# The Forest Health/Nutrition Experiment: Preliminary Root Chemistry Results



### Peter G. Mika 2002 IFTNC Annual Meeting

#### IFTNC Forest Health / Nutrition Experimental Locations (1994-1996)



1994
1995
1996



#### **Design of the experiment**

- Sites stratified by 4 rock types and 3 vegetation types
- A core N and K 4-treatment experiment at all sites
- Additional fertilizer treatments tailored to site conditions
- Large experimental plots to monitor mortality

### Sites Established: 1994-1996 by Rock Type and Vegetation Series

	Douglas-fir	Grand fir	Cedar/ Hemlock	TOTAL
Granite	K,B (1) K (2) N,B (1)	K (4)	K (2)	10
Basalt	N (1) R (2)	K (3)	N (1) R (2)	9
Metamorphic		K (1)	K (3)	4
Mixed	N (2)	K (2)	K (1) N (3)	8
TOTAL	9	10	12	31

N-Rate (N), Repeated N-Rate (R), N-K Response Surface (K), Bark Beetle (B)

### Nitrogen Rate Design

0#N/a	100#N/a	200#N/a	300#N/a	600#N/a
0#K/a	0#K/a	0#K/a	0#K/a	0#K/a
	100#N/a	200#N/a	300#N/a	600#N/a
	@ 8 years	@ 8 years	@ 8 years	@ 8 years
	100#N/a @4 years	200#N/a @ 4 years	300#N/a @4 years	
0#N/a 170#K/a			300#N/a 170#K/a	

#### **N-K Response Surface Design**



#### **Core Design**



### **Today's Topic: DF Root Chemistry**

- Background
- Sample Collection and Chemical Analysis
  - Sugars, Starchs, Phenols, and Tannins
- Results of Statistical Analysis
  - Fertilizer Treatment Effects
  - Influence of Time Since Treatment
  - Influence of Site Conditions:
    - Rock Type
    - Vegetation Series

### 6-YEAR NET VOLUME RESPONSE By K Status and Treatment



### Douglas-fir in the Intermountain Region 6-year Mortality



K Status:

Poor

Good

## ARMILLARIA INFECTION RATE Relationship to Thermochemical Budget

Epnenoi:Esugar (X 10-4)



Adapted from Entry et al 1991

## ROOT PHENOLICS:SUGAR RATIOS Grangemont Root Rot Study

**I reatment** 





С

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0



a

b

b

В

D

25

20

15

10

Phenol (%)



Habitat Type Series



![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Root Chemistry by Treatment**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Root Chemistry Ratios by Treatment**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Root Chemistry Ratios by Treatment**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Root Sugar by Treatment and Years Since Fertilization

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Sites Sampled**

	Vegetation Series								
	DF			GF		WRC/WH			
	Years Since Fertilization								
	6	5	4	6	5	4	6	5	4
Basalt	2			1				1	
Granite	1	1				1	1		
Mixed		1				2	1		1
Metamorphic					1			1	

### Root Phenol/Sugar Ratios by Treatment and Years Since Fertilization

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Years since Fertilization** 

### Root Tannin/Sugar Ratios by Treatment and Years Since Fertilization

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Root Starch by Treatment and Years Since Fertilization

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Root Chemistry by Rock Type**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Root Chemistry Ratios by Rock Type**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Root Chemistry Ratios by Rock Type**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Root Chemistry by Vegetation Series**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Root Chemistry Ratios by Vegetation Series

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Root Chemistry Ratios by Vegetation Series

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Conclusions

- Some evidence that fertilization does impact root chemistry, but effects may be short-lived.
- Root chemistry does vary significantly across rock types and vegetation types.
  - Sites on granites and glacial tills appear to be different than those on basalts and metamorphics.
  - Generally, root chemistries on DF and GF sites tend to be similar to each other but different than those on WRC and WH sites.