CHURCH UNION

· .

We hear much talk these days about church Union. Leading the discussion, and most active in its behalf is the Right Rev.St.George Tucker, the primate of the Protestant Episcopal church in the United States. It is bishop Tucker, if we remember correctly, who, not long ago made the public statement that "unless the Christian church# develops an enthusiasm comparable to that of warring Japan or Soviet Russia, the Christian cause may fail and pass out of the picture".

I agree with bishop Tucker in some of the things he says about the church and the Christian religion passing away--in other words--dying. Religions have passed away before. In fact, when anything, man, animal, or religion outlives its usefullness, it is a law of nature that they each and all pass away. Now the bishop wants the Catholic church, and the 200 different sects of the Protestant church to "get together". He admits that the whole Christian religion may "pass away", yet he wants to unite all sects and branches of the Christian religion, in the vain hope, I suppose, that this might make the failing church successful, thereby saving Christianity.

But I dont believe the bishop is logical in his desires. No question but what he is a very saintly man, quite honest in his desires, but there are seeveral things th good bishop forgets, evidently. The first thing which enters my mind is this--if, as the bishop states, the Christian cause may fail and pass out of the picture, it must be a failure as a whole. Then, if that is a fact (and it is a fact) how can you make a ########### success out of what is already a failure, just by tiring together all the failing parts into one bog whole?

If the Christian religion is about to pass away---if it is that big a failure---then by what logic does the bishop figure that by uniting the hundreds of siffering sects and denominations of the failing Christian religion, he can make a success out of it? Each and every denomination in the Christian religion today is a failure. They all admit it. The facts and figur es prove it. And they dont seem to be ashamed of it for, like the Methodists, they publish the facts of their losses in their official magazines, and 1 cant help but see them.

What I can't see is how, combining a dozen major denominations, failures by their own admission, you are going to make a success out of that combination. I dont believe it is sound reasoning. There are say 12 grocery stores in Moscow Idaho. There are only so many families in Moscow Idaho. If all the grocery stores were combined into one, would any more groceries be sold? Let us suppose that to-morrow, all branches of the Christian religion (500 of them) were united into one geat body called the Christian church. We have no Catholic, no Baptist, no Methodist---just one big church called the Christian church--let me ask you--how could that one big church turn these 500 failing sects into a success itself, just because it piled them all

together?

N 18 19

Does one take a lof of failures and, by joining these failures together make success out of it? I think not. For if these organizations have failed, standing by themselves, they will fail just that much faster if you unite them. For the failure will be so much more noticable, will it not? The Methodist church admits today, by its own published figures, that it is losing at rather an alarming rate. The Poptestant Episcopal church states publicly the same thing. Figures on ALL existing religious branches of Christianity, show they ALL are dying on their feet, and even if they were to unite (which they never will) they still would be failures. I can't see any object in uniting, if it were possible. Might just as well let them die individually as collectively. It wont require as big a funeral then.

Now let's see if we cant find out just why church union will never become an established fact, and why it would avail nothing even if it were an established fact. In considering this, and in trying to help the churches, let us inquire first into the cause of this failure. If "Psychiana" were to show even a fraction of the losses the Gatholic or any other branch of the Christian church shows, we should be very much alarmed, and should get after the cause of the loss at once. If we could not find the cause, we should turn the key in our door and close up shop. For if the day ever comes when "Psychiana" cannot bring God to men, it will not operate. And that is what the churches should do. Instead of talking about a foolish "un#ion", they should investigate the cause of the failure. For, in the Realm of God, failure does not belong. And where failure exists, one may very well question the presumed fact of the existence of God in that organization.

I said a few paragraphs back that there were only so many groceries sold in Moscow Idaho. And there are only so many people on the earth interested in religion. In fact, so few are they that the existing branches of the Christian church is, according to its own prophets, about to die and pass out of the picture. Now ### what is the reason for this? Let me give it to you right straight from the shoulder. There is little to be gained by beating round the bush. Too much is at stake for that, and I dont beat round many bushes as you probably know by this time. I get right at the heart of the trouble. If the leaders of the Christian church would do that, they would be able to win this world to God, instead of talking about "passing out of the picture". Shame on you bishop Tucker, for ever making such a statement as that, and masquerading under the cloth of the church at the same time.

Now without out further preamble, I shall tell you and the church exactly what the trouble is. I know it. You know it. The only one that does not know what the trouble with the Christian religion is, is the Christian religion itself. That's usually the case. A sick person cannot diagnose his own disease. He has to call in a specialist in the realm of disease. The reason ## for the universal failure of these churches, in fact of the whole Christian system of religion, lies in the fact that while it operates in the name of God, IT KNOWS ABSOLUTELY NOTHING ABOUT GOD---AND IF PERCHANCE IT SHOULD EVER HAVE KNOWN ANYTHING ABOUT GOD, IT HAS FORGOTTEN WHAT IT NEW BECAUSE OF THE INTERPOLATION OF JESUS CHRIST AS GOD. Now there, in plain American talk, is the root of the failure of the Christian church. It is just eaxctly that--the C H R I S T I A N church. BUT IT IS NOT EVEN A GODLY CHURCH, FOR CHRISTIANITY IS PAGANISM AND CAN BE EASILY PROVEN TO BE JUST THAT, AND NOTHING MORE.

Of course, this will# shock the Christian, and I'm glad it will. ^Perhaps, through my straight-from-the-shoulder writings, I may be able to bring the truths of God to the church, and through the church to the world. I am bringing them to the world anyhow, but I could do it so much faster if I# had the churches to work through. Now why do I say that Christianity is a "pagan" religion? Well the best answer to that question I can give is--"BECAUSE IT IS". Every part of it is "pagan". Its fundamental foundations are "pagan". I have proven that ten thousand times, as you will know. And every "pagan" system of religion the world has ever known, has failed. And the "paganism" in Christianity is the cause of the failure of that religion too. Now I know as well as you do that there's going to be a terrific howl go up from the churches when this statement is re#leased. But that means nothing at all. The Christian church admits it is on its way out, so instead of doing so much howling about me, let's see what the trouble really is. For its perfecrly all right I imagine to experiment on a "dying" man in the interests of science, ## is it not? Well then--it should be quite as permissible to experiment upon a dying religion, in the interests of the rest of the human race. So then, instead of complaining about "that atheist" in Moscow, let us instead, anaylise a bit, for you may depend upon it I shall give you the truth and the answer to this "church-union" proposition before I'm thoough.

I said Christianity was a "pagan" religion. The Christian will admit that Hindooism is a "pagan" religion--no question about that--is there. All right, now let us see what the fundamentals of the Christiam structure are. The first one, and the great one, as the name of the religion implies---is a "god" who was ccucified for the sins of the world" and the name of that "god" is Jesus Christ. That true? O.K. let's go a bit farther. This "god" Jesus, on which the Christian religion was founded, and which bears his name was, we are told, born of a virgin called Mary about 2000 years ago. We are told that he was the "second part of a trinity of Father, Son, and Holy Ghost". We are told further that he was crucified on a cross for the sins of the world. We are informed that unless we believe this story, we never can be "saved" and we never can know God.

Then, in addition to this, we are told that this Jesus had no earthly father. We are told that another one-third of God brought this "god" into existence through the womb of a woman without her having had sexual intercourse with her husband or with any other man. Is that not true? We are told that, when about three years and then was crucified on a cross, and we are further informed by the Christian church that he died on that choss. Then, three days after burial, by some strange power (god was dead) he raised himself up from the dead and went up to "heaven" in a cloud of dust, and is up there now, sitting at the right hand of the other two-thirds of himself. Is that true? And then the Christian church, to cap the climax, tells us that all other religions which teach anything different from that, are all wrong. They tell us very palinly that unless we "believe in the Lord Jsus Christ" we are all doomed. Now that's the foundation story of the Christian religion. And you know it as well as I do. And, ona moments thought, you also know why people wont believe it. Its entirely too far-fetched. And that story is the cause of the failure of the Christian religion.

Now I said the Christian religion was a "pagan" religion. I'll now prove that statement to the entire satisfaction of ever man and woman with one ten-thousandth part of a grain of sommon sense and reasoning ability. Listen carefully my friends --- for we are here dealing with a serious matter. I assure you I am not writing lightly --- I never was more in earnest in my life than I am at tis moment. I want to write so powerfully in this article. that I convince you, beyont any shadow of doubt, that I am telling you the truth. If I can do that, that's all I ask to do. And I believe you will believe that I know what I am talking about before this article is finished. Now listen --- 1400 years before the Christ of the Christian church appeared. (if he ever did appear) there was inexistence another system of religion, which was far bigger then, and is far bøgger today than is the Christian religion. I refer to the religion of #### India. Let me tell you what that religion was teaching 1400 years before the Christian religion was ever heard of. Its interesting to say the least. It proves my statement that Christianity is a "pagan" system of religion, and not only that, it proves that the whole

business of Christianity was stolen bodily(by the Emperor Constantine) from the Hindoo religion. It is the same thing almost exactly. For,1400 years before Christianity, there came to the earth, born of a virgin called Mary (maia) a man called Jeseus Christna. And if that isnt Jesus Christ I should like to know the difference. This prior "god" was one-third of a "trinity" of three-thirds and he was, like Jesus Christ, the second part of that "trinity" He was, the Hindoo tells us, born without his mother having had sexual intercourse with his father or with any other man. He was born through the third part of himself, exactly as Jesus Christ was born according to the Christian, and still like the Christian, this Jeseus, 1400 years before, was crucified on a cross. After three days in a grave, he, like the Christian "god" got up#out of that grave, and ascended in a cloud to heaven, where, with Jesus, he still is sitting at the right hand of the other two-thirds of himself.

1.8

192-192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192 - 192

> He came to call all men to repentance, he came to save the world, and, like Jesus Christ, he is supposed to return again. Now I have given you enough, although it wasnt much, but its enough to show you why I so persistently advocate and suggest that the Jesus story is the same identical story as the Jeseus story of the Hindoo. They are parallel stories. The one was copied from the other. Yet more people today believe the Hindoo story than believe the Christian story. This are staggering facts, and, if true, they destroy the very foundations of Christianity. BUT THEY ARE FACTS. Any public library can produce the evidence. Why these two "gods" were even born on the 25th of December according to their respective traditions.

But let us see what history, authentic history, has to say about Jesus Christ, if anything. On searching diligently though for some historic mention of the god of the Christian church, we find strange silence. Very strange silence. The fact that no history, sacred or profane-that not one of three hundred histories of that age,—makes the slightest illusion to Christ, or any of the miraculous inci-der ind jo adou 1841 here of any of the miraculous inci-of un uau IIP MEAP 01,, aues of M usi Sall proves, with a led rather an obscure life, entirely incompatible with his that he was not a miracle-worker, but that he must have The conclusion is thus irresistibly forced upon us, not only hero of these achievements, either by name or character. his earthly career, and make no mention of the reputed feats incorporated in his Gospel biographies as signalizing epoch of the birth of Christ chronicle none of the astounding ceded, therefore, that the numerous histories covering the as they are rejected by Christian writers. It must be conauthors of that era, which it is not necessary to notice here,

Now here is the proof beloved that the story of the failure of the Christian religion can be traced to the story on which it is founded. And just as long as such an asinine theory of God is taught, the organization teaching, it no matter what that organization may be, is bound to fail. And the joining together of all branches of the Church which teaches that story, will not even delay the death of the organization teaching it. IT IS NOT TRUE. And these are days in which ONLY THE TRUTH CAN LIVE AND SUCCEED.

Men and women are just simply not interested in Jesus Christ. They are not interested in any theology which tells them they will either believe a church theory of God or "be damned". And that is just exactly what the Christian religion teaches. I am told by Christians that they do not believe that story any more. Then they have no right in the Christian church.

I'm going to make a suggestion now, and the churches can take it or leave it. If they take it, they can come into glorious re-birth. They can relieve me of the responsibility of world religious leadership, and they can bring the whole world to God. If, on the other hand, they think more of their "pagan" traditions(that's all they are) than they do of the True God, they will go down to inevitable defeat, both Catholic and Protestant, FOR THE ACTUAL AND FACTUAL TRUTHS OF GOD ARE BEING BROUGHT TO/THIS EARTH THROUGH "PSYCHIANA", AND IF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH PERSISTS IN ITS PAGAN THEORYIES OF GOD, NOTHING IT OR ANYONE ELSE CAN DO WILL SAVE IT.

I suggest to the heads of all Christian denominations that #### they they call a meeting somewhere and invite me to be there. I shall suggest to them that they throw over, at once, the complete story of Jesus Chrsit, which is nothing more nor less than the story of Jesus Christna told over again, and I will agree to give to them the truths of God, as these truths are known to exist. If the churches will accept this suggestion, we can, together, bring in the greatest spiritual Movement this world has ever known. If the churches reject this offer, I shall continue to bring to the earth that TRUE picture of God alone. I can do it alone. But if the Christian churches are HONEST, if they are in earnest about the pitiful condition of this world, if they love God, if they think more of God than they do of their traditions, the story of failure will be changed into a story of ###### blessed success. But no success can ever come to the Christian church as long as it preaches a pagan story of one of a score of "crucified gods" instead of the actual truths of the Spirit of God.

The Spirit of God is working on this earth through "Psychiana". There is no question whatsoever about that. All the failing churches combined cannot begin to show the results in human lives as the result of the Spirit of God's operations that we can show. So there is no argument there. What we want the church to do is to throw away its false conception of God, and come and get the truths of God, which, if the church will get behind those truths, will liberate this world in very short order. It will mean the discarding of the very fundamentals of the Christian religion. Then, church unity will be the thing. For with these old pagan rites and exercises out of the way, the whole world, which will not believe these foolish rites and rituals, can find the True Spirit of God, and that's the only thing which can save this world. Think it over church0001 am not on the defensive--you are. You are the ones who are predicting your own defeat. I am trying, in the name of the Spirit of God, to show you what the trouble is and to help you find the TRUTH.