

## UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO

College of Agriculture

# **TENDOY**

A New Hard Red Winter Wheat

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Idaho

The State of Dry Land Areas in Southern Ida

W. K. Pope, Paul J. Fitzgerald, H. C. McKay

IDAHO Agricultural
Experiment Station

Bulletin 333 August 1960

# **TENDOY**

A New Hard Red Winter Wheat for Dry Land Areas of Southern Idaho."

W. K. POPE, PAUL J. FITZGERALD AND H. C. McKay2

Tendoy³ is a bunt (smut) resistant hard red winter wheat with plant type and grain quality characteristics similar to the variety Cheyenne. It is recommended for dry land areas in southeastern Idaho along with the varieties Itana and Columbia.

#### Development

Tendoy (C. I. 13426) was developed by making a series of crosses of the variety Cheyenne to a bunt-resistant parent and maintaining the bunt resistance by selection. The exact pedigree is (Rex-Rio, C. I. 12246 x Cheyenne) x Cheyenne) x Cheyenne) x Cheyenne). Tendoy has the M and R genes for bunt resistance from the Rex-Rio parent, and the M<sub>2</sub> gene from the Cheyenne parent.

The original cross was made in 1946 at Davis, California, by C. A. Suneson who was then the Western Regional Wheat Coordinator. The second to fourth crosses were made by W. K. Pope at Moscow, Idaho, in 1948 to 1951. In 1957, eight similar F<sub>6</sub> bunt-free lines were bulked and entered as

a variety in the regional yield testing program. Tendoy was named and approved as a variety by the Idaho Foundation Seed Committee in January 1960 for fall seeding that same year.

### Description

Tendoy has an erect, bearded head with white chaff.

Plant height and maturity are about the same as for Itana.

Tendoy has a white straw that is weaker than the straw of Itana or Columbia and has a tendency to shatter in some locations.

Tendoy has red kernels that are midlong to long with a narrow crease, resembling those of the Cheyenne parent.

It is ordinarily not possible to distinguish between Tendoy and the parent variety Cheyenne except by differences in their reaction to bunt. This is shown in Table 1.

#### **Bunt Resistance**

The chief virtue of Tendoy is that it has greater bunt resistance than Itana and slightly more bunt resistance than Columbia. All three varieties are susceptible to the more virulent races of dwarf smut. The bunt resistance of these and other varieties is shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cooperative investigations, Crops Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, and the University of Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Associate Agronomist, Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Idaho, Moscow; Agronmist, Crops Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Aberdeen, Idaho; and Superintendent, Tetonia Branch Experiment Station, Tetonia, Idaho.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Named after Chief Tendoy of the Lemhi tribe in Salmon area.

Table 1.—Percent bunt with inoculations of races of Tilletia caries for races shown.1

	Bunt Race								
Wheat Variety 2	6	11	12	13	15	16	18		
Turkey20	50	60	60	60	75	75			
Cheyenne	68	30	63	59	82	84			
Tendoy 0	T*	0	3	0	T	2	15		
Columbia 0	T	0	1	1	2	2	50		
Itana12	15	0	0	0	34	0	75		
Wasatch 0	0	14	0	80	2	0	R†		
Cache 1	7	34	1	27	3	8	R		

\*-Trace †-Resistant

Taken by permission from the annual reports of the Regional Smut Research Laboratory, Pullman, Washington, Crops Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture.

#### Yield

The yields of Tendoy, Itana, Columbia, Kharkof (a strain of Turkey) and Wasatch in southern Idaho are shown in Table 2 for the years 1956 through 1959. At

the high-yielding locations or where irrigation water was applied, Itana and Columbia were superior to Tendoy. At dry land locations Tendoy performed favorably. The test weight of Tendoy was satisfactory, averaging about the same as Itana and Columbia.

Table 2.—Average yield in bushels per acre of Tendoy, Itana, Columbia, Kharkof, and Wasatch, in the period 1956 through 1959.

Location	Growing Condition	Number of Station Years	Tendoy	Itana	Columbia	Kharkof	Wasatch
Aberdeen. Sprinkler irrigation4		4	56.8	60.0	65.1	56.0	54.7
	Gravity irrigation.	3	79.6	81.5	78.7	74.4	62.0
	Dry land	2	16.9	14.3	13.1	17.9	16.0
Grace	.Small plots irrigate	d3	57.6	57.9	65.6	55.1	50.0
	Drill strips irrigated	13	67.1	71.5	71.6		60.4
Tetonia	.Dry land	1	31.1	30.5	32.6	19.4	16.0
Fairfield.	Small plots dry lan	d1	41.3	45.7	38.9	33.9	28.9
	Drill strips dry land	12	33.6	37.2	28.4		25.5
Rexburg.	. Small plots dry lan	d2	35.0	37.2	34.8	33.2	30.4
	Drill strips dry land	12	25.2	28.9	25.7		25.5
	Average		49.3	51.5	51.5	48.0	42.4

### Quality

The quality characteristics of Tendoy are very similar to those of Cheyenne. This was the purpose of repeating the Cheyenne parent four times in the production of Tendoy. It mills well and produces a flour that has a long mixing time and high water absorption. Table 3 shows the quality characteristics of the 1957 and 1958 crops as grown in the Regional Nurseries.

Table 3.—Quality characteristics of the 1957 and 1958 crops of the varieties Tendoy, Itana, Columbia, Kharkof and Wasatch as grown in the Regional Nurseries-1

Te	ndoy <sup>2</sup>	Itana <sup>3</sup>		Columbia <sup>3</sup>		Kharkof		Wasatch	
Characteristics 1958	1957	1958	1957	1958	1957	1958	1957	1958	1957
WHEAT:									
Test weight, lbs. per bushel . 61.8	62.3	62.2	63.2	62.1	62.9	61.7	61.3	62.2	61.6
Protein, percent	13.9	12.7	13.8	13.5	14.3	13.2	13.8	13.5	14.3
Flour yield, percent 74.2	73.0	72.9	72.1	70.8	70.4	72.2	70.8	70.8	70.5
Milling score88.7	91.4	93.7	90.7	89.5	88.2	88.2	85.8	88.9	85.6
FLOUR:									
Protein, percent12.1	13.6	11.8	12.5	12.1	13.5	12.4	13.0	12.5	13.6
Ash, percent	.36	.32	.33	.33	.34	.35	.39	.35	.3
Absorption, baking, percent. 72.0	71.0	74.0	67.0	74.0	69.0	73.0	70.0	72.0	67.0
Mixing time, minutes 5.0	3.5	7.0	3.5	5.6	2.8	2.6	1.8	1.9	1.2
Valorimeter reading479	78	85	75	82	72	64	59	56	51
Loaf volume, c.c818	918	653	893	720	890	875	913	833	870

<sup>1</sup> Data from the U.S.D.A. Western Wheat Quality Laboratory, Pullman, Washington.

#### Acknowledgments

Land for off-station tests in Idaho was provided by Max Hanson, Fairfield; W. L. Baker, Malad; Harvey Lloyd, Central; Harold Freeman, Swan Valley; George Peterson, Swan Valley; Ed Covington, Rexburg; and Vard Meadows, American Falls. Their cooperation is appreciated.

The cooperation and assistance of the following organizations and commercial flour mills in obtaining preliminary quality determinations is acknowledged: The Ogden Grain Exchange, Ogden, Utah; General Mills Inc., Ogden, Utah; Pillsbury Mills Inc., Ogden, Utah;

Salt Lake Flour Mills, Salt Lake City, Utah; The Colorado Mill and Elevator Co., Denver, Colorado.

<sup>2</sup> The 1957 crop was an earlier bulk, C.I. 13261, which contained about twice the number of lines as in the final bulk of Tendoy, C.I. 13426.

<sup>3 1958</sup> samples for Itana and Columbia regarded as sub-normal in loaf volume.

<sup>4</sup> A measure of dough strength.