Rather than burden all of those interested in flake analysis with long cumbersone attribute lists at this time I would like to call attention to some of the problems of flake interpretation wether made by the aboriginal or aboriginal the experimenter. It is doubtfull and highly improbable that all/techniques or every be adentified time spend and and degrees of shill of flake and blade making will be fully understood. We will however as the on science of archaeology progresses in time and space be able to start associating indicative of gither forallel or research techniquel certain features and characteristics that are common to certain extinct social always a groups. It is not to say that there is any direct conection between these peoples the technique and that the techniques used to produce them may be parallels using diverse approaches to obtain results that are similar. Many qualities are to be considered. One must become aware of the vast differences in materials, for example, volcanic glass has the geological name of Obsidian, yet as a lithic material and flaking It has differences m qualities have wide variations,. Differences in mineral constituents, Geological formations + age, impurities, inherent stresses and strains, elastic qualities, temperatures of solidification and the size of the available material can and will influence compoundmatteple flaked the end product wether it be for flakes, blades or/implements. Silicious rocks like Flort Chat and Chocaldonies are even more highly varable and the worker must either modily or develope techniques that Will conform with the material. But 4 builting and the feel

Flake character can be influenced by the fabricators used to perform a specific technique or techniques, often it is said that a billet was used to very nard detach thin long skimming flakes, but billets are usually of/wood or antler, bone is unsatisfactory because of the porus compositionor the ends, one minor exception is the Too the antler of a deer is strongly curver &/light distal end of the cannon bone of a norse. The horse and the large antiered animals ## not common to all parts of the world where flintworking took place. Man often had to resert to other than billets for clake removal. My personal thought is that percussors of the right quality stone were by far the most most common percussion tool used to make flakes and blades. Here to the texture and qualities of the nammerstone must conform to the nature of the material being flaked.

Ce. 35.9.1

G ood hammerstone material is often as hard to find as good material for flaking amd the wear patterns on the hammerstones can often be related to the detached flakes or blades and be equally diagnostic. The contact area between the hammerstone and the material being flaked is an area called the platform and it is this proximal part of the flake or blade that can provide much information about the technique used, the hardness or softness of the percussor, the stages of implement production ##, the simple detachment of a flake or blade to be used as is, ## to be modified into another simple tool, discarded or preparation flakes. The word platform is a shot gun term because of the varied nature of the percussor striking the material , the platform at the first stage may be the natural unaltered surface of the material being flaked, the angle of the platform from the dorsal to the ventral side may vary from a right angle to an acute angle, It can be a specially prepared negative flake scar, prepared my multiple flake scars, natural cortex, a plane fracture, the platform may be isolated from the material being flaked, the platform may be at varied distances from the margin, it can be relieved for esier detachment by removing flakes from each side and the face. The platform may be rough ground and be detached by lessened force or it may be polished and rounded to give the area greater strength. The methods are many and varied and can be of diagnostic value in determining techniques of flake and blade removal. Too the platform character may change at different stages of manufacturing sequences of stages. Lithic materials in the form of cores used to produce a series of usable flakes or blades rather than a single artifact will be more consistant in their character atributes than making a core tool like a simple oviate biface. However flakes and blades will vary in form as the core is reduced in size the the platform part will remain much more consistant. Generally the farther in from the margin the thicker the bladeor flake, but this feature is not always true, a very thick blade or flake can be removed with a very small platform if properly prepared and positioned.

The path of flight, of the percussor, we there it be arclike or a straight line,
the angle of the flight path in relation to the angle of the platform, the
speed of travel of the percussor to the platform, and the inertia or support of the
piece being flaked all have definite bearing on the character of the resultant flake.

The exterior surface or dorsal side of the flake or blade to be detached normally and margins controls the width ###, length/of the flake, but not nessarly the thickness. The surface may be natural or prepared by preliminary flaking to design the dimen#ions of the preconcieved flake or blade.

Time does not permit one to go into minute detail/of of the prenoted conditions and circumstances nessary to detach a flake. However by using a method or a cluster of pre-concieved conditions, flakes may be made at will, with the desireable dimensions when such control is achieved of width, thicknes, length and termination and/minute be able to make a variety of artifacts. Another factor most important in flake or blade making is is the human participation of coordinating hand and eye and compensating for the ever changing condition—s of the material being flaked. He must have exacting muscular control in order to ajust the amount of force nessary to induce fracture of a pre-concieved area.

It is fortunate for the archaeologist that some mistakes and miscalculations much about the sound of the flaked tool industries.

More often than not the archaeologist is confronted by a following list of problems that are often answered by an examination of the lithic debris. 1, How was an artifact made and with whattools were used to make it? 2. Why was it made in a certain way, 3 Why were certain lithic materials selected for the artifact? 3. What was the tool indended for? 5. What task was the tool to perform. 6. Was the tool a multi-purpose too? 7. How was the tool held in order to perform a specific function? 8. Was the tool hafted? 9. How was the tool hafted? #.19. What was the action of the tool on the objective material? 10. Was the tool pulled or pushed? 12. Does the tool strike or press the objective material? 44. Was the tool used for scrapping or cutting? 15. How can the angle of the tool edge be compared to the resistance of the material being formed? What is the difference between attrition and corn polish? 17. What causes the striations on the working edge of the tool? 18 What are the directions of the striations on the working edgeof the tool? 19. Was the tool used as a burnisher ? 20. Do some softer materials being formed have an abrasive action on the tool? 21. How can use flakes be identified as opposed intentional retouch? 22. What are the characteristics of use flakes? 23. What is indicatedby a series of use flakes of certain character, termination, change of angle, incresed resistance, improper use, beginners or aprentise, mishandeling? 24. Was the tool abandon upon completion of task? 25. Was the tool broken from accitend, manufacture or imperfections of the material? 26. Was the tool exausted from resharpning? These are only a few of the problems encountered when evaluating lithic material. Each flake or artifact must be considered independently. Then clusters of like attributes will have diagnostic signifigancs



The flake character is also influenced by both form and composition of the percussor, wether used in afixed position or hand heldand projected against the objective piece of lithic material. A hard, dense stone is selected for cleaving, quartering and the removal of large thick flakes, while for precision work and finishing, ;a percussior of # yeilding qualities is selected. A percussor must have a texture that will corespond with the material being flaked. A very hard stone percussor will cause the platform part to shatter and will not yeild. ############ Stone for a particular percussoris often difficult to obtain and they were much prized by the worker, he often transported them long distances, and retained them until they were accidently broken or were exausted. When one becomes accustomed to a particular hammerstone of the correct size, weight and friction he is loath to part with it. Possibly explaining the strange lack of percussors in aboriginal sites. The hammerstones selected to suit the materials being worked are of far more importance than the novice would believe. The percussors not only influence the character of the flake, but are in themselves diagnostic and can be related to percussion techniques. Those hand held or hafted are of a variety of shapes, sizes and textures, and upon examination provides clues as to the manner of use and can indicate a specific technique. When the worker holds the percussor in the hand and projects it to a predetermined platform on the lithic material, #### held in the other hand, it is known as Free hand direct percussion. This technique allows the worker considerably more freedom of movement than the use of the fixed percussor. If the worker is righthanded, the left hand can manipulate and manuver the material being flaked and adjusted to the proper angle to recieve the impact of the percussor. Free hand direct percussionwith rest is much the same as free hand direct percussion, except a solid support is used to make the objective piece more inert. An example is the objective piece is placed on an anvil stone, reduceing the inertia and results in the worker using lessend velocity of the percussor and obtaining flatter blades of flakes than when using freehand direct percussion without rest.

DIAGNOSTIC VALUE OF LITHIC DEBRIS

Often many stone tools are not seconized as such. A simple flakecan often perform a simple cutting task and then is discarded as soon as the job at hand was completed. The simple flake will often bear certain technological ******* attributes that may be distinctive particular time and space. The simple flake may have diognostic characteristics that will indicate the technique used for it's detachment. More sophisticated implements made to perform specific tasks often show stages of completion. Each stage of development may show the use of different techniques and tools used to tedach the flakes.

When Stoneage man was able to develope techniques that would allow him to control the form of the flake and govern the size, length, width, thickness, thinness, curvature and termination, he could then produce a variety of useful implements to suit his envisoment.

It is not possible at this time to examine all of the diverse methods of flake and blade detachments made by extinct cultural societies. Direct percussion, indirect percussion, pressure, and a combination of percussion and pressure are very general clasifications of multitudes of techniques, and combinations of techniques. There is no way of how many prehistoric techniques of percussion and pressure were used, but the number was vast. Often the completed artifact only bears the last series of overlapping flakes scars with the coresponding flakes missing,

the completed artifact is missing. *** The platform and other flake characters useful for determining techniques are on the flakes rather than on the scars. The flake retains more diagnostic features *** than the flake scar, because the platform is removed with the flake and other characteristics and traits useful in determining the mode of detachment, and stage of completion.

This is all well and good you say, but I am not a flintknapper and have no one to teach me and no debri s to analyze. It is certainly not my intent to make a flaintknapper out of every archaeological student, but for those seriously enterested in lithic technology that lieu they at least try fracturing stone, in ### of practice material to use glass. You need not become proficient in removing flakes, but even a try lithic materials find that you will experience considerable enthusiasm and excitement when you compare your experiences with those of the past. The artifacts and the manufacturing residue will then be worthwhile objects revealing interesting information about t############# the behavior of their extintechnological models of makers. We are fortunate to have models of extinct techniques whereby we can make comparisons of our endevors of replication. This often presents a chalenge to our ingimunity, inventiveness and resourses and must often we must resort/our own solutions to resolve a particular technique. François Bordes , Havlor Skavlem, Andres Kreigh, L. Coutier and myself have developed a series of independent techniques, each technique identifiable to its maker. Others have disclosed or enlarged on other techniques, each contributing useful information on fracture of lithic materials.

On the basis of experiment using percussion implements, I am now concentrating on stone as a percussor because a variety of rocks are usually more widely available than extremely hard woods and antler. Because of the perishable nature of wood and antler an accurate comparason of the use of wood and antler virsus stone canot be made, but paleontological and zooilogical evidence limit the use of antler. Hardwoods suitable for billets too have certain limitations. Stone has an advantage that it can be found in a variety of textures and sizes. A hammerstone must be used in the early stages of stone tool making, even the a billet of antler or wood is used in the advanced stages.

The percussors not only influence the character of the flake, but are in themselves diagnostic and can be related to percussion techniques.

Those hand held or hafted are of a variety of shapes dif, sizes and textures, and upon examination provides clues as to the manner of use and can indicate a specific technique.

The percussors not only influence the character of the flake, but are in themselves diagnostic and can be related to percussion techniques.

Those hand held or hafted are of a variety of shapes ###, sizes and textures, and upon examination provides clues as to the manner of use and can indicate a specific technique.

On the basis of experiment using percussion implements, I am now concentrating on stone as a percussor because a variety of rocks are usually more widely available than extremely hard woods and antler. Because of the perishable nature of wood and antler an accurate comparason of the use of wood and antler virsus stone canot be made, but paleontological and coollogical evidence limit the use of antler. Hardwoods suitable for billets too have certain limitations. Stone has an advantage that it can be found in a variety of textures and sizes. A hammerstone must be used in the early stages of stone tool making, even the a billet of antler or wood is used in the advanced stages.