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Attention Mr. E. H. VanOstrand, Craig Mountain Lumber Company, Winchester, Idaho.

Gentlemen:-
Referring to your letter of the 16 th by Mr. A. M. VanOstrand, we now understand that you wish us to do the extra work of tearing out the Fawkes boiler, dismantling stack, moving from boiler house and making of foundations, you to furnish materials, on the basis of cost plus $10 \%$.

Also, that you wish us to furnish and install the piping to inter-connect the old boiler headers, on the basis of cost plus $10 \%$, cost to cover materials as well as labor on the piping items, and that you do not wish to extend the furnaces on present boilers at this time.

We will be governed accordingly.
Referring to the fourth paragraph of your letter,
screen comes in 41 widths. We believe it most desirable not to make an additional splice in order to gain $2-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ and that the screen as suggested should be satisfactory. After drawing up contract it was pointed out to the writer that using $3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ wire the mesh would be such that a large portion of the gases
would go out the top rather than through the screen, unless a heavy wind were blowing and that it would, therefore, be preferable to have a lighter weight of wire used in the screen, This was our purpose in suggesting the lighter weight of wire. We do not expect that it will cost us any less than the use of the heavier wire, which we will use if you prefer, as the saving in material will be more than made up in the extra cost of erection of lighter material. With regard to the fifth paragraph of your letter and in connection with the umbrella, we would be glad to furnish this for the price named in our letter of the 13 th should you desire. It is not covered by our contract nor was it covered by our estimate and we merely showed it on our drawing as a suggestion and thought it would be saving you money in connection with the construction of your building if we furnished and installed it rather than for you to have to have it made independently and installed in connection with the flashing in your galvanized iron roofing. If you will advise us relative to your wishes we will be glad to comply with them. We assure you we do not wish to quibble about small amounts and it was only with your interests at heart that we proposed the inclusion of the umbrella.

DHAS. C. MOORE \& CO. ENGINEERS Seattle Office.

Per


## April 21, 1923.

Chns. C. Hoore \& Co. Ingineers, I. C. Smith 3ldg*, Seattle, Washington.

Gent lemen:

## Attention H. W. Beecher Mgr.

We acknowledge recelpt of your letter of April 19th. and anclosed plase find drawing of thisstack which we have OKou It will be satisfactory to mke this screen of three mesh 12 gageavre

There is one point in your letter, however, that we wish to disacree with and that is that the cost of errection of the lighter ge screen would be more that the co tof errection of the heavier geqe.

With further reference to the unbrella, we will leave this matter to you although we believe thit oir letter of the l6th covers this.
Yours truly,

AMV / MS
Inc1. 1


