

Contents

| Introduction |
|--|
| Methods of Estimating Expenditures4 |
| Police Protection |
| Estimating Police Protection's Cost |
| Estimating Population Growth's Impact7 |
| Observations |
| Community Information Sources8 |
| Bibliography9 |
| Worksheet — Estimating Police Expenditures10 |
| Worksheet — Estimating Population Growth's Impact on Police Costs11 |

This is one of eight bulletins supported by Title V of the Rural Development Act of 1972 on estimating costs of public service in Idaho communities of various size. The services covered in the series are:

- Education
- Fire Protection
- Police Protection

- Sheriff Protection
- Solid Waste Disposal
- Water Supply
- Sewage Collection and Treatment

A worksheet for estimating costs for each service area is designed to facilitate citizen use. Relationships are used to derive costs and are expressed in terms of state averages. You may use the standards as given to derive cost estimates for the services or change them to reflect the situation in your community.

Extension Bulletin 602, Residential Growth: Its Benefits and Costs to the Local Community, is used as a format for an overall look at what effects increases in the number of residential dwellings and people have on revenues for the public and private sector and on costs in the public sector. The estimation procedure is outlined for cities, counties and school districts.

This publication outlines a method of estimating your community's increased costs in police protection caused by population growth.

About the Authors

N. R. Rimbey is Extension range economist in the University of Idaho Research and Extension Center at Caldwell. N. L. Meyer is Extension economist in the UI Department of Agricultural Economics and Applied Statistics, Moscow.

Cost of Public Service: Police Protection

N. R. Rimbey and N. L. Meyer

This publication presents a method of estimating expenditures for police protection. A method of estimating the impact of population growth on these expenditures is also detailed. The cost estimates derived through these processes are based on relationships derived that approximate the actual situation in communities and counties. The relationships are based on state or national averages and can be changed to reflect the situation in the community being considered. Worksheets are provided to assist in the estimation procedure.

Introduction

Idaho is currently one of the fastest growing states in the nation. This growth brings economic benefits such as increased tax revenue to the public sector, possibly more service-oriented jobs and increased spending in the private sector. This growth may also bring general social benefits such as meeting and interacting with people from different cultural backgrounds, more specialized health care and more cultural programs through schools and civic organizations.

However, this growth does not come without additional costs. Many communities and counties in Idaho are not prepared for this growth. The public sector (present residents) must handle the added costs of providing services to the new residents. For example, growth may create needs for a new sewage treatment plant, school buildings, fire and police facilities and equipment, water wells or reservoirs and garbage collection and disposal equipment. Sizable public expenditures may also be necessary for land acquisition and additional employees.

Areas can accommodate growth more easily if the public service infrastructure already exists. That means having excess capacity in the sewage treatment facility, school system and police department and that other services can absorb the population increases without the need for major capital expenditures. Excess capacity in public services does not exist in many rural areas. The increasing of service capability coupled with the movement toward government spending limitations poses a severe problem for many Idaho communities. "How can we accommodate the rapid population growth and additional service demands of residents and finance the services with reduced or 'frozen' revenues?" This is the most perplexing issue facing state and local government officials.

One possible alternative for local government officials is a program which would require new development to pay its "fair share" of the added service costs. Although this may seem to be a simple policy move, this action will require certain kinds of information. For example, information should be collected and analyzed to determine: the present costs of various services, the estimated costs for new residents, when expansion of which capital facilities will be needed (based on capacities of existing systems and projected growth rates), and what the existing policy of the governmental unit is concerning who should pay the additional costs.

Present costs of services are available in the annual audit report or annual budget of the unit of government. The policy aspect may require investigation of zoning regulations, building permit procedures or conversations with a city or county administrator.

The cost estimates presented here are based on relationships or standards that typify state or national averages. Standards for each service are presented with the intention that you will change or modify them to fit the situation in your municipality. Worksheets, an abbreviated interest table and sources of information within the municipality are also given to help you in the estimation process.

A word of caution should be injected at this point. The cost figures presented here are **estimates** of actual costs and should be analyzed carefully before basing policies upon them. To help you critically evaluate costs, remember that the standards given should be changed when they prove inaccurate. Variations between actual and estimated costs may result from using average figures, topography of the area, the time lag between estimation and construction and a variety of other circumstances.

Be advised, then, to use care in using the cost figures presented.

This publication was designed to give you, as a concerned citizen or government official, a **frame-work** for estimating the current costs of a public service. A method to estimate the added costs of population growth is also given. The service covered is police protection.

Methods of Estimating Expenditures

You can estimate costs several ways. The procedure used most often in fiscal impact studies is known as the average cost method. This involves:

- 1. Using the existing budget or audit report to derive current costs of services.
- Dividing these costs by number of people or households to determine a per capita or per household cost for each service.

3. Projecting this cost to new residents by multiplying the per capita or household costs by the number of new residents or houses.

This technique may be adequate for projecting the operation and maintenance costs of services but will severely underestimate the impact if capital expansion is needed. The problem lies in basing the estimates on past costs.

A more reliable method is using average cost figures and adding estimated capital costs. In other words, you can use average cost figures from the budgets as well as the estimated increases in capital costs to derive estimates of the impact on expenditures.

The most reliable (and costly) estimation method is conducting a detailed audit of each department within the municipality to determine the actual costs per household (or resident) and determining the anticipated date and cost of needed facilities expansion. This would involve a detailed study of each employee's duties, the anticipated equipment and personnel needs and the municipality's projected growth rates. This procedure is obviously very time consuming and expensive. However, it is the most reliable method to support local policies which require new development to pay for added service cost.

The following section gives standards and procedures for estimating the existing costs of police protection and the added costs of development. This information should be used in conjunction with the publications on fire protection, sheriff protection, education, sewer, solid waste, and water and Extension Bulletin 602, **Residential Growth: Its Benefits and Costs to the Local Community.**

Police Protection

Police protection in Idaho varies considerably by community size. For example, the smaller communities are usually served by a one-person force. In some areas this person uses his own vehicle, is on call 24 hours each day and is usually quite dependent on the county sheriff or state patrol for support. The police "office" in smaller communities is usually the officer's home, and no jail facility is available. The officer may also be a part-time employee with other community duties such as water master, dog catcher, etc.

Larger communities are served by police forces with full-time officers and generally have their own police offices and jails. The larger forces usually have more job specialization such as robbery, homicide and other crime areas. Larger police forces also usually mean greater expenditures for equipment — more automobiles, sophisticated communication systems and other special equipment.

This publication gives standards that can be used to estimate the costs of providing police protection and the impact of growth on these costs. The data are based on returns from a mail questionnaire sent to Idaho police chiefs in March of 1978. The questionnaire was sent to 54 police departments and had a response rate of 74 percent. The returns were grouped by community population, and mean values were derived for number of personnel, salaries and other figures.

The following standards are used to estimate police service expenditures:

Standard 1 — The number of officers per 1,000 population varies with the population served.

| Population | Officers per | 1,000 | population |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------|----------------|
| up to 999 | Land and selected as a | 2.00 | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. |
| 1,000 to 2,499 | | 2.25 | |
| 2,500 to 24,999 | | 1.80 | |
| 25,000 and over | | 1.60 | |

Standard 2 — The salary and benefits per officer varies with the population served.

| Population | A verage salary | Average benefits | Salary and benefit cost per officer |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|
| up to 999 | \$ 5,390 | \$ 327 | \$ 5,717 |
| 1,000 to 1,499 | 7,910 | 1,466 | 9,376 |
| 1,500 to 1,999 | 9,014 | 1,384 | 10,398 |
| 2,000 to 3,999 | 10,425 | 1,393 | 11,818 |
| 4,000 to 14,999 | 10,503 | 2,182 | 12,685 |
| 15,000 and over | 14,107 | 3,189 | 17,296 |

Standard 3 — The ratio of support staff (secretary, dispatcher, etc.) per officer varies with the population served.

| Population | Support staff per officer | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--|
| 0 to 1,499 | 0 | |
| 1,500 to 4,499 | .50 | |
| 4,500 to 9,999 | .33 | |
| 10,000 and over | .30 | |

Standard 4 — The salary and benefits of support staff vary with the population served.

| Population | A verage salary | Average benefits | Average annual salary cost per staff |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| up to 999 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| 1.000 to 5,499 | 6,538 | 541 | 7,079 |
| 5,500 to 14,999 | 8,010 | 1,509 | 9,519 |
| 15,000 and over | 8,100 | 1,827 | 9,927 |

Standard 5 — The ratio of vehicles per officer varies with the population served. The vehicle cost per unit remains constant for all populations served.

| Population | Ratio of vehicle per officer | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| 500 to 4,499 | .60 | | |
| 4,500 to 9,999 | .51 | | |
| 10 000 and over | .23 | | |

Communities with populations of less than 500 people are served by part-time officers who are on call 24 hours a day and use their own vehicle. The average cost of each police car for all populations (500 and above) is \$5,192. The range of values is \$3,700 to \$6,583.

Standard 6 — The total annual maintenance and operation cost of vehicles can be expressed as a percentage of the total cost of the vehicles.

| Population | Maintenance and operation as % of vehicle cost | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| up to 999 | 29 | | |
| 1,000 to 9,999 | 57 | | |
| 10.000 and over | 85 | | |

Standard 7 — Other equipment (radar, communication system, typewriters, etc.) cost can be

| Population | Other equipment as % of total vehicle cost | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| 500 to 1,999 | 47 | | |
| 2,000 to 4,499 | 55 | | |
| 4,500 to 9,999 | 72 | | |
| 10,000 and over | 63 | | |

Standard 8 — The total square feet of office building and jail varies with the population served. The smaller communities (up to 749 population) generally have no office space, except for the officer's private home, and no jail facility. These communities rely upon the county sheriff's office for confinement and other support.

The communities with populations from 750 to 2,499 people tend to have office space ranging from 150 square feet to 1,440 square feet with an average of 650 square feet. Communities of 2,500 to 6,999 persons report office space ranging from 400 square feet to 2,200 square feet with an average of 1,250

Table 1. Amortization rates for different interest rates and loan periods.

| | Years | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Interest rate | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 |
| 7 | .381052 | .243891 | .142378 | .109795 | .094393 | .080586 |
| 8 | .388034 | .250456 | .149029 | .116830 | .101852 | .088827 |
| 9 | .395055 | .257092 | .155820 | .124059 | .109546 | .097336 |
| 10 | .402115 | .263797 | .162745 | .131474 | .117460 | .106079 |
| 11 | .409213 | .270570 | .169801 | .139065 | .125576 | .115025 |
| 12 | .416349 | .277410 | .176984 | .146824 | .133879 | .124144 |
| 13 | .423522 | .284315 | .184290 | .154742 | .142354 | .133411 |
| 14 | .430700 | .291200 | .191700 | .162800 | .150900 | .142800 |
| 15 | .437900 | .298300 | .199200 | .171000 | .159700 | .152300 |

This table will help you calculate the annual payments on investments for community services. For example, the annual payments for a \$40,000 loan at 10 percent interest rate for 15 years can be calculated.

Loan amount × amortization rate = annual payment (\$40.000) (.131474) (\$5,259)

An annual payment of \$5,259 would pay the principal and interest on this loan and retire the debt in 15 years. If an interest rate and the time period for a loan are not listed in this table, your local bank can provide the figures.

square feet. Again, communities of these sizes rely heavily upon the county sheriff's department for confinement facilities. Confinement space and care and feeding of prisoners are usually contracted.

Communities of 7,000 to 9,999 persons have offices and jail facilities ranging from 1,400 square feet to 2,500 square feet with an average of 1,600 square feet. The larger communities (10,000 to 25,999 population) generally have their own jail facilities. The total square footage of the facilities range from 6,187 to 8,000 (6,500 square feet average). Several of the communities in the 7,000 to 9,999 range still depend upon the county sheriff's office for confinement.

Although there is no definite breaking point, it appears that in the population range of 9,000 to 10,000, the shift is made to larger office facilities with jail facilities attached. For communities with populations from 26,000 to 40,000, the office facilities range from 8,000 to 27,400 square feet with an average of 15,800 square feet.

| Population | Average facility area in square feet |
|----------------------------|---|
| up to 749 | |
| 750 to 2,499 | 650 |
| 2,500 to 6,999 | 1,250 |
| 7.000 to 9.999 | 1,650* |
| 10,000 to 25,999 | 6,500* |
| 26,000 to 40,000 | 15,800* |
| *including jail facilities | |

Standard 9 — The average construction cost per square foot of building space varies with population.

| Population | Cost per square foot |
|------------------|----------------------|
| up to 999 | \$ 8.00 |
| 1,000 to 2,499 | 25.00 |
| 2,500 to 7,999 | 56.00 |
| 8,000 to 10,999 | 57.00 |
| 11,000 to 25,999 | 63.00 |
| 26,000 to 40,000 | 65.00 |

Standard 10 — Maintenance and operation of building and other costs (training, uniforms, office supplies, etc.) can be expressed as a percentage of the department's total wages and benefits. This figure ranges from 6.5 to 19.2 percent with an average of 10 percent.

Standard 11 — Financing for the vehicles and office is available as 10 percent loans for 3 years for the vehicles and 30 years for the building. A 10 percent salvage or resale value for each of these expenditures is assumed (Table 1).

Estimating Police Protection's Cost

Using the 11 standards listed, you can estimate the cost of police service for a community of a given size. For example, consider a community of 2,000 people.

Step 1 — Estimate the number, salary and benefits of the officers using Standards 1 and 2:

2,000 population × .00225 = 4.5 officers¹

Estimate police salaries and benefits by multiplying:

4.5 officers × \$10,425 salary officer = \$46,913 salaries 4.5 officers × \$1,393 benefits/officer = \$6,269 benefits, Officer salaries and benefits = \$53,182

Step 2 — Estimate the number, salaries and benefits of the support staff (Standards 3 and 4):

4.5 officers * .5 support staff officer = 2.25 support staff.
2.25 support staff * \$6,538 salary staff = \$14,711 salaries,
2.25 support staff * \$541 benefits/staff = \$1,217 benefits, Support staff salaries and benefits = \$15,928

¹Fractional results of officers or vehicles are handled as follows. Officers may be hired on a part-time basis. In this case, for example, there are an estimated 4.5 officers on the police force four full-time and one working half-time. Fractions of vehicles may indicate an auto that is more expensive because of more options than the standard police car has. Step 3 — Calculate the number and total cost of vehicles (Standard 5):

4.5 officers × .6 vehicle/officer = 2.7 vehicles. 2.7 vehicles × \$5,192 per vehicle = \$14,018 total vehicle cost

Step 4 — Calculate the annual cost of vehicle ownership. The annual cost of owning the vehicle is dependent upon the community's buying the vehicles on a contract over time (much like a private citizen buying a vehicle with a bank loan and making monthly payments). Using Standard 11, the total vehicle cost is amortized for the given loan rate and time period (in this case, 10 percent and 3 years). The salvage or resale value of the vehicles (10 percent) is deducted from the total vehicle cost before amortizing. This is done to indicate a trade-in or resale value of the vehicles when replacement vehicles are purchased. Table 1 gives amortization rates for other interest rates and time periods:

> \$14.018 total vehicle cost - \$1,402 salvage value = \$12,616 × .402115 amortization rate = **\$5,073** annual vehicle cost

Step 5 — Estimate the annual maintenance and operation cost of the vehicles by multiplying the total vehicle cost by the appropriate percentage figure in Standard 6:

\$14,018 total vehicle cost × 0.57 = \$7,990 annual maintenance and operation cost of vehicles

Step 6 — Estimate the cost of other equipment by multiplying the total vehicle cost by the appropriate percentage in Standard 7:

\$14.018 total vehicle cost × 0.55 = \$7,710 other equipment cost

Step 7 — Estimate the square footage of the office/jail complex by using Standard 8. This figure is then multiplied by the construction cost figure in Standard 9 to get total construction cost:

650 square feet × \$25 per square foot = \$16,250 total construction cost of office/jail

This figure is again amortized (Standard 11) after the salvage value is deducted (10 percent for 30 years):

> \$16,250 total construction cost - \$1,625 salvage = \$14,625 × 0.106079 amortization rate = \$1,551 annual cost office/jail

Step 8 — Calculate the annual maintenance and operation of the building and other costs by multiplying the department's total wages and benefits by the percentage figure in Standard 10:

\$62,719 total wages and benefits × 0.10 = \$6,272 maintenance and operation of building and other costs

Step 9 — Calculate the total annual cost of the police department serving a community of 2,000 people by summing the wages and benefits of officers and staff, annual vehicle cost, maintenance and

operation of vehicles, other equipment cost, annual building cost and the maintenance and operation of the building and other costs.

| Step I. | Officers salaries and benefits | \$53,182 |
|---------|--|----------|
| Step 2. | Support staff salaries and benefits | 15,928 |
| Step 4. | Annual vehicle cost | 5,073 |
| Step 5. | Annual vehicle maintenance and operation | 7,990 |
| Step 6. | Other equipment cost | 7,710 |
| Step 7. | Annual office/jail cost | 1,551 |
| Step 8. | Maintenance and operation of office/jail | |
| | and other costs | 6,272 |
| | Total annual police cost | \$97,706 |

Estimate the cost per capita by dividing the total cost by the population or households:

\$97,706 ÷ 2,000 population

= \$48.85 per capita

or

\$97,706 : 625 households

= \$156.33 per household of 3.2 people.

Estimating Population Growth's Impact

You can also use the standards to estimate the impact of population growth on police expenditures. In our example community of 2,000 residents, what would be the impact of a population increase of 1,000 people?

The degree of impact will vary because of an individual community's circumstances. For example, are the new residents from a higher crime risk group than the existing residents? Will special personnel be needed to help with the special problems? Will more patrols be needed to service the area? Will the new population be housed within existing city boundaries or in outlying areas? These examples of special considerations will affect the cost of police protection and vary from one community to another.

Communities facing population increases should consider these kinds of questions when planning for growth. This example estimates the added cost of population growth using the standards as written. You should change the standards to fit the situation in your community.

The variables affected and the added costs are:

Standard 1 — The number of police officers will increase from 4.5 to 5.25.

Standard 2 — Salary expenditures will increase from \$46,913 to \$54,731. Benefits will increase from \$6,269 to \$7,313. The total salary and benefit increase is estimated to be **\$8,862**.

Standard 3 — Support staff will increase from 2.25 persons to 2.6 persons.

Standard 4 — Support staff salary expenditures will increase from 14,711 to 16,999. Support staff benefits will increase from 1,217 to 1,407. The total increase in support staff salaries and benefits is estimated to be 2,478.

Standard 5 — An estimated 3.15 automobiles will be needed for a police department serving 3,000. The total vehicle cost will increase from \$14,018 to \$16,355. The annual vehicle cost will increase from \$5,073 to \$5,919, or an annual increase of **\$846** (Standard 11).

Standard 6 — The annual maintenance and operation of vehicles will increase from \$7,990 to \$9,322 or an annual increase of \$1,332.

Standard 7 — Other equipment costs will increase from \$7,710 to \$8,995, an annual increase of \$1,285.

Standard 8 — The office/jail space will nearly double from 650 square feet to 1,250 square feet. In other words, the office/jail facility will require expansion of 600 square feet.

Standard 9 — Total construction cost will increase from \$16,250 to \$70,000. Note the difference in the cost of construction per square foot. This suggests a much higher quality building than the office/jail for a community of 2,000 people. The annual cost of the office/jail will increase from \$1,551 to \$7,426, an increase of \$5,875.

Standard 10 — The maintenance and operation of the building and other costs will rise from 6,272 to 7,888, an increase of 1,616.

Total annual cost of the department is estimated to increase from \$97,706 to \$120,000, an increase of \$22,294. The total annual cost per resident would be \$40.00 or \$127.93 per household of 3.2 persons. The decreased cost per capita and per household are because of efficiencies from a larger scale of service provision.

| | 2,000 population | 3,000 population | Change |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------|
| Step 1 | \$53,182 | \$62,044 | \$ 8,862 |
| Step 2 | 15,928 | 18,406 | 2,478 |
| Step 4 | 5,073 | 5,919 | 846 |
| Step 5 | 7,990 | 9,322 | 1,332 |
| Step 6 | 7,710 | 8,995 | 1,285 |
| Step 7 | 1,551 | 7,426 | 5,875 |
| Step 8 | 6,272 | 7,888 | 1,616 |
| | \$97,706 | \$120,000 | \$22,294 |
| per capita | \$ 48.85 | \$ 40.00 | |
| per household | \$156.33 | \$ 127.93 | |

Observations

Table 2 presents estimated annual costs for different community sizes. Several observations about the cost estimates in this table are:

 The annual per capita cost generally increases over the range of populations (\$18.42 per capita to \$47.08 per capita). However, this is not a smoothly rising cost curve. Some of the communities show much higher per capita cost than others. The addition of salaries and benefits for support staff and office space costs may account for this difference.

- 2. The change from single shift with officers on call during off-hours to a multi-shift department appears to take place around the 10,000 population level. The ratio of vehicles to officer declines from 0.51:1 to 0.23:1 at this point. This suggests a double shift where there are less than half as many vehicles as were needed in the single shift department.
- 3. The standards may also be used in situations other than the two described here. For example, the personnel, building and vehicle sectors may be used by communities considering expansion in those areas. Physical terms such as number of officers on staff, square feet of building space or numbers of vehicles may be more worthwhile for certain communities than the cost estimates.
- 4. This publication demonstrates how to derive estimated costs and where to get information; it does not show what a community's police costs should be. The cost relationships given in Table 2 are for illustration only and should not be accepted as the cost for a given community. Figures concerning local conditions should be substituted for those given in the standards to derive a community's costs.

Community Information Sources

• The city police department should be able to provide information on personnel needs and requirements, special problems concerning growth, most of the cost figures listed as assumptions in this publication and equipment used and needed.

• The city budget will also provide information on the current police service cost. Generally, the budget will not provide information on equipment and personnel. Budgets are usually prepared on the basis of revenues and expenditures and emphasize gross amounts. It is usually difficult to tell what is taking place within a department by using only a budget.

• The building inspector should be able to tell you the necessary building requirements for a jail/ office complex. This information would be helpful if the jail/office is to be expanded or a new one constructed.

• Local financial institutions will be able to provide information on loan rates and other terms concerning financing the building and equipment.

• The city manager may be able to provide information on some of the concerns facing the police department, expected growth rate and growth areas and other considerations regarding the police department. Table 2. Annual police service costs by community size.

| | A No. of | B Officers | C No. of | D Support | E | F Total | G Annual | H Maint. & | l Other | J Office/jail | K Total | L Annual | M Maint. & | N Total | 0 Total cost |
|------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|----------|------------|-------------|---------------|------------|------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| Population | officers | benefits | staff | & benefits | vehicles | cost | cost | vehicles | costs | (sq. ft.) | cost | cost | building | cost | per capita |
| 500 | 1.0 | \$ 5,717 | 0 | \$ 0 | .6 | \$ 3,115 | \$ 1,127 | \$ 903 | \$ 1,464 | 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 9,211 | \$18.42 |
| 1,000 | 2.25 | 21,096 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 7,269 | 2,631 | 4,143 | 3,416 | 650 | 5,200 | 496 | 2,080 | 33,862 | 33.86 |
| 1,500 | 3.4 | 35,353 | 1.7 | 12,034 | 2.0 | 10,384 | 3,758 | 5,919 | 4,880 | 650 | 16,250 | 1,551 | 4,672 | 68,167 | 45.44 |
| 2,000 | 4.5 | 53,181 | 2.3 | 16,282 | 2.7 | 14,018 | 5,073 | 7,990 | 7,710 | 650 | 16,250 | 1,551 | 6,849 | 98,636 | 49.32 |
| 2,500 | 4.5 | 53,181 | 2.3 | 16,282 | 2.7 | 14,018 | 5,073 | 7,990 | 7,710 | 1,250 | 70,000 | 6,683 | 6,849 | 103,767 | 41.51 |
| 3,000 | 5.4 | 63,817 | 2.7 | 19,113 | 3.2 | 16,614 | 6,013 | 9,470 | 9,138 | 1,250 | 70,000 | 6,683 | 8,176 | 122,410 | 40.80 |
| 3,500 | 6.3 | 74,453 | 3.2 | 22,653 | 3.8 | 19,730 | 7,140 | 11,246 | 10,852 | 1,250 | 70,000 | 6,683 | 9,575 | 142,602 | 40.74 |
| 4,000 | 7.2 | 91,332 | 3.6 | 24,777 | 4.3 | 22,326 | 8,080 | 12,726 | 12,502 | 1,250 | 70,000 | 6,683 | 11,448 | 167,548 | 41.89 |
| 4,500 | 8.1 | 102,749 | 2.7 | 19,113 | 4.1 | 21,287 | 7,704 | 12,134 | 11,708 | 1,250 | 70,000 | 6,683 | 12,015 | 172,106 | 38.25 |
| 5,000 | 9.0 | 114,165 | 3.0 | 21,237 | 4.6 | 23,883 | 8,644 | 13,613 | 17,196 | 1,250 | 70,000 | 6,683 | 13,351 | 194,889 | 38.98 |
| 5,500 | 9.9 | 125,582 | 3.3 | 31,413 | 5.1 | 26,479 | 9,582 | 15,093 | 19,065 | 1,250 | 70,000 | 6,683 | 15,480 | 222,898 | 40.53 |
| 10,000 | 18.0 | 228,330 | 5.4 | 51,403 | 4.1 | 21,287 | 7,704 | 18,094 | 13,411 | 6,500 | 370,500 | 35,372 | 27,582 | 381,896 | 38.19 |
| 15,000 | 27.0 | 466,992 | 8.1 | 80,409 | 6.2 | 32,190 | 11,650 | 27,362 | 20,280 | 6,500 | 409,500 | 39,095 | 53,974 | 689,262 | 45.95 |
| 20,000 | 36.0 | 622,656 | 10.8 | 107,212 | 8.3 | 43,094 | 15,596 | 36,630 | 27,149 | 6,500 | 409,500 | 39,095 | 71,965 | 920,303 | 46.02 |
| 25,000 | 40.0 | 691,840 | 12.0 | 119,124 | 9.2 | 47,766 | 17,287 | 40,601 | 30,093 | 6,500 | 409,500 | 39,095 | 79,961 | 1,018,001 | 40.72 |
| 40,000 | 64.0 | 1,106,944 | 19.2 | 190,598 | 14.7 | 76,322 | 27,621 | 64,874 | 48,083 | 15,800 | 1,027,000 | 98,049 | 127,938 | 1,664,107 | 41.60 |

A. Population × Standard 1 value

B. A × Standard 2 value

C. A × Standard 3 value

D. C × Standard 4 value

- E. A × Standard 5 value
- F. E × \$5,192 (Standard 5)
- G. F × amortization rate (Standard 11)
- H. F × Standard 6 value
- I. F × Standard 7 value
- J. Standard 8
- K. J × Standard 9 value
- L. K × amortization rate (Standard 11)
- M. B + D × .0986
- N. B + D + G + H + I + L + M
- O. N population

Bibliography

- The Law Enforcement Planning Commission, Boise, Idaho, provided a list of Idaho police departments and chiefs, addresses and phone numbers for the survey upon which this publication is based.
- Mackey, R. Bruce. 1977. Costs for rural community services in Nevada: An economic-engineering approach. Ag. Exp. Sta., Bull. T21, Univ. of Nevada-Reno.

WORKSHEET Estimating Police Expenditures

| | _ = (| × |
|--|---|---|
| Number of officers | Population | Standard 1 |
| Officer salaries & benefits | _ = (| *Standard 2 |
| Number of support staff | = (| ×Standard 3 |
| Support staff salaries and benefits | = (| * Standard 4 |
| Number of vehicles | = ((A) Number of officers | × Standard 5 |
| Total vehicle cost | = ((E) Number of vehicles | ×Standard 5 |
| Annual vehicle cost | = (| × Standard 11 (Table 1) |
| Annual maintenance & operation cost | = ((F) Total vehicle cost | × Standard 6 |
| Other equipment cost | = (| ×Standard 7 |
| Office/jail square feet | _ = (Population | × Standard 8 |
| Total construction cost | = (| . * Standard 9 |
| Annual cost of building | = (| × |
| Annual building maintenance & operation cost | _ = (| + (D) Support staff salaries and benefit |
| | *Standard 10 | |
| Total annual cost | = (B) Officers salaries & benefits | + (D) Support staff salaries & benefits |
| | + (G) Annual vehicle cost | . + (H) Annual maintenance & operation cost |
| | + (1) Other equipment cost | + (L) Annual cost of building |
| | + (M) Annual building maintenance & operation | |
| Annual cost per capita | _ =(N) Total annual cost | + Population |

WORKSHEET **Estimating Population Growth's Impact on Police Costs**

Estimated population increase ____

| | _ | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| ۱. | Number of new officers | Officers for new population (Standard 1) | Officers for previous population (Standard 1) | | |
| | New officers salaries & benefits | (A) Number of new officers | Standard 2* | | |
| | Number of new support staff | (B) Number of new officers | Standard 3* | | |
| | New support staff salaries & benefits | (C) Number of new support staff | Standard 4* | | |
| | Number of new vehicles | (A) Number of new officers | Standard 5 | | |
| | | Number of previous officers * | Standard 5 | | |
| | Total cost additional vehicles | (E) Number of new vehicles | Standard 5 | | |
| • | Annual additional vehicle cost | (* (F) Total additional vehicle cost | Standard 11 (Table 1) | | |
| | Additional annual maintenance & operation cost | (F) Total additional vehicle cost | Standard 6* | | |
| | Other additional equipment cost | (F) Total additional vehicle cost * | Standard 7* | | |
| | Additional office/jail square feet | (* New population | Standard 8 | | |
| | | - (* Previous population | Standard 8 | | |
| | Total cost of new construction | (J) Additional office/jail square feet | Standard 9* | | |
| | Annual cost of new construction | (K) total cost of new construction * | Standard 11 (Table 1) | | |
| 1. | Annual new building maintenance & operation cost | (B) New officers' salaries & benefits + | (D) New support staff's salaries & benefits | | |
| | | * Standard 10* | | | |
| • | Total additional annual cost | (B) New officers' salaries & benefits + | (D) New support staff's salaries & benefits | | |
| + | (G) Annual additional vehicle cost | + (H) Additional annual maintenance & + operation costs | (1) Other additional equipment cost | | |
| + | (L) Annual cost of new construction | + (M) Annual new building maintenance & | operation cost | | |
| 1 | Annual cost per new resident | = + | New population | | |
| | Annual cost per resident of population growth | (N) Total annual cost | Total population* | | |

*Use total new population when using this standard in your estimating. 11

Cost of Public Service: Police Protection is the fourth in a series of bulletins on estimating costs of public service in various size Idaho communities. Other bulletins in that series available from the University of Idaho Agricultural Information Department are as follows:

| EXT | 602 | Residential Growth: Its Benefits and Costs to the Local Community |
|-----|-----|--|
| EXT | 604 | Cost of Public Service: Education25 cents |
| EXT | 605 | Cost of Public Service: Fire Protection25 cents |
| EXT | 607 | Cost of Public Service: |
| | | Sewage Collection and Treatment25 cents |
| EXT | 608 | Cost of Public Service: Sheriff Protection25 cents |
| EXT | 609 | Cost of Public Service: Solid Waste Disposal25 cents |
| EXT | 610 | Cost of Public Service: Water Supply25 cents |
| | | |