predominance of extrusive basalts which are geologically comparatively recent. This restricts the exposures of metamorphic rocks and consequently limits the ready availability of adequate abrasive materials in this area. It was undoubtedly more difficult to obtain good abrasive materials than to secure the proper stone for the artifacts. I know of only one archaeological source of abrasive materials in situ - this is a piece of mica shist containing garnet crystals which was excavated by Dr. Marie Wormington at Kersey, Colorado - an Agate Basin

butchering site. The artifacts at this site bore evidence of grinding and smoothing at the basal parts but I do not recall a smoothing of the faces. However, a reexamination of the artifacts may reveal that the points were designed for repetitious use or to serve the dual purpose of knives for butchering or tips for spears.

Unintentional or functional attrition - as opposed to intentional grinding and smoothing - should be considered before making a final appraisal of a collection. It is common to find in collections large pointed bifaces which appear to have functioned as hafted digging or planting tools showing attrition on both margins and both faces apparently the result of repeated thrusting into the soil. Silica sand and grit has an abrasive and burnishing action on stone artifacts. Flints and siliceous materials used to make artifacts are approximately the same hardness as quartz sand and the abrasive action is very slow compared to the worker intentionally grinding with an abrasive material harder than quartz. Also the character of functional abrasion is quite different than intentional smoothing. Striations resulting from functional alteration start at the working end and are directed toward the base in one direction and the leeward side of any protrusions will not be altered by abrasive action. Details of functional polish and attrition of implements other than projectile points should be noted and compared in order to form a basis for intended function. Corn polish or silica deposits acquired from reaping grain, grasses or other vegetable materials having a high silica content are not to be confused with wearing away attrition and intentional abrasion. As opposed to these functional wear patterns, intentional smoothing is done from both directions or by a rotary motion and will have corresponding striations. The margins are not affected by intentional surface smoothing.

A separate and complex study is the unintentional wear and functional attrition found on scrapers, adzs and their flakes, hoes and other cutting tools having acute angle margins. This study is not included here for it is complex and should contain an explanation of how the tools were held, hafted, used, and the tasks performed on specific materials.

We also find unintentional abrasion on the faces of elongated bifaces which have the appearance of knives or spearpoints and this could easily be confused with the intentional smoothing of thrusting spears and knives. "The Obtuse Angle as a Functional Cutting Edge" (Tebiwa Vo. 16, No.1, 1973) explains how such implements were used as files, hones and rasps. This paper also explains how the surface of a biface is characterized by a series of flake scars directed inward from both margins to and across the median line. These ridges make an adequate rasp-like implement to use as a forming tool when working on hard resistant materials. When they are continually used on a hard surface - such as jade - the ridges will become rounded and smoothed until they resemble intentionally ground and smoothed bifaces predesigned by the worker to reduce friction and drag. This planing action was also applied by prehistoric man to the ridges on cores.

When evaluating artifacts to determine intentional attrition due to facial smoothing or unintentional attrition due to functional processes, we should also consider friction due to natural causes. On perplexing example due to the lack of provenience are the unhafted artifacts which have been transported long distances and have become burnished and abraded on all surfaces as a result of rubbing together in the carrier's yielding pouch. When they are carried unprotected in the leather pouch, the continuous movement acts as an abrasive on all surfaces and, therefore, the attrition will be more pronounced on the margins and ridges of the flake

scars. The artifacts may be made of stone of similar hardness but the surfaces will still become burnished and worn from such movement. This type of wear is more characteristic to blanks, preforms and unhafted artifacts. The large oviate bifaces from the Simon Clovis site bear these characteristics. This does not apply to finished projectile points which exhibit intentional smoothing. I have noted examples of surface smoothing and polishing on projectile points and broken sections which are superb examples of flaking but which were out of context with associated artifacts. I have often found these worked pieces on the surface of comparatively recent Indian campsites in association with arrowpoints. The arrowpoints were made from simple flakes entirely by the pressure technique exhibiting random flaking by an inferior knapping technique. Many were curved on the ventral side with a minimum amount of flaking on that side. These recent points show a lack of skill and the impatience of the worker and can not be compared to the parallel flaking on the precision pieces found in association. These sophisticated pieces were, no doubt, held in esteem by the owner because of their ascetic value or perhaps were fetishes of the medicine man. Since their workmanship is discordant with the arrowpoints associated with the campsite, it is safe to assume that they were transported a considerable distance and were unintentionally smoothed and polished by the movement during travel.

Unintentional and natural attrition can also be the result of action of the elements. For example - ventifacts which are associated with eolian conditions and which are polished at least on one surface can be the result of the wind blowing abrasive sand on the stone. A continual or sustained condition such as this could very easily polish the surface. Another

example of natural abrasion of lithic tools are those found in association with the abrasive sands of beaches, seas and lakes. Also, the turbulence and movement of sand & sediments and water in streams and river bottoms and boiling springs can induce a polish on artifacts found under these conditions. These are only a few factors to be considered when making a final evaluation of attrited flaked stone artifacts.

It is not easy for the student who has not worked stone to differentiate between intentional, unintentional and natural abrasion. However, there are a few clues which can help his analysis. Intentional grinding and smoothing is generally by a rotary motion and, therefore, the striations will be multi-directional. If the worker grinds in a back and forth motion then the striations will be parallel or sub-parallel. Also the margins are not affected by intentional grinding.

Unintentional functional attrition w ill leave striations on the stone which will conform with the manner in which the tool was used.

Natural abrasion and polish will be random and minus pattern or sustained direction.

The observation of attrition on the surfaces of bifaces and ridges of cores can be of great value to the student of lithic technology. It not only will improve his typeology but will also give clue to the functional intent and method of employment.