

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Chris Lovgren
FROM: Earl H. Swanson
RE: Thesis Draft, first 25 pages
DATE: May 17, 1972

1. You have made virtually no changes despite my suggestions that you do so.
2. The description of the Geology and Geography remains inadequate.
3. Eliminating ethnographic information is not a satisfactory answer to my earlier suggestion.
4. You still do not have an idea about the purpose of reviewing published literature. It is not to list it in sequence but to distill from the literature what is not common among these quarries and what sets them apart. What bearing does early quarry work have upon your own? It seems to me that the purpose of your thesis is not simply to arouse interest in the minds of archaeologists since that has been pretty well done by Bordes, Crabtree, and Tixier, but to provide information that their interest may lead them to want or need in the study of archaeology. The quotations are attractive but demonstrate only that you have read the literature not that you can make use of it for your own purposes.

CC: B. Robert Butler
Don Crabtree ✓
Max Pavesic