

SELECTION PROCEDURE
PROFESSIONAL WILDLIFE AWARD
IDAHO CHAPTER, THE WILDLIFE SOCIETY

INTRODUCTION

The Idaho Chapter of the Wildlife Society shall regularly consider and, when appropriate, select and honor professionals in wildlife management. The Professional Wildlife Award is given to demonstrate that outstanding contributions to Idaho's wildlife resources are appreciated by one's peers. It is hoped that such recognition will promote public understanding of significant wildlife management accomplishments in Idaho.

PROCEDURES

1. The award will be known as the Professional Wildlife Award.
2. The award may be shared if the accomplishment is the work of more than one individual.
3. The recipient must be professionally employed in wildlife management and have made an outstanding contribution to Idaho's wildlife resources. Employment refers to all facets of the profession, including conservation officers, biologists, information-education personnel, graduate students, volunteers, and so on.
4. The work which qualifies an individual for the award must have been performed in Idaho, although the recipient need not reside in the state.
5. The recipient, if residing in Idaho, must be a member of the Idaho Chapter of The Wildlife Society.
6. It is not necessary to present an award each year.
7. Nominations for the award will be solicited from the Chapter's general membership through a nomination form in the Chapter newsletter.
8. The Awards Committee is responsible for soliciting nominations, screening the applicants, and making the final selection.
9. The Awards Committee is responsible for purchasing the award and having it engraved. Funds shall be provided by the Idaho Chapter.
10. The award will be presented at the annual meeting of the Idaho Chapter.
11. A news release will be written to inform others of the purpose of the award, the name of the recipient, where he/she is employed, and the contributions which qualified the individual for the award.

RATING CRITERIA

There are four rating criteria and each nominee is given a numerical score of 1-5 for his/her contributions in each area. The criteria are:

- (1) Contributions of the nominee to Idaho's wildlife.
- (2) Commitment of the nominee to the wildlife resource.
- (3) Contributions of the nominee to the wildlife profession.
- (4) Contributions of the nominee to public understanding of wildlife management and the problems involved in managing wildlife.

Evaluation Criteria

1. Effectiveness and Clarity: Were the goals and objectives of the paper or study clearly stated and easily understood?
2. Organization of Data and Facts: Were these elements well organized and accurate?
3. Clarity of Conclusions: Were conclusions explained, well documented, and sound?
4. Knowledge of Subject Matter: Was the speaker confident and knowledgeable about the subject matter?
5. Clarity of Communication: Was the presentation clear and concise, or otherwise? Did speakers "get the point across?"
6. Presentation Dynamics: Did the speaker present the paper to the audience or read it? Was the presentation delivered with enthusiasm?
7. Clarity and Effectiveness of Visual Aids: Were visual aids organized, easy to read and understand, and appropriate to the topic?
8. Appearance and Mannerisms: Did the speaker's appearance and mannerisms add to or detract from the presentation?
9. Evaluator/Evaluation comments: What suggestions (constructive criticisms) can you make that will improve either or both the speaker's presentation itself and/or subject matter content?

TED TRUEBLOOD PROFESSIONAL WILDLIFE COMMUNICATION AWARD

1. Effectiveness and Clarity	
2. Organization of Data and Facts	
3. Clarity of Conclusions	
4. Knowledge of Subject Matter	
5. Clarity of Communication	
6. Presentation Dynamics	
7. Clarity and Effectiveness of Visual Aids	
8. Appearance and Mannerisms	
Totals	
9. Evaluator/Evaluation comments:	

Scoring: 3- Excellent
 2- Good
 1- Fair
 0- Poor

Speaker: _____

Title of Presentation: _____
