

Effect of Changes in Crop Mix Upon Consumptive Use of Irrigation Water in the Eastern Snake Plain of Idaho

Prepared by Idaho Water Resources Research Institute
In fulfillment of Task 12
of Contract # CON00762

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR EASTERN SNAKE PLAIN AQUIFER
COMPREHENSIVE AQUIFER MANAGEMENT PLAN STUDIES

for
The Idaho Water Resource Board
and
The Idaho Department of Water Resources



B. A. Contor
P.L. Pelot

25 January 2008

Idaho Water Resources Institute
Technical Completion Report 2008-001

Effect of Changes in Crop Mix Upon Consumptive Use of Irrigation Water in the Eastern Snake Plain of Idaho

In fulfillment of Task 12
of Contract # CON00762

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR EASTERN SNAKE PLAIN AQUIFER COMPREHENSIVE AQUIFER MANAGEMENT PLAN STUDIES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Idaho Water Resource Board is concerned about potential changes in water use that may have occurred due to changes in crop mix. One option for benefiting the aquifer water budget is to consider policy options that would affect crop mix.

Data indicate that the effect of cropping changes since 1980 has been an increase in consumptive use of about 120,000 acre feet per year. The possibility of adjusting the aquifer water budget by incentive programs to affect crop mix was tested. The potential benefit to the aquifer could be 350,000 to 490,000 acre feet per year.

INTRODUCTION

The Idaho Water Resource Board is preparing a Comprehensive Aquifer Management Plan for the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer. As part of the data-gathering process for preparation of the plan, the Board and its advisory group have requested an evaluation of changes in crop mix. This is because crop mix may have a significant impact upon the total consumptive use of irrigation water in the Eastern Snake Plain. Water that is consumptively used from ground-water irrigation is permanently extracted from the aquifer. Water that is consumptively used from surface-water irrigation is permanently removed from availability for incidental recharge to the aquifer and return to rivers.

Anecdotal evidence suggests that over the past one or two decades, there has been an increase in acreage of alfalfa hay and silage corn, relative to other crops. Since these are high-evapotranspiration (ET) crops, it is possible that changes in crop mix have impacted the aquifer by increasing net extraction and decreasing incidental recharge.

To evaluate this possibility, Idaho Water Resources Research Institute (IWRRRI) under contract with Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) has

calculated the mix of irrigated agricultural crops in the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer area from 1980 through 2006. To avoid confounding the results with differences in weather patterns and crop acreage, the crop mix for each of these years has been applied to a single map of irrigated lands and a single evapotranspiration data set. An evaluation has also been made of the potential to reduce consumptive use from the aquifer by providing incentives to change cropping patterns.

DATA SOURCES

Evapotranspiration data came from the US Bureau of Reclamation/USDA Agricultural Resource Service/USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service AGRIMET program (US Bureau of Reclamation 2008). Crop ET data for year 2006¹ were obtained for the stations illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure 1. AGRIMET weather stations and sample crop ET raster.

¹ Year 2006 is a recent year that was neither unusually hot and dry nor excessively wet and cool.

Crop data for 1980 through 2001 were obtained from the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer Model data files (IWRRI 2007) described in the report Determination of Crop Mix, Revision One (Contor 2003). These data primarily came from Idaho Agricultural Statistics Service and National Agricultural Statistics Service reports and electronic data files. Data for 2002 through 2006 were obtained from the Idaho Agricultural Statistics series (USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service and Idaho State Department of Agriculture 2003-2007).

METHODS

Historical Changes in Consumptive Use Due to Crop Mix

AGRIMET data provide a daily evapotranspiration (ET) depth for each important crop in the area of each individual AGRIMET weather station. Data for 2006 were summed into an annual total depth of ET for each crop, with missing crops for some stations supplied from the nearest station which had the particular crop reported. Using Geographical Information Systems (GIS) processing, the average annual ET depth for each crop was calculated for each county.

The crop acreage data were converted to a percentage for each crop, for each county. In some cases, the USDA reports do not report a particular crop by county, to avoid identifying individual producers. In those cases, the county acreage for this project was estimated based upon prior years' data. Potato data for 2006 are not yet available, so 2005 data were used as estimates for 2006.

Using GIS, the irrigated acreage for each county based on year-2000 LANDSAT data from Eastern Snake Plain modeling data (Contor 2002) was also calculated.

The equivalent ET volume that would have occurred, had the individual crop mixes from 1980 through 2006 occurred on the year-2000 irrigated lands with 2006 ET, was calculated for each county. For each crop and county, the volume was calculated using:

$$\text{ET Volume (acre feet/yr)} = \\ (\text{county irrigated acres}) \times (\text{2006 ET depth in feet}) \\ \times (\text{crop percentage})$$

In the above relationship, everything on the right-hand side of the equation was held constant from year to year except the crop percentage, allowing the impacts of changes in crop mix to be isolated from other influences. For each county, the county volume was the sum of all crop volumes, and for the plain as a whole, the total volume was the sum of all the counties.

For counties that partly overlie the aquifer, only the acreage above the aquifer was considered. For Elmore and Custer Counties, which have only small

acreage overlying the aquifer, the crop mix from an adjacent county (Gooding County or Butte County) was used.

Potential Induced Changes in Crop Mix

A possible action that could be taken to benefit the aquifer would be to induce a change in crop mix from higher-consumptive to lower-consumptive crops. Another potential action would be to induce temporary fallowing of lands in lieu of growing the lowest-value crop in a crop rotation. For many years in Idaho, growers contracted to reduce small-grains acreage in exchange for USDA marketing support and commodity programs. While this often resulted in planting higher-consumptive crops such as alfalfa, it is an illustration of the willingness of growers to adjust planting mix in response to carefully-crafted programs and policies. It illustrates that the administrative mechanisms and procedures to enroll and verify changes in cropping have already been invented, tested and refined. Finally, historic USDA commodity programs demonstrate that responding to incentive programs can be a rational and economically viable decision for growers.

To test the potential effect of inducing changes in crop mix, the 2006 crop mix was modified in the following ways:

1. 10% of alfalfa acreage was converted to barley.
2. 10% of silage corn acreage was converted to barley.
3. A reduction of barley acreage, equivalent to 50% of the potato acreage, was applied. The reasoning behind this reduction was that barley is often grown in rotation with potatoes primarily for agronomic, rather than economic, reasons. Fallow is equally beneficial in a crop rotation, and the economic return of barley (with historically-normal small-grains prices) is often low enough that inducements to fallow might be feasible.²

For this study, the ET of the hypothetical crop mix was compared with the 2006 crop mix, to assess the potential impact of adjusting crop-mix ratios as a management option.

RESULTS

Historical Changes in Crop Mix

Figures 2 through 4 show the equivalent consumptive use in acre feet for each county, if the historical crop mix for each year had been experienced with the year-2000 irrigated acreage and the year-2006 AGRIMET ET.

² Unless the current unprecedented small-grains prices are a permanent condition, it still may be feasible in the future to induce fallow (in lieu of small grains) as a potato rotation.




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5

Figures 6 through 10 illustrate the same data on a percentage basis.




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8




Figure 9




Figure 10

Table 1 shows the departure from the ET implied by the 1980 crop mix for each county, for the last five years of the test period.

Table 1
 Departure in Evapotranspiration from
 1980 Crop Mix. Acre Feet per Year for
 Irrigated Lands Lying Over the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer.
 Year-2000 Acreage and Year-2006 ET Values Used with Each Crop Mix

COUNTY	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
BANNOCK	8,424	8,004	7,913	9,881	11,537
BINGHAM	46,566	23,607	23,607	48,104	63,597
BLAINE	864	-422	592	191	2,114
BONNEVILLE	-7,279	2,192	2,192	-69	5,586
BUTTE	6,932	7,888	9,254	-26,860	5,384
CASSIA	21,620	9,947	9,947	15,051	10,627
CLARK	1,071	-2,614	-2,142	-1,294	-2,289
CUSTER	1,599	1,820	2,135	-6,196	1,242
ELMORE	958	469	303	145	113
FREMONT	115	4,754	4,754	2,986	4,146
GOODING	25,188	12,347	7,969	3,808	2,961
JEFFERSON	19,578	3,875	3,875	-1,785	-791
JEROME	7,300	5,873	11,870	-56,295	9,888
LINCOLN	-2,265	-7,751	-7,751	-10,878	-6,426
MADISON	3,894	1,113	3,316	-2,050	4,925

COUNTY	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
MINIDOKA	27,003	12,409	12,409	-22,954	21,275
POWER	8,911	11,653	9,078	10,782	8,902
TWIN FALLS	2,485	1,005	1,005	1,175	1,323
Total	172,963	96,166	100,325	-36,258	144,115

The trend line in Figure 4 is statistically significant³, though the r^2 value (indicative of predictive power) is low. The statistical tests were repeated for the 1980-2004 crop-mix representations, in case the dip in 2005 represents a data problem rather than a real phenomenon. The analyses generally agreed, as shown in Table A1 in the appendix. Using the regressions to remove random effects in the beginning or ending years, it appears that the change in crop mix over the period increased consumptive use by about 0.04% to 0.14% per year. Though this is a small percentage, over the 27-year period it amounts to an increase of about 120,000 acre feet per year in consumptive use.

Hypothetical Induced Change in Crop Mix

There are three possible explanations for the sharp drop in consumptive use observed in some counties in 2005:

1. Expectations of reduced water availability affected planting decisions.
2. There is a problem in the underlying data.
3. Adjustments by IWRRR for missing data introduced an error.

The 2005 dip in consumptive use was as much as 10% to 20% in some counties. In fact, the total ET implied by the 2005 crop mix is actually lower than the ET implied by the 1980 crop mix. If this represents an actual change (rather than a data error), it is an indication of the potential to affect consumptive use by adjusting crop mix. A ten-percent reduction in annual crop consumptive use would provide approximately 490,000 acre feet per year potential benefit to the aquifer.

We also assessed the potential of affecting the water budget through cropping incentives by assuming following some rotation acres and replacing some high-ET crops with lower-ET crops. The benefits shown in Table 2 include about 300,000 acre feet of benefit from rotating some potatoes with fallow (in the place of barley) and approximately 50,000 acre feet from substituting barley for alfalfa and silage.

³ The data were tested using the Durbin-Watson test statistic (Ott 1993) and autocorrelation was not found a problem. This is important on time series data because it is possible to declare a relationship statistically significant when in fact it is not, if autocorrelation is severe enough to violate the assumptions of the regression equations (VanKirk 2007).

Table 2
Reduction in ET from Replacing
10% of Alfalfa Acres with Barley, 10% of Silage Corn Acres with Barley,
and Fallowing of Barley Acres Equal to 50% of Potato Acres.
Acre Feet per Year. Only irrigated lands overlying the ESPA are considered.

County	2006 Crop Mix	Hypothetical Crop Mix	Reduction
BANNOCK	64,896	61,145	3,751
BINGHAM	861,915	786,088	75,826
BLAINE	63,081	60,874	2,207
BONNEVILLE	363,810	332,204	31,607
BUTTE	160,491	156,466	4,025
CASSIA	447,138	416,632	30,506
CLARK	119,359	111,983	7,376
CUSTER	37,024	36,096	929
ELMORE	13,375	12,726	649
FREMONT	166,210	149,466	16,744
GOODING	351,783	334,720	17,063
JEFFERSON	551,635	523,017	28,618
JEROME	471,340	450,773	20,568
LINCOLN	218,726	213,056	5,670
MADISON	290,125	250,910	39,215
MINIDOKA	500,359	469,401	30,958
POWER	254,185	217,699	36,486
TWIN FALLS	36,116	34,773	1,343
BANNOCK	64,896	61,145	3,751
TOTAL	4,971,568	4,618,030	353,538

CONCLUSIONS

On an individual county basis, changes range from negligible to an increase in consumptive use of over 20 percent. The aggregate effect across the entire plain has been a 2% to 2.5% increase in consumptive use over the 27-year period. Though this is a small percentage, it amounts to about 120,000 acre feet per year.

As a policy option for benefiting the aquifer, a modest adjustment in current crop mix could reduce consumptive use by approximately 350,000 acre feet per year across the plain. Aggressive adjustments might possibly increase the benefit to 490,000 acre feet. Reductions that occur on ground-water irrigated acres will without doubt produce a benefit to the aquifer. If full diversions of surface water are maintained in conjunction with reductions on surface-water irrigated acres, these reductions will also benefit the aquifer.

REFERENCES

- Contor, B.A. 2003. Determination of Crop Mix, Revision One. Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer Model Enhancement Project Scenario Document Number DDW-001. http://www.if.uidaho.edu/~johnson/DDW-001-CropMix_Rev_1.pdf, accessed January 2008.
- Contor, B.A. 2002. Land Use. Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer Model Enhancement Project Scenario Document Number DDW-015 Final As-Built. http://www.if.uidaho.edu/~johnson/DDW015_LandCover_Final_9_04.pdf, accessed January 2008.
- Idaho Water Resources Research Institute. 2007. Unpublished crop data from Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer Model Enhancement Project.
- Ott, R.L. 1993. An Introduction to Statistical Methods and Data Analysis. Duxbury Press, Belmont California. p 705-709.
- US Bureau of Reclamation, USDA Agricultural Resource Service, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. AGRIMET historical evapotranspiration data. <http://www.usbr.gov/pn/agrimet/etsummary.html>, accessed December 2007.
- USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service, Idaho Field Office, and Idaho State Department of Agriculture. 2003-2007. Idaho Agricultural Statistics. (there is a separate paper publication for each year)
- VanKirk, R. 2008. Associate Professor of Mathematics, Idaho State University. Personal communication.

APPENDIX

Table A1
Comparison of Trend Analyses of
Trend in ET Response to Crop Mix

Statistic	1980-2006	1980-2004
Slope (lower 95% Confidence Interval), acre feet per year	1,863	3,394
Slope (estimated), acre feet per year	3,981	5,067
Slope (upper 95% Confidence Interval), acre feet per year	6,100	6,741
Slope range in percent of annual diversion volume (upper and lower 95%)	0.04% - 0.12%	0.07% - 0.14%
P-value ⁴	0.0007	2×10^{-6}
r-squared	0.37	0.63
Durbin-Watson Statistic ⁵	2.22	2.20
Average 27-year difference ⁶	2.1%	2.5%

⁴ The P-value is the probability that the observed relationship could have occurred randomly.

⁵ Durbin-Watson statistics between 1.5 and 2.5 generally indicate that autocorrelation is low enough to not jeopardize the drawing of conclusions from linear-regression statistics (Ott 1993).

⁶ This is the percentage difference between the 1980-crop mix value and the 2006 crop-mix value *of the regression line*. Using the regression lines smoothes year-to-year variability and gives a total-change indication that is not influenced by random effects on end-year values.