

# The Post-Register

## The political pulse

B-8

Idaho Falls, Idaho, Sunday, February 1, 1981

# Appointments loom as top partisan issue

BY BEN J. PLASTINO  
Post-Register political editor

What hadn't even been mentioned at the start now appears the leading partisan issue to be handled by the Idaho Legislature.

It is the appointments by Democratic Gov. John V. Evans of Robert Saxvik, his chief administrative assistant, and Chris Carlson, former press secretary and regional administrator to Cecil D. Andrus, former U.S. secretary of interior and former Idaho governor, as Idaho's representatives to the Pacific Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Planning Council.

Republican state Attorney General David Leroy, frequently mentioned as a gubernatorial candidate, and the leadership of the Republican Senate leadership are the key figures in opposing Evans' action. The action likely will bring a sharp split between the Republicans and the outvoted Democrats, who up to now have been enjoying unparalleled harmonious relations.

\* \* \*

Last week, Leroy opened the fireworks at the prodding of Senate President Pro Tem Reed Budge, R-Soda Springs, by issuing an opinion to the effect the governor was overstepping his bounds in making the appointments without the consent of the Legislature. He quoted court cases to substantiate his findings.

This week, the Senate Republicans are considering a bill that would curtail Evans' power to make the appointments. Among the restrictions contemplated are to require Senate confirmation, such as also contained in the Leroy opinion; require one of the appointees be a Republican; specify a three-year term rather than the present indefinite length of office; lower the salaries from \$50,000 to \$32,000, the same as received by IPUC members; and require an annual report to the Idaho Legislature.

\* \* \*

Carlson, who served as Andrus regional administrator at Seattle the past year and was close in writing a draft of the council bill, said the governor was within his rights to name the Idaho repre-

sentatives. He insisted the bill, as drafted, gives Evans that prerogative.

Evans also claims he has the right under his executive order privilege.

Senate Majority Leader James Risch, R-Boise, in an interview with this writer, correctly predicted this issue may be the hottest in this session, even superseding Right to Work and Sagebrush Rebellion, two issues considered among the most emotional.

\* \* \*

**This issue has considerable legal ramifications and could wind up with neither the governor nor the Legislature making the appointment, but rather the federal government, meaning particularly Secretary of Interior James Watt.**

Under questioning at a press conference, Leroy acknowledged that if the state appointments are not settled by June 30, then the state appointment rights become void.

This could be highly probable if the case is bounced to federal court. Such a court likely would take weeks, perhaps months, to settle the controversy.

\* \* \*

**Both Saxvik and Carlson have considerable expertise to serve as council members. Saxvik formerly state senator from Burley but has worked closely on public power matters as the governor's chief assistant. Carlson, as one of Andrus' top staffman at Seattle, also has been closely associated with the northwest power picture.**

The Eastern Idaho Council of Industry and Energy raised a question about the qualifications of the two appointees in criticizing the governor for what they thought was premature action. It's true that both Saxvik and Carlson are "political animals" as was charged but no one can say they lack high qualifications.

**The only danger is the possibility the present squabble doesn't destroy the present harmonious relations in the Senate — a drastic turnover from recent years — and that Idaho's voice on the council isn't seriously impaired by federal appointments.**

# The Post-Register

## The political pulse

B-2

Idaho Falls, Idaho, Wednesday, February 4, 1981

Legislative limelights...

# 46th Legislature treads softly on state tax issues

BY BEN J. PLASTINO  
Post-Register political editor

BOISE — Viewing the 46th Idaho Legislature for the first month affirms the conclusion most Idaho Legislatures are taking a cautious approach on financial matters.

There are a number of general fund tax relief and tax increase proposals but it can be said now that except for those already on the books they aren't going to get any place.

Most of the legislators, and this certainly includes the influential leadership, feel the voters have sent them a message they want no further state governmental increases, only the bare essentials.

\* \* \*

**It appears the consensus legislators will finance what is absolutely necessary, and no more. Most are concerned governmental agencies be not trimmed so drastically that they can't give essential service. But they have taken the stand there is nothing in any phases of state government that is holy.**

It's a little frightening to view this mentality but hopefully the anticipated revenues will increase enough so that there will be no need for drastic cuts, especially in the field of both public and higher education and health and welfare. These sectors account for 85 percent of the budget and there is little that can be done on the remaining 15 percent allotted to other state agencies and programs.

The Senate on almost a straight party line vote, 25-10, finally approved a resolution setting the revenue projection at \$422,240 million Monday afternoon, well under the \$438 million estimated by the governor. Yet, the Democrats may turn out to be more accurate in insisting the revenues may reach \$431 million, the figure generally agreed to by university and corporate economists. This remains to be seen.

The main fights will center between the moderates, including Republicans and most Democrats, and right wingers, with the conservatives holding the balance of power. This indicates that most essential programs will be enacted.

\* \* \*

**From this long distant East Idaho view it would appear at this time, the budget will be fixed at near the Legislative revenue projection of \$422.2 million, and not the \$438 million the governor has recommended; that there will be no general tax increase; that public education, including kindergartens, will be adequately funded; that the only tax relief is continuance of the senior citizen circuit breaker and the homestead exemption; the state public employees and teachers will get some salary increase; and some hike will be given for highway funding, either in state gas tax or some form of vehicle registration increase.**

The view of Senate Majority Leader James Risch, R-Boise, that it may be much easier to settle a bare bone budget rather than engage in long-winded hassles over the surplus is likely accurate.

When the legislators know they have only so much money, they are willing to appropriate what is absolutely necessary and go home. Under this premise it would appear the lawmakers can go home in 60 days or shortly thereafter, much to the relief of the governor and the Idaho people.

The strategy now is to let the Joint Finance Appropriation Committee trim all budgets to come within the revenue projection of \$422,240 million, that the full Senate and House will then serve as a rubber stamp with some few exceptions.

**It would appear then there will be no significant progress in state government in this session; but neither should there be drastic cutbacks for essential services as so many people fear, particularly in education. This would happen if there should be an abolishment of kindergarten which appears now to be a target.**