## AQUACULTURE TECHNIQUES

## PRELIMINARY STUDIES ON CARRYING CAPACITIES AND CENSUS-TAKING

 IN SERIAL REUSE PONDS

IDAHO WATER RESOURCE
RESEARCH INSTITUTE


# Technical Completion Report 

 A-075-IDA
## AQUACULTURE TECHNIQUES:

PRELIMINARY STUDIES ON CARRYING CAPACITIES

AND CENSUS-TAKING IN SERIAL REUSE PONDS
by
George W. K1ontz Professor, Fishery Resources
with
Christopher M. Herr
Undergraduate Assistant
and
Thomas J. McArthur Graduate Assistant

Submitted to
Bureau of Reclamation
United States Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20242

The work on which this report is based was supported in part by funds provided by the United States Department of the Interior as authorized under the Water Research and Development Act of 1978.


## Idaho Water and Energy Resources Research Institute <br> University of Idaho <br> Moscow, ID 83843

July, 1983

TABLE OF CONTENTS
Page
LIST OF FIGURES ..... ii
LIST OF TABLES ..... iii
ABSTRACT ..... iv
INTRODUCTION ..... 1
METHODS ..... 5
Standard Metabolic Rate Determination ..... 5
Pond Census-taking Determinations ..... 11
RESULTS ..... 15
Standard Metabolic Rate Studies ..... 15
Pond Census-taking Studies ..... 20
Multiple Resue Pond Loading Studies ..... 26
SUMMARY ..... 41
REFERENCES CITED ..... 43

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Page1 Schematic of experimental systems to measureoxygen consumption7
2 Oxygen consumption chamber ..... 9
3 Oxygen consumption of rainbow trout at different temperatures ..... 17
4 Predicted curves for oxygen consumption at differenttemperatures . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 19
5 Comparisons of derived oxygen consumption slope with that developed by Klontz et al. ..... 38

## LIST OF TABLES

Table Page
1 Raceway dimensions ..... 12
2 The data necessary to calculate the oxygen consumptionrate fore ach group of fish tested at each of threeweight ranges and two temperatures . . . . . . . . . 143 Solubility of oxygen in pure water at equilibriumwith moist air . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 224 Estimates of numbers of rainbow trout in 10 racewaypond populations using the developed oxygenconsumption method25
5 Weight: Length Table for Rainbow Trout in ProductionConditions - English Units . . . . . . . . . . . . . 276 Weight - Length Table for Rainbow Trout in ProductionConditions - Metric Units . . . . . . . . . . . . . 287 The dissolved oxygen content at various temperaturesand elevations which exert an oxygen partial pressureof 90 mmHg .31
8 Reaeration values for different weir heights ..... 359 Percent saturation of water when the partial pressureof dissolved oxygen is 90 mmHg . . . . . . . . . . . 3610 Estimates of dissolved oxygen concentrations basedupon recorded population size40


#### Abstract

Models for oxygen consumption by rainbow trout were developed and preliminarily tested under laboratory and field conditions. The major factor leading to inaccuracies in estimating population numbers was determined to be the sensitivity of measuring the dissolved oxygen entering and leaving the systems. Further studies are planned.


## INTRODUCTION

Among the constraints to producing a high quality fish under intensively managed conditions is water quality and quantity (Klontz et al. 1978). In recent years, the increase in raising salmonids for food and conservation purposes has exceeded the supply of "new" water. This has necessitated the formulation of mathematical models to estimate carrying capacities; i.e., biomass life support, of fish rearing units (K1ontz 1979). The models, for the most part, address the carrying capacities of sing1e-use water systems. Multiple reuse systems wherein the water passes from one rearing unit into another for as many as 6-7 times have become quite popular because they do increase the producing capabilities of a unit of water. However, such systems have a high disaster potential if not managed properly. As water passes from one rearing unit to another, dissolved oxygen is diminished and waste products from the fish increase cumulatively. The frequent results are progressively reduced growth rates and increased noninfectious disease episodes, both which decrease the productivity of the system and increase production costs by reducing the feed conversion and the pounds per manyear produced.

The study addressed two major problems encountered in serial reuse raceway systems; namely, pond census-taking and stocking of ponds so that the life support capabilities were not exceeded in each reuse segment. These problems were identified during the studies to develop a systems approach to evaluating aquaculture facilities (Downey and Klontz 1982).

Subsequent definitions of the problems revealed that previous approaches to their resolution were inadequate because they did not take into account some of the key parameters, primarily the fish weight:water temperature-related standard metabolic rate (SMR). Other parameters not considered were oxygen recharge between serial reuse ponds, oxygen recharge along the course of an individual raceway pond, and the generation of fish-associated waste products such as ammonia and fecal solids.

The problem of estimating numbers of fish in a raceway pond, quite simply, is that the present methods are quite time consuming, stressful to the fish, and produce very erratic results (Klontz et al., 1978). All involve weighing and counting samples or subsamples of the population. The major errors arise from the samples and subsamples not representing the population, from weighing and counting errors, and from being able to account for cannibalism, bird predation, and escapees.

This study approached the problem in the following manner - if the SMR of a representative fish in the population were known and if the total dissolved oxygen consumption for a unit of time in the pond were known, then dividing the SMR value into the dissolved consumption should reveal the numbers of fish in the population. This concept is without precident in intensive aquaculture although several models for estimating SMR have been developed (Liao 1971, Beamish 1963, Mueller-Feuga et al. 1978, Klontz et a1. 1978).

After examining the methods for determining the fish size and water temperature correlated methods of determining the SMR of salmonids, the following conclusions were made. The Klontz et al. (1978) model was
developed for rainbow trout at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, thus it was unsatisfactory for the purpose of this study. The Liao (1971) and the Mueller-Feuga et al. (1978) models were also considered to be unsatisfactory because they utilized quite different regression coefficients for the weight:water temperature slopes at water temperatures below $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and above $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The Liao model mathematically stated is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0_{u}=K \times T^{n} \times W^{m}  \tag{1}\\
& \text { where: } O_{u}=\text { oxygen consumption in pounds of oxygen per } \\
& 100 \text { pounds of fish at size } W \text { in } 24 \text { hours } \\
& K=\text { oxygen uptake rate constant } \\
& \text { salmon }<50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad 7.2 \times 10^{-7} \\
& >50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad 4.9 \times 10^{-5} \\
& \text { trout } \quad<50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad 1.9 \times 10^{-6} \\
& >50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad 3.05 \times 10^{-4}
\end{align*}
$$

$T=$ water temperature (F)
$\mathrm{W}=$ fish weight (lb/fish)
n = water temperature slope
salmon $\quad<50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad 3.20$
$>50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad 2.12$
trout $\quad<50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad 3.13$
$>50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad 1.86$
$M=$ fish weight slope
salmon $\quad<50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad-0.194$
$>50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad-0.194$
trout $\quad<50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad-0.138$
$>50^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad-0.138$

The Mueller-Feuga et al. model mathematically stated is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& O D=\alpha \times p^{B} \times 10^{\mathrm{rt}}  \tag{2}\\
& \text { where: } \quad \mathrm{OD}= \text { oxygen consumption per for } 1 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish in } \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr} \\
& \mathrm{p}= \text { mean fish weight }(\mathrm{g}) \\
& \mathrm{t}= \text { water temperature }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
& \alpha= \text { regression coefficient } \\
& 4-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad 75 \\
& 12-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 249 \\
& \mathrm{~B}= \text { weight regression coefficient } \\
& 4-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad-0.196 \\
& 12-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad-0.142 \\
& \mathrm{r}= \text { water temperature regression coefficient } \\
& 4-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad 0.055 \\
& 12-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad 0.024
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we decided to establish the curvilinear relationships between fish weight and water temperature. Once this was done, we then proceeded to evaluate the reliability and practicality of an oxygen consumption census-taking model. The final aspect of this study was to develop a model for loading fish into serial reuse raceway ponds so that neither life support nor waste product generation were limiting until an established point in time.

## METHODS

## 1. Standard Metabolic Rate Determination:

a. Fish: Three groups of rainbow trout were established on the basis of their individual body weights. Group I consisted of fish weighing $4.4+/-0.4 \mathrm{~g}$ (mean body length -73.1 mm ). Group II consisted of fish weighing 15.6 +/- 1.6 g (mean body length -114.3 mm ).

The fish in Groups I and II were obtained as eyed eggs from a commercial source. They were hatched and subsequently maintained in the Wet Laboratory facilities in the Forestry Building, University of Idaho. The fish in Group III were obtained as first-feeding fry from the Washington Department of Game Trout Hatchery near Spokane, Washington.

Prior to being exposed to the test water temperature regimens, all three groups of fish were held in 13.5 C water for two months. Prior to initiating the water temperature trials, all fish selected were examined individually for the presence of gross evidence of an existing clinical disease condition. Particular attention was given to the presence/absence of gill lamellar swelling. Clinically affected fish were discarded from further consideration in the experimental protocol.
b. Water: Three water temperature regimens (4.5C; 13.5C; 15.0C) were established using dechlorinated University of Idaho well water. The 13.5 C and 15.0 C temperatures were obtained by adjusting the on-1ine water temperature blending systems. The 4.5C temperature was obtained by a refrigerated recycled water system; i.e., biofiltration with a 1-2\% make-up and an immersible refrigerator. This system was a modification
of that described by Lai and Klontz (1980). The dissolved oxygen levels in all systems were maintained at $80-90 \%$ of saturation with exogenous seration.
c. Containers: Three systems of three glass aquariums ( $330 \mathrm{~mm} x$ $330 \mathrm{~mm} \times 330 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) each were established (Figure 1). The aquaria in the 13.5 C and the 15.0 C systems were on sing1e-pass water. The aquaria in the 4.5 C system were on a recycled water system incorporating a plywood, fiberglass-lined biofiltration unit measuring $3.7 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. The water flows to each aquarium were regulated to 1.891 pm . This flow provided a $99 \%$ replacement time of 89 minutes (Downey, 1981).
d. Nutrition: Fish in all groups were fed $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ ( 3.175 mm ) Oregon Moist Pellet (OMP) diet several times daily. The daily amount of feed was calculated using the growth programming method of Haskell (1955). The daily increase in length ( $\Delta \mathrm{L}$ ) estimation was based upon the 1.2 mm daily Allowable Growth Rate (AGR) at 15C with a water temperature-corrected growth rate of $0.0825 /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decrease from 15C. The calculated feed conversion was $1.5: 1$

During the week following the introduction of the fish into their respective test systems, each sub group was fed at $60 \%$ AGR to minimize divergent growth among fish within the subgroup.

The fish in each test system were not fed for $24-36$ hours prior to oxygen consumption measurements.
e. Health: After the oxygen consumption determinations, five fish from each subgroup were killed, exsanguinated, and fixed whole in $10 \%$ neutral-buffered formalin. After 24-30 hours fixation, a gill arch was


Figure 1. Schematic of experimental systems to measure oxygen consumption.
removed from each fish and preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol for subsequent paraffin imbedding and sectioning. In addition, fish were examined grossly for evidence of clinical disease at the end of each oxygen consumption trial.
f. Oxygen Consumption Determinations: The oxygen consumption trials were divided into three task sections: (1) premeasurement; (2) measurement; and (3) computational.

Premeasurement procedures consisted of:
(1) Eighty fish of the 4.4 g size and 20 fish of each the 10.5 g and 15.6 g sizes were placed into each of the respective aquaria.
(2) The water temperature in each of the nine test aquaria was initially 13.5C. After the oxygen consumption data were collected, the water temperature was increased to 15C. After the 15 C oxygen consumption data were collected, the water temperature was reduced to 4.5 C at the rate of 2C/day.
(3) Prior to the oxygen consumption tests, the fish were acclimated to the systems for one week. A1so, food was withheld for $24-36$ hours prior to each test.

Measurement procedures consisted of:
(1) The aquarium water outfall pipe was stoppered, the aquarium filled to within 0.25 in., and the water inflow line removed (Fig. 2).


Figure 2. Oxygen consumption chamber (from Klontz et al., 1977). The stand-pipe was stoppered, the water inflow terminated when the water level reached within $1^{\prime \prime}$ of the top, and the tank covered with a foam-lined lid. Oxygen samples were obtained through the hole in the lid.
(2) The initial dissolved oxygen (Oi) concentrations ( $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ ) in each aquarium were determined via the modified Winkler method.
(3) Each aquarium in turn was covered with a 12.7 mm thick glass plate with a $1^{\prime \prime}(22 \mathrm{~mm})$ thick neoprene foam sheet attached to the underside. This effectively sealed the aquarium and prevented any reaeration at the air-water interface.
(4) At the end of a 30 -minute period after sealing the aquarium, the lid was removed and duplicate water samples were taken for final oxygen (Oi) concentration (mg/1).
(5) The day following the oxygen consumption tests the fish were removed, killed, blotted dry, and weighed individually. Five fish from each group were fixed whole in $10 \%$ neutral buffered formalin for subsequent histopathological examination.

Computational procedures consisted of:
(1) The mean oxygen consumption ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ /hour) by an individual fish was calculated by the following model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m g 0_{2} / \mathrm{hr}=\frac{\mathrm{V}\left(0_{i}-0_{\mathrm{f}}\right) 60 / \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{~N}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $V=1$ iters of water in test aquarium
$0_{i}=m g / 1$ dissolved oxygen at the beginning of the test
$0_{f}=m g / 1$ dissolved oxygen at the end of the test
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of fish in test aquarium
$\mathrm{t}=$ minutes between initial and final dissolved oxygen concentration determinations
(2) The mg $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ consumed per hour per fish at each temperature was regressed against the log of individual fish weights to establish correlation coefficients.

## 2. Pond Census-taking Determinations:

a. Fish: Ten raceway populations of rainbow trout and four populations of cutthroat trout at three hatcheries were evaluated. The individual body weights in the 14 populations ranged from 1.04 g to 164.5 g . The age of the fish ranged from four weeks to one year. All populations were being raised on regulated feeding regimens. Prior to being evaluated, at least 10 fish were removed from each population and examined for evidence of clinical disease.
b. Water: Each population was being raised in open water systems; i.e., the water sources were from streams or reservoirs and not recycled. The temperatures ranged from 4.4 C to 10.0 C . Other water quality parameters were not documented.
c. Ponds: Each population was being raised in raceway ponds; i.e., the water enters one end of the pond. flows linearly through the pond, and exits over dam boards. The pond dimensions varied (Table 1).
d. Census-taking procedures: At the outset of each determination, pond inflow rates ( 1 pm ) were determined. These were measured using a standard weir table (Wood, 1974) or filling times. In turn, the maximum

Table 1. Raceway dimensions.

| Raceway \# | Location | Elev. (ft MSL) | Width (ft) | Depth (ft) | Length (ft) | Temp. (C) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DWR ${ }^{1}$ | 1000 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 65.0 | 4.4 |
| 2 | " | 1000 | 8.0 | 2.21 | 65.0 | 4.4 |
| 3 | " | 1000 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 65.0 | 4.4 |
| 4 | " | 1000 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 65.0 | 4.4 |
| 5 | " | 1000 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 65.0 | 4.4 |
| 6 | " | 1000 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 65.0 | 4.4 |
| 7 | " | 1000 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 65.0 | 4.4 |
| 8 | DWR | 1000 | 8.0 | 2.2 | 65.0 | 4.4 |
| 9 | SPK ${ }^{2}$ | 2600 | 8.75 | 2.04 | 62.3 | 10.0 |
| 10 | FRD ${ }^{3}$ | 1700 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 8.3 |
| 11 | " | 1700 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 28.4 | 8.3 |
| 12 | " | 1700 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 28.4 | 8.3 |
| 13 | " | 1700 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 28.4 | 9.44 |
| 14 | FRD | 1700 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 28.4 | 9.44 |

$1_{\text {Dworshak National Fish Hatchery, Orofino, Idaho }}$
${ }^{2}$ Spokane Fish Hatchery, Washington Dept. Fish \& Game, Spokane, Washington
$3^{3}$ Ford Fish Hatchery, Washington Dept. Fish \& Game, Ford, Washington
pond water velocities (fps) were calculated from the water inflow and the dimensions (Leitritz and Lewis, 1976).

Five replicate water samples from each end of the raceway were collected. Care was taken to avoid bias from dead areas and effervescent areas in the pond. Dissolved oxygen determinations were done on each sample using the sodium azide modification of the Winkler Method (APHA, 1975).

The mean fish weight in each population was determined by weighing several lots (50-175 fish/lot) from the upper, middle, and lower sections of the pond. After weighing the lot, the fish were anesthetized in 1:15,000 MS-222 (tricaine methanesulfonate, Allied) and weighed and measured individually. The mean body weight was calculated.

The water temperature was measured to the $10^{-1} \mathrm{C}$ at both the inflow and outfall of the pond. In addition, the recorded daily water temperatures were examined for changes during the previous 14 days.

Table 2. The data necessary to calculate the oxygen consumption rate (mg $\mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) for each group of fish tested at each of three weight ranges and two temperatures. The experimental tank volume and time between initial and final oxygen concentrations was held constant at 36 liters and 30 minutes respectively.

| $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ Weight (gms) | Temp. (C) | Rep. \# | No. of fish | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{i}} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / 1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / 1) \end{aligned}$ | mg $\mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{hr} /$ fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.2 |  | 1 | 71 | 11.2 | 10.5 | 0.71 |
| 4.0 | 4.5 | 2 | 86 | 11.5 | 10.7 | 0.67 |
| 4.1 |  | 3 | 82 | 11.4 | 10.3 | 0.97 |
| 4.8 |  | 1 | 77 | 6.7 | 4.6 | 1.96 |
| 4.7 | 13.5 | 2 | 81 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 1.51 |
| 4.6 |  | 3 | 78 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 1.66 |
| 4.5 |  | 1 | 80 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 1.26 |
| 4.6 | 15.0 | 2 | 69 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 1.25 |
| 4.4 |  | 3 | 71 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 1.62 |
| 9.96 |  | 1 | 20 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 1.08 |
| 9.40 | 4.5 | 2 | 18 | 11.5 | 11.1 | 1.60 |
| 9.77 |  | 3 | 20 | 11.6 | 11.1 | 1.80 |
| 10.90 |  | 1 | 21 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 5.49 |
| 10.50 | 13.5 | 2 | 19 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 4.55 |
| 10.90 |  | 3 | 19 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 5.31 |
| 11.20 |  | 1 | 20 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 4.68 |
| 11.20 | 15.0 | 2 | 20 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 4.32 |
| 10.70 |  | 3 | 19 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 3.79 |
| 13.9 |  | 1 | 20 | 11.6 | 11.2 | 1.44 |
| 14.0 | 4.5 | 2 | 20 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 1.08 |
| 14.2 |  | 3 | 20 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 2.52 |
| 16.19 |  | 1 | 20 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 4.32 |
| 16.39 | 13.5 | 2 | 20 | 7.14 | 5.8 | 4.68 |
| 15.64 |  | 3 | 20 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 5.04 |
| 17.03 |  | 1 | 20 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 5.76 |
| 17.13 | 15.0 | 2 | 20 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 4.68 |
| 16.20 |  | 3 | 20 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 3.96 |

Temp - ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at time of test
Rep - replicate test number
$\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ wgt - mean weight (g) per fish
No. - number of fish in replicate test
$0_{i}$ - initial dissolved oxygen (mg/1)
$0_{0}$ - final dissolved oxygen (mg/1) after $30^{\prime}$

## 1. Standard Metabolic Rate Studies:

Under constant water temperatures of $4.5,13.5$, and 15 C , the oxygen consumption (OHF) in milligrams oxygen per hour per mean weight of individual fish was ascertained from the data summarized in Table 2 by the formula:
$\mathrm{OHF}=((\mathrm{V} *(0 \mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Of})) *(60 / \mathrm{t})) / \mathrm{N}$
where: $0 H F=$ milligrams oxygen uptake per fish per hour $\mathrm{V}=$ volume of water in the test tank (liters) Oi = initial dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/liter)

Of = final dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/liter)
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of fish in the test aquarium
$\mathrm{t}=$ time between the initial and final oxygen measurements in minutes

Previous work by Klontz et al. (1978) indicated that the natural logarithm (10g) of oxygen consumption (OHF) is linearly related to the natural logarithm of the individual weight in grams at a constant temperature 15C. This general relationship is described by the expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (O H F)=a+\left(b^{*} \log (W)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $W=$ weight per fish in grams
$\mathrm{a}=$ intercept for the $\log$ of oxygen consumption
b = slope of the line

Converting OHF and weight of the fish from Table 2 into natural logarithms and using the relationship of equation 5, regressions were performed using the $\log (O H F)$ against $\log$ weight (grams) for each individual temperature. Values were obtained for the regression coefficients (a,b) and the coefficient of determination $\left(R^{2}\right)$. These coefficients for the regressions are:

| Temperature | a | b | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.5 | -1.080 | .6060 | .60 |
| 13.5 | -1.132 | .9982 | .83 |
| 15.0 | -0.774 | .8990 | .91 |

We then tested the significance of the slopes of the regressions of oxygen consumption and body weight. An analysis of covariance indicated a significant difference $(P=.05)$ between the regression coefficients at 4.5C and the 13.5 and 15.0 , but we found no significant difference between the slopes for 13.5 and 15.0 C .

In order to obtain a predictive equation applicable for different weights of rainbow trout at different temperatures, a multiple regression model was computed. We used the log of oxygen consumption per hour per fish against the log weight and temperature as independent variables.

A curvilinear relationship was found and is described by the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log (O H F) & =a+(b * \log (W))+(c * T) \\
\text { where: } a & =\text { intercept for the } \log \text { of oxygen consumption } \\
b & =\text { regression coefficient for } \log (W) \\
W & =\text { individual weight per fish in grams } \\
c & =\text { regression coefficient for temperature } \\
T & =\text { water temperature in degrees centigrade }
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3. Oxygen consumption of rainbow trout at different temperatures.

The derived regression model provided a high degree of predictability accounting for $86 \%$ of the variation in oxygen consumption. The coefficient of determination and the derived regression coefficients values are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}^{2}=.855 \\
& \mathrm{a}=-.84753166 \\
& \mathrm{~b}=0.84281471 \\
& \mathrm{c}=.03733078
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution of regression coefficients into equation 3 yielded a predictive equation which forecasts the logarithm of the oxygen consumption for an individual fish of a specific weight at a given acclimation temperature:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (\mathrm{OHF})=-0.847532+0.8428(\log (W))+0.03733 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison of the predicted values for equation 4 with rearing temperature held constant at 15.0 C to the predicted oxygen consumption values obtained a model developed by Klontz et al. (1978) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (\mathrm{OHF})=-0.3128+0.8423(\log (\text { weight })) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A correlation coefficient of 1.0 was obtained for temperature values of $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and random weights indicating an allowable substitution could be made for equation 5 for temperatures different than $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with equation 4 .

Identical sets of random hypothetical weight and temperature data to predict the individual oxygen consumption rates (OHF) were used for equation (4) and similar regressions reported by Mueller-Fuega (1980) with a resulting correlation coefficient of .98685 ( $\mathrm{p}<.0001$ ). No significant differences could be found between the predicted values ( $\mathrm{p}=.05$ ) .


Figure 4. Predicted curves for oxygen consumption at different temperatures.

## 2. Pond Census-Taking Studies:

a. Derivation of models for the procedure: The oxygen content of a raceway at any point in time is determined by the inflow concentration, the water's ability to hold oxygen, the rate at which the oxygen is consumed, and the rate at which it is replaced (Brown, 1980). Limnologists and hydrologists have used this rationale for predicting oxygen concentrations at certain points along a natural stream, and it could serve the same purpose for fish culturists. However, in a natural stream biochemical and chemical oxygen demand (BOD and COD) are considered to be the major losses or "sinks" of oxygen whereas in a hatchery raceway the fish oxygen demand (FOD) becomes the major "sink." BOD and COD are also acting in a raceway, but if the raceway is concrete (vs. dirt bottomed) and kept clean, BOD and COD become negligible relative to FOD. To estimate the number of fish by how much oxygen they consume, it is necessary to account for the various sources and sinks of oxygen in a raceway, calculate the FOD, and determine the number of fish necessary to produce the FOD.

The change in dissolved oxygen concentration in a raceway can be expressed by the following differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dDO}}{\mathrm{dt}}=(\mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{D})--\mathrm{FOD} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\mathrm{K} 2=$ the reaeration constant of the raceway by diffusion of oxygen from the atmosphere, follows Henry's Law, and is calculated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K} 2=\frac{\mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{Rv}^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{m}} 3600} \tag{9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where: K1 = constant 5.9*

* Values from Churchill (1962)

Rv = water velocity (fps)
$\mathrm{H}=$ water depth (ft)
$\mathrm{n}=$ constant 1.0 *
$\mathrm{m}=$ constant $1.7 *$
$3600=$ conversion factor for seconds to hours
$D=$ the oxygen deficit of the water (mg/l) and is calculated by:
$\mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{DO}\right.$ sat $\left.-\mathrm{DO}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
Where: $\mathrm{DO}_{\text {sat }}=$ the saturation dissolved oxygen concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ ) adjusted for temperature and elevation (Tab1e 3).

DO $i_{i}=$ the measured initial or inflow dissolved oxygen concentration of the raceway

FOD $=$ fish oxygen demand (mg/hr). Empirically derived in Phase 1 and even though it will change with time in this differential equation, the time interval is so short, FOD is considered a constant. FOD is calculated by:
FOD $=\frac{\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{b}} 10^{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{cT}}\right)}{\mathrm{Vol}}$
Where: $N=$ the number of fish in the raceway
$\mathrm{W}=$ the average weight per fish (gms)
$\mathrm{T}=$ water temperature (C)
Vol = rearing volume of the raceway (only that volume which is available to fish)

Table 3. Solubility of oxygen in pure water at equilibrium with moist air.

| Water <br> Temperature <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 | 4000 | 5000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 14.63 | 14.08 | 13.55 | 13.04 | 12.57 | 12.10 |
| 1 | 14.23 | 13.69 | 13.17 | 12.68 | 12.22 | 11.77 |
| 2 | 13.84 | 13.32 | 12.81 | 12.33 | 11.89 | 11.44 |
| 3 | 13.46 | 12.95 | 12.46 | 11.99 | 11.56 | 11.13 |
| 4 | 13.11 | 12.61 | 12.14 | 11.68 | 11.26 | 10.84 |
| 4 | 12.77 | 12.29 | 11.82 | 11.38 | 10.97 | 10.55 |
| 5 | 12.45 | 11.98 | 11.52 | 11.09 | 10.69 | 10.29 |
|  | 12.13 | 11.67 | 11.23 | 10.80 | 10.41 | 10.02 |
| 6 | 11.84 | 11.39 | 10.96 | 10.55 | 10.16 | 9.78 |
| 7 | 11.55 | 11.11 | 10.69 | 10.29 | 9.91 | 9.54 |
| 8 | 11.28 | 10.85 | 10.44 | 10.05 | 9.68 | 9.32 |
| 9 | 11.02 | 10.60 | 10.20 | 9.81 | 9.46 | 9.10 |
| 10 | 10.77 | 10.36 | 9.97 | 9.59 | 9.24 | 8.89 |
|  | 10.53 | 10.13 | 9.74 | 9.37 | 9.03 | 8.69 |
| 11 | 10.29 | 9.90 | 9.52 | 9.16 | 8.83 | 8.49 |
| 12 | 10.07 | 9.69 | 9.32 | 8.96 | 8.63 | 8.81 |
| 13 | 9.86 | 9.48 | 9.12 | 8.77 | 8.45 | 8.13 |
| 14 | 9.65 | 9.28 | 8.93 | 8.59 | 8.27 | 7.96 |
| 15 | 9.46 | 9.10 | 8.75 | 8.42 | 8.11 | 7.80 |
|  | 9.27 | 8.91 | 8.57 | 8.25 | 7.94 | 7.64 |
| 16 | 9.08 | 8.73 | 8.40 | 8.07 | 7.78 | 7.48 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
a=constant -0.84753166* * Values from SMR studies
b = constant 0.84281471
c = constant 0.03733078
```

By integrating equation (1) and solving for $D O$, the following equation expresses the dissolved oxygen concentration in the raceway at any point in time:

$$
\mathrm{DO}_{\mathrm{o}}=\left(\mathrm{DO}_{i}-\frac{\mathrm{K} 2 \cdot \mathrm{DO}}{\text { sat }}-\mathrm{FOD}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{K} 2 \cdot \mathrm{tr}}+\frac{\mathrm{K} 2 \cdot \mathrm{DO}_{i}-\mathrm{FOD}}{\mathrm{~K} 2}
$$

Where: $\quad \mathrm{DO}_{\mathrm{O}}=$ the raceway outflow dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/1)
$\mathrm{DO}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ the raceway inflow dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/1) $\mathrm{DO}_{\text {sat }}=$ the saturation dissolved oxygen concentration $K 2=$ the reaeration constant (equation 9a) FOD $=$ the fish oxygen demand (mg/hr) (equation 9c) tr $=$ the replacement time (hrs). That time necessary for one turnover of the raceway water volume calculated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}}{\mathrm{Rw} 3600} \tag{10c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where: Vol $=$ total rearing volume $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right)$ Rw = water inflow rate (cfs) $3600=$ conversion from seconds to hours

By solving equation (10) for the FOD, the following equation estimates the number of fish in a raceway:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\frac{\mathrm{K} 2 \cdot \mathrm{DO}}{\text { sat }}-\mathrm{K} 2\left(\mathrm{DO}_{o}-\mathrm{DO}_{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{tr}}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Vol}, ~\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{tr}}\right)\left(\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{b}} \cdot 10^{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{cT})}\right. \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where: $N \quad=$ the number of fish in the raceway
Vo1 = rearing volume (liters)
$\mathrm{K} 2=$ reaeration constant (equation 9a)
$\mathrm{DO}_{\text {sat }}=$ saturation dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/1)
DO ${ }_{i}=$ inflow dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/1)
DO ${ }_{\mathrm{o}} \quad=$ outflow dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/1)
$\mathrm{tr} \quad=$ replacement time (hrs) (equation 10a)
$\mathrm{T}=$ water temperature (C)
W = average weight per fish (gms)
$\mathrm{a}=$ constant -0.84753166
$\mathrm{b} \quad=$ constant 0.84281471
c $=$ constant 0.03733078

By collecting the necessary data to compute equation (11), the number of fish in a raceway can be estimated.
b. Application of Mode1s: We were able to predict the numbers of fish to within an average of $\pm 16 \%$ of the hatchery inventory records for rainbow trout (Table 4). The inaccuracy of our estimates may have been due in part to the variability in parameter measurement as well as inaccuracy in the hatchery record estimates. Because of necessity, we had to rely on the hatchery records for the actual number of fish. It should be noted that only two raceways (\#9 and 非10, Table 4) had been inventoried for at least five months prior to this study although they were scheduled to be enumerated and stocked as this report is being prepared. For raceways $\# 1-\sharp 8$, mortalities had been recorded from the time they were stocked but a $15 \%$ discrepency in numbers upon harvest would not be uncommon (Jerry McClain, personal communication).

Table 4. Estimates of numbers of rainbow trout in 10 raceway pond populations using the developed oxygen consumption method.

| Pond <br> No. | Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Water <br> Inflow <br> (cfs) | $\mathrm{xg} / \mathrm{fish}$ | D.0. (mg/1) <br> inflow <br> outfall |  | Population <br> actual |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10.0 | 0.400 | 1.04 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 175,000 | 141093 |
| 2 | 8.3 | 0.46 | 90.0 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 15,200 | 12,260 |
| 3 | 4.4 | 1.27 | 140.07 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 7,719 | 5,794 |
| 4 | 4.4 | 0.91 | 164.5 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 8,165 | 8,492 |
| 5 | 4.4 | 0.91 | 142.7 | 12.0 | 11.3 | 8,222 | 4787 |
| 6 | 4.4 | 1.28 | 139.6 | 12.4 | 11.3 | 8,848 | 10,778 |
| 7 | 4.4 | 1.32 | 154.1 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 4,762 | 3,719 |
| 8 | 4.4 | 1.27 | 146.3 | 12.1 | 11.3 | 7,617 | 7,476 |
| 9 | 4.4 | 1.17 | 155.6 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 7,709 | 8,991 |
| 10 | 4.4 | 0.92 | 134.1 | 11.8 | 10.7 | 6,432 | 8,014 |

Legend:
Pond Nos.

## Location

Spokane Trout Hatchery, WDG
Ford Trout Hatchery, WDG
Dworshak NFH - no. 5A
Dworshak NFH - no. 5B
Dworshak NFH - no. 6A
Dworshak NFH - no. 6B
Dworshak NFH - no. 7A
Dworshak NFH - no. 7B
Dworhsak NFH - no. 8A
Dworshak NFH - no. 8B

Although the decrease in dissolved oxygen concentrations along the length of a raceway can be expressed finitely, i.e., $\triangle \mathrm{DO}$ rather than continuously as $\frac{d D O}{d t}$, the differential form better explains the changes in dissolved oxygen occurring. The diffusion of oxygen into a raceway follows Henry's law and can be expected to increase as the FOD decreases the partial pressure of oxygen in the water. Although this increase is negligible (e.g., $0.02 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ for a 65 ft . by 8 ft raceway), it was taken into account because the FOD function was derived using a closed respirometer which did not allow reaeration. The FOD values corrected with the predicted reaeration with FOD values given by functions derived directly in raceway situations by Liao (1968) and Mueller-Feuga et al. (1978). Using a Chow test (Chow, 1960), there were no significant differences $(p=0.05)$ between our model and those models derived in raceways. It was noted that a difference of several hundred fish would result if the reaeration function were not included.

## 3. Multiple Reuse Pond Loading Studies:

a. Oxygen demand of fish: Initially, the days to elapse between pond stocking and population reduction must be established. It is advisable that at least three 14 -day growth periods or 42 days be used. Next, the Allowable Growth Rate (AGR) of the fish should be calculated. The AGR, expressed as either mm/day or in./day, is then multiplied by the number of days the fish will remain in the ponds. The result, the length increase during the time, is added to the length of the fish on hand, thus giving the length of the fish at population reduction time.

Tab1e 5. Weight: Length Tab1e for Rainbow Trout
in Production Conditions - English Units

| Length Inches | Weight Lbs. | Number per Pound | Condition Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.250 | 0.000659 | 1516.97705 | 0.00033751 |
| 1.500 | 0.001166 | 857.50684 | 0.00034553 |
| 1.750 | 0.001889 | 529.38892 | 0.00035246 |
| 2.000 | 0.002869 | 348.60229 | 0.00035857 |
| 2.250 | 0.004147 | 241.14874 | 0.00036406 |
| 2.500 | 0.005766 | 173.42807 | 0.00036903 |
| 2.750 | 0.007769 | 128.70959 | 0.00037359 |
| 3.000 | 0.010201 | 98.03439 | 0.00037780 |
| 3.250 | 0.013103 | 76.31587 | 0.00038171 |
| 3.500 | 0.016523 | 60.52229 | 0.00038537 |
| 3.750 | 0.020504 | 48.77162 | 0.00038881 |
| 4.000 | 0.025092 | 39.85387 | 0.00039206 |
| 4.250 | 0.030332 | 32.96808 | 0.00039513 |
| 4.500 | 0.036272 | 27.56931 | 0.00039805 |
| 4.750 | 0.042958 | 23.27866 | 0.00040083 |
| 5.000 | 0.050436 | 19.82712 | 0.00040349 |
| 5.250 | 0.058754 | 17.02013 | 0.00040603 |
| 5.500 | 0.067959 | 14.71467 | 0.00040847 |
| 5.750 | 0.078100 | 12.80409 | 0.00041082 |
| 6.000 | 0.089224 | 11.20775 | 0.00041307 |
| 6.250 | 0.101380 | 9.86390 | 0.00041525 |
| 6.500 | 0.114616 | 8.72482 | 0.00041735 |
| 6.750 | 0.128981 | 7.75307 | 0.00041939 |
| 7.000 | 0.144525 | 6.91922 | 0.00042136 |
| 7.250 | 0.161297 | 6.19975 | 0.00042327 |
| 7.500 | 0.179347 | 5.57580 | 0.00042512 |
| 7.750 | 0.198723 | 5.03214 | 0.00042692 |
| 8.000 | 0.219476 | 4.55630 | 0.00042867 |
| 8.250 | 0.241659 | 4.13807 | 0.00043037 |
| 8.500 | 0.265318 | 3.76906 | 0.00043203 |
| 8.750 | 0.290506 | 3.44228 | 0.00043364 |
| 9.000 | 0.317274 | 3.15185 | 0.00043522 |
| 9.250 | 0.345672 | 2.89291 | 0.00043676 |
| 9.500 | 0.375752 | 2.66133 | 0.00043826 |
| 9.750 | 0.407566 | 2.45359 | 0.00043973 |
| 10.000 | 0.441163 | 2.26673 | 0.00044116 |
| 10.250 | 0.476597 | 2.09821 | 0.00044257 |
| 10.500 | 0.513920 | 1.94583 | 0.00044394 |
| 10.750 | 0.553184 | 1.80772 | 0.00044529 |
| 11.000 | 0.594440 | 1.68225 | 0.00044661 |
| 11.250 | 0.637743 | 1.56803 | 0.00044791 |
| 11.500 | 0.683140 | 1.46383 | 0.00044918 |
| 11.750 | 0.730690 | 1.36857 | 0.00045042 |
| 12.000 | 0.780442 | 1.28132 | 0.00045165 |

Table 6. Weight: Length Table for Rainbow Trout in Production Conditions - Metric Units

| Length mm. | Weight gm. | Number <br> per <br> kgm | Condition Factor | Weight per 1000 kgms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30. | 0.250 | 3993.41675 | 0.00000932 | 0.250 |
| 35. | 0.406 | 2465.36865 | 0.00000950 | 0.406 |
| 40. | 0.616 | 1623.44312 | 0.00000967 | 0.616 |
| 45. | 0.890 | 1123.03125 | 0.00000982 | 0.890 |
| 50. | 1.238 | 807.65601 | 0.00000995 | 1.238 |
| 55. | 1.668 | 599.40015 | 0.00001007 | 1.668 |
| 60. | 2.190 | 456.54639 | 0.00001019 | 2.190 |
| 65. | 2.814 | 355.40308 | 0.00001029 | 2.814 |
| 70. | 3.548 | 281.85254 | 0.00001039 | 3.548 |
| 75. | 4.403 | 227.12967 | 0.00001048 | 4.403 |
| 80. | 5.388 | 185.60033 | 0.00001057 | 5.388 |
| 85. | 6.513 | 153.53235 | 0.00001065 | 6.513 |
| 90. | 7.789 | 128.39026 | 0.00001073 | 7.789 |
| 95. | 9.224 | 108.40904 | 0.00001081 | 9.224 |
| 100. | 10.830 | 92.33525 | 0.00001088 | 10.830 |
| 105. | 12.616 | 79.26282 | 0.00001095 | 12.616 |
| 110. | 14.593 | 68.52649 | 0.00001101 | 14.593 |
| 115. | 16.770 | 59.62889 | 0.00001108 | 16.770 |
| 120. | 19.159 | 52.19461 | 0.00001114 | 19.159 |
| 125. | 21.769 | 45.93628 | 0.00001120 | 21.769 |
| 130. | 24.611 | 40.63142 | 0.00001125 | 24.611 |
| 135. | 27.696 | 36.10600 | 0.00001131 | 27.696 |
| 140. | 31.034 | 32.22279 | 0.00001136 | 31.034 |
| 145. | 34.635 | 28.87222 | 0.00001141 | 34.635 |
| 150. | 38.511 | 25.96660 | 0.00001146 | 38.511 |
| 155. | 42.672 | 23.43466 | 0.00001151 | 42.672 |
| 160. | 47.128 | 21.21867 | 0.00001156 | 47.128 |
| 165. | 51.891 | 19.27106 | 0.00001161 | 51.891 |
| 170. | 56.971 | 17.55254 | 0.00001165 | 56.971 |
| 175. | 62.380 | 16.03069 | 0.00001169 | 62.380 |
| 180. | 68.128 | 14.67822 | 0.00001174 | 68.128 |
| 185. | 74.226 | 13.47235 | 0.00001178 | 74.226 |
| 190. | 80.685 | 12.39382 | 0.00001182 | 80.685 |
| 195. | 87.516 | 11.42541 | 0.00001186 | 87.516 |
| 200. | 94.730 | 10.55618 | 0.00001190 | 94.730 |
| 205. | 102.339 | 9.77135 | 0.00001193 | 102.339 |
| 210. | 110.354 | 9.06172 | 0.00001197 | 110.354 |
| 215. | 118.785 | 8.41853 | 0.00001201 | 118.785 |
| 220. | 127.644 | 7.83426 | 0.00001204 | 127.644 |
| 225. | 136.942 | 7.30232 | 0.00001208 | 136.942 |
| 230. | 146.690 | 6.81705 | 0.00001211 | 146.690 |

Table 6, Cont'd.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { mm. } \end{gathered}$ | Weight gm. | Number per kgm | Condition Factor | Weight per 1000 kgms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 235. | 156.900 | 6.37344 | 0.00001215 | 156.900 |
| 240. | 167.583 | 5.96714 | 0.00001218 | 167.583 |
| 245. | 178.751 | 5.59433 | 0.00001221 | 178.751 |
| 250. | 190.415 | 5.25165 | 0.00001224 | 190.415 |
| 255. | 202.586 | 4.93614 | 0.00001227 | 202.586 |
| 260. | 215.276 | 4.64518 | 0.00001231 | 215.276 |
| 265. | 228.495 | 4.37643 | 0.00001234 | 228.495 |
| 270. | 242.257 | 4.12782 | 0.00001237 | 242.257 |
| 275. | 256.572 | 3.89751 | 0.00001239 | 256.572 |
| 280. | 271.453 | 3.68386 | 0.00001242 | 271.453 |
| 285. | 286.909 | 3.48540 | 0.00001245 | 286.909 |
| 290. | 302.954 | 3.30081 | 0.00001248 | 302.954 |
| 295. | 319.599 | 3.12890 | 0.00001251 | 319.599 |
| 300. | 336.854 | 2.96862 | 0.00001253 | 336.854 |
| 305. | 354.733 | 2.81900 | 0.00001256 | 354.733 |
| 310. | 373.249 | 2.67916 | 0.00001259 | 373.249 |
| 315. | 392.409 | 2.54834 | 0.00001261 | 392.409 |
| 320. | 412.229 | 2.42582 | 0.00001264 | 412.229 |
| 325. | 432.719 | 2.31095 | 0.00001266 | 432.719 |
| 330. | 453.890 | 2.20316 | 0.00001269 | 453.890 |
| 335. | 475.756 | 2.10190 | 0.00001271 | 475.756 |
| 340. | 498.329 | 2.00669 | 0.00001274 | 498.329 |
| 345. | 521.618 | 1.91710 | 0.00001276 | 521.618 |
| 350. | 545.638 | 1.83270 | 0.00001279 | 545.638 |
| 355. | 570.399 | 1.75315 | 0.00001281 | 570.399 |
| 360. | 595.914 | 1.67808 | 0.00001283 | 595.914 |
| 365. | 622.194 | 1.60720 | 0.00001285 | 622.194 |
| 370. | 649.253 | 1.54022 | 0.00001288 | 649.253 |
| 375. | 677.101 | 1.47687 | 0.00001290 | 677.101 |
| 380. | 705.751 | 1.41692 | 0.00001292 | 705.751 |
| 385. | 735.215 | 1.36014 | 0.00001294 | 735.215 |
| 390. | 765.503 | 1.30632 | 0.00001296 | 765.503 |
| 395. | 796.632 | 1.25528 | 0.00001299 | 796.632 |
| 400. | 828.609 | 1.20683 | 0.00001301 | 828.609 |
| 405. | 861.450 | 1.16082 | 0.00001303 | 861.450 |
| 410. | 895.161 | 1.11711 | 0.00001305 | 895.161 |

The number per pound of this size fish is then determined from the appropriate length:weight table. From the number per pound, the mean weight of an individual fish in grams can be calculated (Tables 5 \& 6).

The standard metabolic rate (SMR) in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake $/ \mathrm{hr}$. for an individual fish is then calculated using the following model:
$\log Y=-0.84753166+0.84281471 \log X+0.03733078$
Where: $Y=$ oxygen requirement (mg/hr.) for a fish of size $X$
$\mathrm{X}=$ average weight (g) per fish
$\mathrm{T}=$ water temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
b. Oxygen availability in pond: The following calculations must be made:

1. Total pond volume: $L \times W \times D=f t^{3}$
2. Water inflow: Filling time for a specified volume

Cubic feet per second $\times 28.32=$ liters per second (1ps)
3. Liters per hour inflow: 1ps x 3600
4. Dissolved oxygen (mg/1) inflow: From Table 3 or by measurement
5. $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{hr}$. dissolved oxygen: $1 \mathrm{ph} \times \mathrm{mg} / 1 \mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{O}_{\text {in }}$
6. Dissolved oxygen $(\mathrm{mg} / 1)$ at $90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg} \mathrm{pO}_{2}$ in outfall;

From Table 7
c. Carrying capacity estimation:

Deck 1 (upper)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{D} .0 ._{i} & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I} \mathrm{O}_{2} \text { of incoming water } \\
\Delta \mathrm{DO} & 30 \% \text { reduction of } \mathrm{D} .0 ._{i} \\
\text { D. } 0 ._{o} & \text { D. } ._{i}-\Delta \mathrm{DO} \\
\text { Recharge }-10 \% \text { of } \mathrm{D} .0 ._{i}
\end{array}
$$

Table 7. The dissolved oxygen content (in mg/l) at various temperatures and elevations which exert an oxygen partial pressure of 90 mmHg .

| Water Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Elevation (in feet above msl) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 | 4000 | 5000 |
| 0 | 8.32 | 8.32 | 8.32 | 8.32 | 8.32 | 8.32 |
| 1 | 8.10 | 8.10 | 8.10 | 8.10 | 8.10 | 8.10 |
| 2 | 7.88 | 7.88 | 7.88 | 7.88 | 7.88 | 7.88 |
| 3 | 7.66 | 7.66 | 7.66 | 7.66 | 7.66 | 7.66 |
| 4 | 7.47 | 7.47 | 7.47 | 7.47 | 7.47 | 7.47 |
| 5 | 7.28 | 7.28 | 7.28 | 7.28 | 7.28 | 7.28 |
| 6 | 7.10 | 7.10 | 7.10 | 7.10 | 7.10 | 7.10 |
| 7 | 6.92 | 6.92 | 6.92 | 6.92 | 6.92 | 6.92 |
| 8 | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 |
| 9 | 6.60 | 6.60 | 6.60 | 6.60 | 6.60 | 6.60 |
| 10 | 6.45 | 6.45 | 6.45 | 6.45 | 6.45 | 6.45 |
| 11 | 6.31 | 6.31 | 6.31 | 6.31 | 6.31 | 6.31 |
| 12 | 6.17 | 6.17 | 6.17 | 6.17 | 6.17 | 6.17 |
| 13 | 6.04 | 6.04 | 6.04 | 6.04 | 6.04 | 6.04 |
| 14 | 5.91 | 5.91 | 5.91 | 5.91 | 5.91 | 5.91 |
| 15 | 5.79 | 5.79 | 5.79 | 5.79 | 5.79 | 5.79 |
| 16 | 5.67 | 5.67 | 5.67 | 5.67 | 5.67 | 5.67 |
| 17 | 5.56 | 5.56 | 5.56 | 5.56 | 5.56 | 5.56 |
| 18 | 5.46 | 5.46 | 5.46 | 5.46 | 5.46 | 5.46 |
| 19 | 5.35 | 5.35 | 5.35 | 5.35 | 5.35 | 5.35 |
| 20 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.25 |

Deck 2

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { D. }_{._{i}} & \text { D. } 0 ._{o}(\text { Deck 1) }+ \text { Recharge } \\
\Delta D .0 . & 25 \% \text { reduction of D. } ._{i} \text { (Deck 2) } \\
\text { D. } 0 ._{o} & \text { D. } ._{i}(\text { Deck 2) }-\Delta \text { DO (Deck 2) } \\
\text { Recharge }-10 \% \text { of D.O. } & \\
\text { (Deck 1) }
\end{array}
$$

## Deck 3

| D.O. | D.O. $\quad$ (Deck 2) + Recharge |
| :--- | :--- |
| AD.O. | $20 \%$ reduction of D.O..$_{i}$ (Deck 3) |
| D.O. | D.O..$_{i}$ (Deck 3) - $\triangle$ D.O. (Deck 3) |

Recharge - $10 \%$ of D..$_{i}$ (Deck 1)

Deck 4

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { D.O. }_{i} & \text { D. } 0 ._{o}(\text { Deck 3) }+ \text { Recharge } \\
\Delta \text { D.O. } & 15 \% \text { reduction of D.O. } \\
\text { (Deck 4) } \\
\text { D. } 0 ._{o} & \text { D. } 0 ._{i}(\text { Deck 4) }-\Delta D .0 . ~(\text { Deck 4) } \\
\text { Recharge }-10 \% \text { of D. } 0_{i}(\text { Deck 1) }
\end{array}
$$

Deck 5-n

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { D.O. }_{i} & \text { D. O. } ._{o} \text { (Deck 4) + Recharge } \\
\Delta \text { D.O. } & 15 \% \text { reduction of D.O. } \\
\text { (Deck 5) } \\
\text { D.O. } & \text { D. } ._{i} \text { (Deck 5) }-\triangle \text { D.O. (Deck 5) }
\end{array}
$$

For each deck, determine the number of fish (take-out size) allowable:

$$
\frac{\text { D.O. }(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr})}{\text { SMR per fish }(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr})}
$$

Determine the stocking number:
No. allowable of take-out size + expected mortality.
Determine the pounds to be stocked:
input number
$\overline{\mathrm{n} / 1 \mathrm{~b} \text {. (stocking size) }}$

## LOADING SEQUENCE MULTIPLE REUSE RACEWAYS

| \% Sat. (est.) |  |  |  | \% Sat. (Act.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deck A | in | 100 |  | 100 |
|  |  | -30 | 30\% DO dec.** | -30 |
|  | out | 70 |  | 70 |
|  | recharge | 10** |  | (from Table 8) |
| Deck B | in | 80 |  | 78.2 |
|  |  | -20 | 25\% DO dec. | -19.6 |
|  | out | 60 |  | 58.6 |
|  | recharge | 10 |  | (from Table 8) |
| Deck C | in | 70 |  | 69.5 |
|  |  | -14 | 20\% DO dec. | -13.9 |
|  | out | 56 |  | 55.6 |
|  | recharge | 10 |  | (from Table 8) |
| Deck D | in | 66 |  | 68.5 |
|  |  | -9.9 | 15\% DO dec. | -10.3 |
|  | out | 56.1 |  | 58.2 |
|  | recharge | 10 |  | (from Table 8) |
| Deck E | in | 66.1 |  | 69.5 |
|  |  | -9.9 | 15\% DO dec. | -10.4 |
|  | out |  |  | 59.1 |
|  | recharge | 10 |  | (from Table 8) |
| Deck F | in | 66.2 |  | 70.0 |
|  |  | -9.9 | 15\% DO dec. | -10.5 |
|  | out | 56.3 |  | 59.5 |
| ** Minimum fall of 1 ' between decks |  |  |  |  |
| *** For | each 1000' | SL the | Decr. by 3.8\% |  |

Table 8. Reaeration values for different weir heights. Values are determined by the saturation of water leaving the previous reuse pond.

| Saturation at | Reaeration Tables for Various Weir Heights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \\ 15.2 \end{array}$ | 1.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weir } \\ 1.5 \\ 45.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Height } \\ 2.0 \\ 60.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (feet } / \mathrm{cm} \text { ) } \\ 2.5 \\ 76.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 91.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ 106.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 121.9 \end{array}$ |
| Outfall of |  | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 98\% | . 9840 | . 9855 | . 9865 | . 9873 | . 9879 | . 9885 | .9890 | . 9894 |
| 96\% | . 9681 | . 9709 | . 9729 | . 9745 | . 9758 | . 9770 | . 9780 | . 9789 |
| 94\% | . 9521 | . 9564 | . 9594 | . 9618 | . 9638 | . 9655 | . 9670 | . 9683 |
| 92\% | . 9362 | . 9419 | . 9459 | . 9490 | . 9517 | . 9540 | . 9560 | . 9577 |
| 90\% | . 9202 | . 9273 | . 9323 | .9363 | . 9396 | . 9425 | . 9449 | . 9472 |
| 88\% | . 9042 | . 9128 | . 9188 | . 9236 | . 9275 | . 9309 | . 9339 | . 9366 |
| 86\% | . 8883 | . 8982 | . 9053 | . 9108 | . 9155 | . 9194 | . 9229 | . 9260 |
| 84\% | . 3723 | . 8837 | . 8917 | . 8981 | . 9034 | . 9079 | . 9119 | . 9155 |
| 82\% | . 8563 | . 8692 | . 8782 | . 8854 | . 8913 | . 8964 | . 9009 | . 9049 |
| 80\% | . 8404 | . 8546 | . 8647 | . 8726 | . 8792 | . 8849 | . 8899 | . 8943 |
| 78\% | . 8244 | . 8401 | . 8512 | . 8599 | . 8671 | . 8734 | . 8789 | . 8838 |
| 76\% | . 8085 | . 8255 | . 8376 | . 8471 | . 8551 | . 8619 | . 8679 | . 8732 |
| 74\% | . 7925 | . 8110 | . 8241 | . 8344 | . 8430 | . 8504 | . 8569 | . 8626 |
| 72\% | . 7765 | . 7965 | . 8106 | . 8217 | . 8309 | . 8389 | . 8458 | . 8521 |
| 70\% | . 7606 | . 7819 | . 7970 | . 8089 | . 8188 | . 8274 | . 8348 | . 8415 |
| 68\% | . 7446 | . 7674 | . 7835 | . 7962 | . 8068 | . 8158 | . 8238 | . 8309 |
| 66\% | . 7287 | . 7529 | . 7700 | . 7835 | . 7947 | . 8043 | . 8128 | . 8204 |
| 64\% | . 7127 | . 7383 | . 7564 | . 7707 | . 7826 | . 7928 | . 8018 | . 8098 |
| 62\% | . 6967 | . 7238 | . 7429 | . 7580 | . 7705 | . 7813 | . 7908 | . 7992 |
| 60\% | . 6808 | . 7092 | . 7294 | . 7452 | . 7584 | . 7698 | . 7798 | . 7887 |
| 58\% | . 6648 | . 6947 | . 7158 | . 7325 | . 7464 | . 7583 | . 7688 | . 7781 |
| 56\% | . 6489 | . 6802 | . 7023 | . 7198 | . 7343 | . 7468 | . 7577 | . 7675 |
| 54\% | . 6329 | . 6656 | . 6888 | . 7070 | . 7222 | . 7353 | . 7467 | . 7570 |
| 52\% | . 6169 | . 6511 | . 6752 | . 6943 | . 7101 | . 7238 | . 7357 | . 7464 |
| 50\% | . 6010 | . 6366 | . 6617 | . 6815 | . 6981 | . 7123 | . 7247 | . 7358 |
| 48\% | . 5850 | . 6220 | . 6482 | . 6688 | . 6860 | . 7007 | . 7137 | . 7253 |
| 46\% | . 5690 | . 6075 | . 6346 | . 6561 | . 6739 | . 6892 | . 7027 | . 7147 |
| 44\% | . 5531 | . 5929 | . 6211 | . 6433 | . 6618 | . 6777 | . 6917 | . 7041 |
| 42\% | . 5371 | . 5784 | . 6076 | . 6306 | . 6498 | . 6662 | . 6807 | . 6936 |
| 40\% | . 5212 | . 5639 | . 5940 | . 6179 | . 6377 | . 6547 | . 6697 | . 6830 |

Table 9. Percent saturation of water when the partial pressure of dissolved oxygen is 90 mmHg .

| Water <br> Temperature <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 | 4000 | 5000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.5687 | 0.5909 | 0.6140 | 0.6380 | 0.6619 | 0.6876 |
| 1 | 0.5692 | 0.5917 | 0.6150 | 0.6388 | 0.6628 | 0.6882 |
| 2 | 0.5694 | 0.5916 | 0.6151 | 0.6391 | 0.6627 | 0.6888 |
| 3 | 0.5691 | 0.5915 | 0.6148 | 0.6387 | 0.6626 | 0.6882 |
| 4 | 0.5698 | 0.5924 | 0.6153 | 0.6396 | 0.6634 | 0.6891 |
| 5 | 0.5701 | 0.5924 | 0.6159 | 0.6397 | 0.6636 | 0.6900 |
|  | 0.5703 | 0.5927 | 0.6163 | 0.6402 | 0.6642 | 0.6900 |
| 6 | 0.5705 | 0.5930 | 0.6162 | 0.6407 | 0.6647 | 0.6906 |
| 7 | 0.5709 | 0.5935 | 0.6168 | 0.6408 | 0.6654 | 0.6912 |
| 8 | 0.5714 | 0.5941 | 0.6174 | 0.6414 | 0.6660 | 0.6918 |
| 9 | 0.5718 | 0.5945 | 0.6178 | 0.6418 | 0.6663 | 0.6921 |
| 10 | 0.5726 | 0.5953 | 0.6186 | 0.6432 | 0.6670 | 0.6934 |
|  | 0.5729 | 0.5956 | 0.6189 | 0.6434 | 0.6677 | 0.6940 |
| 11 | 0.5736 | 0.5962 | 0.6201 | 0.6446 | 0.6689 | 0.6951 |
| 12 | 0.5743 | 0.5970 | 0.6208 | 0.6452 | 0.6693 | 0.6961 |
| 13 | 0.5748 | 0.5975 | 0.6212 | 0.6462 | 0.6709 | 0.6968 |
| 14 | 0.5751 | 0.5981 | 0.6217 | 0.6465 | 0.6710 | 0.6974 |
| 15 | 0.5762 | 0.5991 | 0.6226 | 0.6473 | 0.6723 | 0.6985 |
|  | 0.5772 | 0.6000 | 0.6240 | 0.6485 | 0.6732 | 0.7000 |
| 16 | 0.5771 | 0.6004 | 0.6243 | 0.6485 | 0.6238 | 0.7003 |
| 17 | 0.5782 | 0.6014 | 0.6250 | 0.6506 | 0.6748 | 0.7019 |

Estimates of fish numbers varied greatly from the recorded pound inventory values for all raceways (Table 4). We were unable to determine if the specific source of the error was in the method, but think it was due to one or a combination of the three factors:

1) The model was incorrect in that it predicted elevated values of individual oxygen consumption, thereby giving an underestimate of fish numbers when dividing it into the total oxygen consumption. Previous data from another facility indicated that the predictions of fish numbers were correct (+ or $-3 \%$ ) at 15 C , so it was suspected that problems were inherent with the model as the water temperatures dropped. However, we compared predicted values for oxygen consumption given by our model with those given by Mueller-Fuega et al (1978) and found them to be highly correlated when plotted against each other at all temperatures.

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS AMONG METHODS TO ESTIMATE NUMBERS OF FISH IN A RACEWAY POND

|  | MUELLER-FEUGA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.99917 |  |
| Actual | 0.99902 |  |

2) The second source of error may have been inaccurate flow measurements. A sensitivity analysis, performed on all the variables, indicated that a $10 \%$ increase in the water inflow measurement will elicit a $10 \%$ increase in the predicted fish numbers, while a $10 \%$ increase in weight or


Figure 5. Comparisons of derived oxygen consumption slope with that developed by Klontz et al. (1978).
temperature measurements will only affect a $6.0-3.0 \%$ change in predicted numbers, respectively. This points out that an inaccurate flow measurement can have a large effect on the model's predictive capability.
3) The third source of error involves the location of water sample removal for dissolved oxygen determination. Incoming water was nearly $100 \%$ saturated with oxygen, but as the water moved down the raceway, oxygen was removed by fish respiration shifting the equilibrium and causing oxygen to diffuse into the water at an increased rate. Because the water in the raceways was not agitated, only a small surface layer was reaerated, but it was from this layer that the water samples were removed. The higher concentration of the surface layer may have biased the results by producing a small $\triangle \mathrm{DO}$ or underestimating the total oxygen consumption.

Other problems concerning the measurement of dissolved oxygen which may or may not have played a part in this study are sampling water from a "dead" area created by the flow pattern, such as above a venturi dam outlet, and the necessity to have a total biomass present in the raceway to remove enough oxygen to permit the $\triangle \mathrm{DO}$ to be out of the range of variability in Winkler titration (i.e., $\Delta \mathrm{DO}$ must be $=0.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ ).

To more fully explore the role of dissolved oxygen determination in applying the census-taking model, estimates of what the outfall dissolved oxygen should have been according to the population estimates presented in the hatchery records were calculated (Table 10).

Table 10. Estimates of dissolved oxygen concentrations based upon recorded population size.

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/1)

| Pond <br> No. | Dissolved <br> inflow | Oxygen (mg/1) <br> outfa11 <br> (recorded) | outfal1 <br> (calculated) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 6.75 |
| 2 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 5.88 |
| 3 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 11.60 |
| 4 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 10.95 |
| 5 | 12.0 | 11.3 | 10.80 |
| 6 | 12.4 | 11.3 | 11.50 |
| 7 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 11.69 |
| 8 | 12.1 | 11.3 | 11.28 |
| 9 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 11.26 |
| 10 | 11.8 | 10.7 | 10.92 |

From these data, there are several valid observations. The dissolved oxygen determinations are insufficiently precise for the model. The Winkler method has an accuracy of $10^{-1}$; however, the data indicate that a $10^{-2}$ accuracy is required because the values are expanded 3600 -fold to generate the $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ per hour in the system.

## SUMMARY

This study provides preliminary evidence that the concepts of using oxygen consumption models to predict population size in raceways systems and to predict carrying capacities in multiple reuse raceways systems are sound. This study should be re-evaluated when the oxygen determination problems are solved.
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Models for oxygen consumption by rainbow trout were developed and preliminarily tested under laboratory and field conditions. The major factor leading to inaccuracies in estimating population numbers was determined to be the sensitivity of measuring the dissolved oxygen entering and leaving the systems. Further studies are planned.
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