CUSTOM RATES

for Idaho Agricultural Operations 2010–2011

by Paul E. Patterson and Kathleen Painter







The Authors

Paul E. Patterson and Kathleen Painter are both agricultural economists with the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology, University of Idaho.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate and would like to acknowledge the cooperation of the farmers, custom operators, and other agribusinesses that willingly took part in the survey upon which this publication is based. We would also like to acknowledge and thank Pat Norell for her contributions in collecting and summarizing data. In addition, extension educators or their office staff provided us with the names of many custom operators and assisted us with data collection, including: William Bohl (Bingham County), Danielle Gunn (Fort Hall), Donna Gillespie (Minidoka County), Cindy Kinder (Camas County), Rauhn Panting (Oneida County), and Jo Ann Robbins (Jerome County). Special thanks go to the southern Idaho Cereal Schools for providing funds for the printing of this publication.

Cover photos (left to right) by Cindy Snyder; Paul E. Patterson, University of Idaho; David Barton, North Star Guidance Co.



Photo: James Armstrong, Spokane Conservation District

CUSTOM RATES 10-11 for Idaho Agricultural Operations

The primary purpose of this publication is to report data obtained by a survey of custom operators in Idaho. It also provides information on how to calculate machinery costs for setting custom rates, as well as how to adjust historical custom rates using indices available from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

The equipment needed for a modern farming operation is expensive and often quite specialized. On a smaller farm, it may be impractical to own all of the necessary equipment. Even a large farm with a complete machinery complement may find it necessary to use a custom operator or to hire a neighbor to avoid missing a planting or harvesting window when weather delays occur.

A custom operator typically specializes in certain farm operations, whereas a neighbor simply may have the equipment and time to trade work or to provide services for a fee. Some specialized farming operations use their equipment to do custom work during "slack times" on their farm or ranch. This can reduce ownership costs and provide needed cash flow.

The question that arises is how much should be charged or paid for these services. Full-time commercial custom operators should charge a fee that covers all machinery and labor costs plus a profit. Those performing custom services for a neighbor might charge only enough to cover labor and fuel costs.



Photo: James Armstrong, Spokane

In areas where a considerable portion of farm work is done by custom operators, established customary rates cover actual machine operating and ownership costs. Problems can arise, however, where no customary rates have been established or when a rapid increase in costs puts established rates significantly below total costs. This publication can help custom operators and growers calculate appropriate custom rates in such circumstances.

Idaho Geography

Idaho varies greatly in topography, climate, soils, and other variables affecting agricultural production. Consequently, a wide variety of crop/livestock enterprises and management systems exists. Because of this variability, production costs can differ from one area to another and even between adjacent farms or ranches.

Custom rates reported in this publication are tied to four geographic regions of Idaho. These regions no longer correspond to administrative boundaries for Extension Districts as they did in previous versions. Counties are placed in the region with the most similar type of production agriculture. For example, Blaine and Camas counties are included with the eastern Idaho counties and not with the Magic Valley counties of southcentral Idaho. Boldface type indicates the counties where the majority of survey respondents were located.

- Northern Idaho covers Benewah, Bonner, Boundary, Idaho, Kootenai, Latah, Lewis, and Nez Perce counties.
- Southwestern Idaho covers Ada, Adams, Canyon, Elmore, Gem, Owyhee, Payette, and Washington counties.
- Southcentral Idaho covers Cassia, Gooding, Jerome, Lincoln, Minidoka, and Twin Falls counties.
- Eastern Idaho covers Bannock, Bear Lake,
 Bingham, Blaine, Bonneville, Butte, Camas, Caribou,
 Clark, Custer, Franklin, Fremont, Jefferson, Lemhi,
 Madison, Oneida, Power, and Teton counties.

Because of insufficient precipitation, irrigation is essential to crop production in most parts of the Snake River Plain that extends across southern Idaho. Farming practices, field size and shape, and types of equipment are influenced by irrigation in these areas. Although farming practices are comparable across much of the irrigated portions of southern Idaho, there are some regional differences, particularly in southwestern Idaho, where smaller fields translate into higher machinery operating expenses.

Rain-fed agriculture is dominant in the cooler northern Idaho region, where climate patterns affect crop choice, production practices, and equipment. However, northern Idaho does share some cultural practices and machinery types with the dryland grain-producing areas of eastern and southern Idaho.

Owning vs. Custom Hire

Custom services can sometimes be hired at a cost lower than that of owning and operating farm equipment, particularly on smaller farms. For example, a new grain combine that costs \$320,000 will have an annual ownership cost of \$40,000 or more. If operating costs for this combine are \$14 per acre and a custom operator charges \$35 per acre, then a minimum of 1,905 acres of grain must be harvested before ownership becomes as economical as hiring a custom operator.

The breakeven acreage calculation uses the following formula:

Breakeven acreage =

Annual ownership cost

(Custom rate per acre – Operating cost per acre)¹

Annual ownership cost = Annual depreciation, interest, taxes,

insurance, and housing (see Table 1 [page 6], line 9)

Custom rate per acre = Going rate charged for that service


(see Tables A–M)

Operating cost per acre = Fuel, maintenance and repairs, lab

cre = Fuel, maintenance and repairs, labor, and supplies (see Table 1 [page 6], line 17)

Figure 1 is based on this breakeven calculation. It shows that the cost of owning and operating the grain combine is greater than the cost of custom hiring up to the breakeven acreage (1,905 acres). If the owner of the equipment uses it on more acres than the number needed to break even, the average cost per acre will be less than the amount required to hire a custom operator.

Breakeven cost calculations should be based on both cash and non-cash costs. Non-cash costs include



owner-operator's labor, depreciation, and interest on the owner's equity. Cash costs are the more obvious ones, such as fuel, maintenance and repairs, hired labor, taxes, and interest paid on equipment loans. See "Calculating Machine Costs" (page 5) for more information about calculating annual ownership cost and operating cost per acre. Table 1 (page 6) is an example of a cost calculation using an example of plowing.

Other considerations include the availability of custom operators and their timeliness in completing the work. Crop yield and quality may suffer if the custom operator cannot complete tillage, spraying, planting, or harvesting operations in a timely manner. The quality of the custom work should also be considered. Each farmer needs to determine the risk associated with timeliness and quality of work. These risks vary by crop, location, financial condition of the farmer, and competition in the custom services market.

Survey Data

From November 2010 to April 2011, custom operators, farmers, and other agribusiness firms in Idaho were contacted about custom rates charged or paid for various farm operations. Names were obtained from extension agricultural educators, classified sections of newspapers, commodity directories, other farmers, and custom operators. Respondents were contacted by telephone or by mail. Some respondents provided the rates they charged in 2010, while others provided their 2011 rate schedule. It should be noted that fuel prices jumped substantially from the time the survey started until it was completed.

Previously published Idaho Custom Rates Guides organized data by region. This publication departs from that practice. Instead it organizes southern Idaho data

¹The same calculation can be done if costs are given per mile, bale, cwt, or some other unit, rather than per acre.

by the type of field operation and shows the responses by region and for the southern Idaho average. Tables A through L present the southern Idaho data. Data for northern Idaho are presented in Table M.

Tables A–M show the average, high, and low custom rate charge reported, as well as the number of responses. Unless noted otherwise, costs of materials such as chemicals, seed, and fertilizer are not included in the custom rates quoted.

The thirteen tables located in the Appendix are listed below.

Table A. Custom aerial application costs: Dry and liquid materials, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table B. Custom ground application costs: Dry and liquid materials, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table C. Custom row markout (bedding) and fumigation costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table D. Custom tillage costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table E. Custom cultivation costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table F. Custom planting and seeding costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table G. Custom harvesting costs for hay, peas, and straw, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table H. Custom harvesting costs for silage and other forage crops, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table I. Custom harvesting costs for dry beans, dry peas, seed crops, corn, and small grains, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table J. Custom harvesting costs for onions, potatoes, and sugarbeets, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table K. Custom hauling costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table L. Miscellaneous custom operation costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho)

Table M. Northern Idaho rates for custom work and equipment rental, 2010–2011

Calculating Machine Costs

Where information about custom operations and rates is not available, one may need to calculate the cost of performing a particular task. Machine costs can be separated into time-related and use-related categories. Time-related expenses may be classified as ownership costs, while use-related costs may be referred to as operating costs. As might be expected, machine costs do not always fall neatly into a particular category. For example, depreciation is a function of both time and

use. For clarity, this publication follows the traditional conventions of classifying costs as shown below.

Ownership costs:

Annual depreciation

Interest on the value of the machinery and equipment Property taxes on the machine (if applicable) Insurance

Shelter or housing

Operating costs:

Fuel and lubrication

Maintenance and repairs

Supplies used in the operation (e.g., baler twine) Labor

Equipment costs vary by farm and by custom operator. Factors that influence equipment costs include operating conditions, amount and type of equipment use, original cost of the machinery, replacement costs, interest rates, and quality of maintenance, among others.

The method for estimating machinery costs is the same for both new and used machinery. The parameters are different, however, and the resulting cost per hour of operation may differ significantly.

Producers' machinery records are the best source for cost information. However, if records are lacking, one can make a cost estimate as shown in Table 1 (page 6). The moldboard plow example in Table 1 shows that costs must be calculated separately for the tractor and plow; the tractor is used for a different number of hours, and the tractor and plow have different cost factors and different rates of depreciation. Note that labor is charged only once because only one operator is needed for both pieces of equipment. Be sure to add the cost of materials, such as chemicals, seed, twine, fertilizer, etc., when these are provided by the custom operator.

In this example, the tractor's hourly cost is \$81.00, and the plow's hourly cost is \$15.90, for a total of \$96.90 per hour for the plowing operation. If 2.8 acres are covered per hour, the cost per acre is about \$34.60 ($$96.90 \div 2.8$).

Acres covered per hour can be estimated based on personal experience or by using the following formula:

Acres per hour =

[Speed (mph) x machine width (ft) x machine's field efficiency (%)] 8.25

For example, if a 16-foot-wide machine travels at 4 miles per hour and has a field efficiency of 70 percent, the calculation would be as follows:

$$\frac{[4 \text{ mph x } 16 \text{ feet x } 0.70]}{8.25} = 5.4 \text{ acres per hour}$$

Typical speeds and field efficiencies for various types of machinery are shown in Table 2 (page 7). Field efficiency is less than 100 percent because of equipment overlap, turning time, and time required to adjust and service machinery and to fill hoppers and tanks when inputs are being applied.

One reference for estimating machinery costs is PNW Extension publication 346, *The Costs of Owning and Operating Farm Machinery in the Pacific*

Northwest (University of Idaho, 2011). Estimates in this publication are based on new machinery costs and a range of expected total hours of use during the life of the machine.

Another useful tool is *Machinery Cost Analysis*, a University of Idaho Windows-based computer program available from the UI Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology website (see "References and Other Useful Links," page 10).

continues on page 9

Table 1. Estimating costs of owning and operating farm machinery, using an example of plowing.

Equipment parameters	165-hp tractor	4-bottom (plow
1. Purchase price ¹	\$130,000	\$12,000
2. Expected ownership period (years) ²	15	10
3. Salvage value ³	\$25,000	\$2,000
4. Adjusted average value⁴	\$81,000	\$7,500
5. Estimated annual hours of use	500	150
Annual ownership cost		
6. Depreciation⁵	\$7,000	\$1,000
7. Interest ⁶	\$4,860	\$450
8. Taxes, housing, and insurance ⁷ (see Table 3, page 8)	\$891	\$38
9. Annual ownership cost (line 6 + line 7 + line 8)	\$12,751	\$1,488
10. Ownership cost per hour (line 9 ÷ line 5)	\$25.50	\$9.92
Annual operating cost		
11. Repairs and maintenance per hour8	\$10.80	\$6.00
12. Fuel consumption: gallons per hour9	7.25	_
13. Fuel and lubrication cost per hour ¹⁰	\$27.10	_
14. Labor (\$16.00/hr x 1.1) ¹¹	\$17.60	-
15. Materials needed (twine, etc.)12	_	-
16. Total operating cost per hour (lines 11 + 13 +14 + 15)	\$55.50	\$6.00
17. Total operating cost per acre (line 16 ÷ 2.8 acres per hour) ¹³	\$19.80	\$2.14
Total cost		
18. Total cost per hour (line 10 + line 16)	\$81.00	\$15.90
19. Total cost per hour	\$9	96.90
20. Total cost per acre ¹³ (\$96.90 ÷ 2.8 acres per hour)	\$3	34.60

¹Purchase price is the price paid for the machinery, whether new or used.

⁴Average value: (Purchase price + Salvage value) ÷ 2. This value is often used in machinery cost calculations. However, using this unadjusted average will underestimate the interest charge on capital because it is an end-of-period value. To get a beginning-of-investment-period value, simply add a year of depreciation. The adjusted average formula used in this example is: (Purchase price + Salvage value + Annual depreciation) ÷ 2.

⁵An estimate of annual depreciation should be used. Depreciation is the loss in annual value over the machine's ownership period. Management depreciation (based on years of useful life) rather than tax depreciation (based on the IRS's tax life) should be used. Straight-line depreciation [(Purchase price – Salvage value) + Years of useful life)] was used. More complicated depreciation methods can be used, but still will produce only an estimate. Depreciation is known only when the machine is sold or traded.

°Interest is an opportunity cost of capital and is charged against the adjusted average value using a real rate of interest. A real (inflation-adjusted) interest rate of 6% was used in the example calculation. Interest should be charged for all capital, not just the borrowed amount.

⁷The charge for taxes, housing, and insurance is based on the values shown in Table 3 (1.1% for tractor and 0.5% for plow) multiplied by the adjusted average value.

⁸Repairs and maintenance costs are based on the repair factor coefficients per \$1,000 of purchase price, which are found in Table 2 (page 7), or (Purchase price ÷ 1,000) x 0.083 (for tractor) and x 0.5 (for plow).

 9 Fuel consumption per hour is based on an engineering equation that relates PTO horsepower to fuel consumption per hour. The factor for diesel is 0.044, and for gasoline it is 0.060. For example, diesel consumption for a 165-hp tractor would be: 165 x 0.044 = 7.25 gallons per hour.

 $^{10}\text{Fuel}$ costs per hour are based on the estimated fuel consumption per hour (7.25 gallons) multiplied by the price of off-road diesel (\$3.25 per gallon), or 7.25 gallons per hour x \$3.25 per gallon = \$23.56 per hour. Lubricant costs per hour are estimated using a standard engineering coefficient of 15% of fuel costs, or 0.15 x \$23.56 per hour = \$3.53 per hour. Fuel and lubricant costs: \$23.56 + \$3.53 = \$27.09, rounded to \$27.

"Labor is based on a wage rate of \$16.00 per hour, which includes benefits. This is adjusted by 10% to account for time spent servicing equipment and travel. This converts the cost per hour of labor to a cost per hour of machine operating time. The adjusted labor rate is \$17.60. The appropriate labor adjustment factor will vary by type of operation and travel distances.

¹²When materials (baling twine, seed, chemicals, etc.) are furnished by the custom operator, these costs should be included in the estimate.

¹³A plowing speed that covers 2.8 acres per hour is based on a plow width of 6 feet (18" bottoms), a tractor speed of 4.5 miles per hour, and field efficiency of 85%. These last two factors are the midpoints for the range of values shown in Table 2.

²The expected ownership period is the years of useful life or the number of years until the machine will be traded. Table 2 lists estimated total hours of useful life for various types of equipment and can be used to estimate the years of useful life if hours of annual use are known. In this example, the tractor is used 500 hours per year and the plow 150 hours.

³Salvage value is the expected selling price or trade-in value of the machine at the end of its ownership period.

Table 2. Farm machinery field efficiencies, field speeds, hours of useful life, and repair and maintenance factors.

	Field e	fficiency	Field	speed	Estimated	Total life	Repair
Machine	Range (%)	Typical (%)	Range	Typical (mph)	life (hr)	R&M cost ¹ (% of list price)	factor/hr ² (per \$1,000 of list price)
	(70)	(70)	(mph)	(IIIpII)	(111)	(% of list price)	(per \$1,000 or list price)
Tractors					40.000	400	0.000
2-wheel-drive and stationary	_	-	_	-	12,000	100	0.083
4-wheel-drive and crawler	_	-	-	-	16,000	80	0.050
Tillage and planting							
implements							
Moldboard plow	70-90	85	3.0-6.0	4.5	2,000	100	0.500
Heavy-duty disk	70-90	85	3.5-6.0	4.5	2,000	60	0.300
Tandem disk harrow	70-90	80	4.0-7.0	6.0	2,000	60	0.300
(Coulter) chisel plow	70-90	85	4.0-6.5	5.0	2,000	75	0.375
Field cultivator	70-90	85	5.0-8.0	7.0	2,000	70	0.350
Spring-tooth harrow	70-90	85	5.0-8.0	7.0	2,000	70	0.350
Roller-packer	70-90	85	4.5-7.5	6.0	2.000	40	0.200
Mulcher-packer	70-90	80	4.0-7.0	5.0	2,000	40	0.200
Rotary hoe	70-85	80	8.0-14.0	12.0	2,000	60	0.300
Row crop cultivator	70-90	80	3.0-7.0	5.0	2.000	80	0.400
Rotary tiller	70-90	85	1.0-4.5	3.0	1,500	80	0.533
Row crop planter	50-75	65	4.0-7.0	5.5	1,500	75	0.500
Grain drill	55-80	70	4.0-7.0	5.0	1,500	75	0.500
	33-00	70	4.0-7.0	5.0	1,500	73	0.300
Harvesting equipment	00.75	0.5	0010	۰.	0.000	70	0.050
Corn picker-sheller	60-75	65	2.0-4.0	2.5	2,000	70	0.350
Combine	60-75	65	2.0-5.0	3.0	2,000	60	0.300
Combine (SP) ³	65-80	70	2.0-5.0	3.0	3,000	40	0.133
Mower	75-85	80	3.0-6.0	5.0	2,000	150	0.750
Mower (rotary)	75-90	80	5.0-12.0	7.0	2,000	175	0.875
Mower-conditioner	75-85	80	3.0-6.0	5.0	2,500	80	0.320
Mower-conditioner (rotary)	75-90	80	5.0-12.0	7.0	2,500	100	0.400
Windrower (SP) ³	70-85	80	3.0-8.0	5.0	3,000	55	0.183
Side delivery rake	70-90	80	4.0-8.0	6.0	2,500	60	0.240
Rectangular baler	60-85	75	2.5-6.0	4.0	2,000	80	0.400
Large rectangular baler	70-90	80	4.0-8.0	5.0	3,000	75	0.250
Large round baler	55-75	65	3.0-8.0	5.0	1,500	90	0.600
Forage harvester	60-85	70	1.5-5.0	3.0	2,500	65	0.260
Forage harvester (SP) ³	60-85	70	1.5-6.0	3.5	4,000	50	0.125
Sugarbeet harvester	50-70	60	4.0-6.0	5.0	1,500	100	0.667
Potato harvester	55-70	60	1.5-4.0	2.5	2,500	70	0.280
Cotton picker (SP) ³	60-75	70	2.0-4.0	3.0	3,000	80	0.267
Miscellaneous equipment							
Fertilizer spreader	60-80	70	5.0-10.0	7.0	1,200	80	0.667
Boom-type sprayer	50-80	65	3.0-7.0	6.5	1,500	70	0.467
Air-carrier sprayer	55-70	60	2.0-5.0	3.0	2,000	60	0.300
Bean puller-windrower	70-90	80	4.0-7.0	5.0	2,000	60	0.300
Beet topper/stalk chopper	70-90 70-90	80	4.0-7.0	5.0	1,200	35	0.292
Forage blower					1,500	45	0.300
	_	_	_	_	2,000	50	0.300
Forage wagon	_	_	_	_	3,000	80	0.267
Wagon	_	_	_	_	3,000	00	0.207

Source: American Society of Agricultural Engineers Standards: Agricultural Machinery Data Management. ASAE D497.4 FEB03.

¹Total R&M cost is the accumulated repair and maintenance cost over the entire useful life as a percentage of the machine's list price.

²The repair factor per hour of use is derived by using the percent of list price for the total life R&M cost from ASAE Standards to calculate the lifetime accumulated repairs per \$1,000 of list price and dividing this value by the total hours of useful life. This method will overestimate repairs and maintenance for machinery owned less than the estimated life. These repair factors were used to estimate repair costs on the tractor and plow in Table 1.

³SP = self-propelled.

Table 3. Percentage of average machine value used to estimate property taxes, housing, and insurance (THI) for selected machinery.

Machinery	Taxes ¹	Housing ²	Insurance ³	Total	
Wheel tractor	0	0.3	0.8	1.1	
Crawler tractor	0	0.2	8.0	1.0	
Combine	0	0.5	1.5	2.0	
Potato harvester	0	1.4	0.5	1.9	
Bean cutter	0	1.1	0.5	1.6	(
Self-propelled forage harvester	0	1.3	1.5	2.8	;
Pull-type forage harvester	0	1.3	1.5	2.8	i
Self-propelled windrower	0	1.1	1.5	2.6	:
Bean windrower	0	1.1	0.5	1.6	(
Hay rake	0	_	0.5	0.5	
Hay baler	0	1.9	0.5	2.4	-
Self-propelled automatic bale wagon Pull-type automatic bale	0	1.0	1.5	2.5	1
wagon	0	1.0	0.5	1.5	
Self-unloading forage wagon Drill-planter	0 0	_ 2.4	0.5 0.5	0.5 2.9	
Tillage equipment Sprayer	0 0	- -	0.5 0.5	0.5 0.5	

Ildaho no longer charges property tax on farm machinery. A rate of 1% is often used to estimate property tax in states where it still exists.

²Housing costs can be expressed as a percentage of purchase price, list price, or adjusted average value. Another approach is to estimate the value of the storage area required to place the equipment under cover. First, estimate the number of square feet required to store equipment, multiply this by the cost per square foot to build the storage, and amortize this cost over the machine shed's useful life. The rate depends on the type of shelter. A rate between \$0.75 and \$0.90 per square foot would provide a reasonable estimate based on current construction costs.

³When insurance costs on machinery are unknown, insurance can be estimated using a percentage of purchase price, list price, or adjusted average value. Insurance rates per \$100 of value typically range between 0.4 and 0.6% for most tillage and pull-type harvesting equipment (\$0.40 to \$0.60 per \$100). Rates for tractors and self-propelled machinery are typically higher, ranging between 0.65 and 2.0% (\$0.65 to \$2 per \$100 of value).



to: Cindy Snyder

Adjusting Custom Rates Using USDA Indices

Custom rates change when costs associated with ownership and operation of farm machinery and equipment change. In the absence of actual market data, a price index can be used to adjust historical custom rates to appropriate current rates based on changes in cost over time. Five individual USDA farm price indices were used to develop a new weighted composite index that can be used for this purpose.

Table 4 shows the individual indices as well as the composite index from 2000 through 2010. As an example, suppose the per-acre cost for moldboard plowing was \$24.00 in 2005. In Table 4, the composite cost index for 2005 is 169, and the composite cost index for 2010 is 213. The adjusted per-acre cost in 2010 would be calculated as follows:

213 ÷ 169 x \$24.00 = \$30.25

The USDA Custom Rates Index is also shown in Table 4. This index tracks the rates farmers paid for custom services reported in the USDA survey. Figure 2 shows annual percentage changes for the composite index and the USDA Custom Rates Index from 2001 to 2010. The composite index rose by 61 percent, from an index value of 132 in 2000 to 213 in 2010, while the

Custom Rates Index increased by only 21 percent, from 120 to 145.

This comparison illustrates the problem faced by custom operators. The composite index tracks how custom operators' costs have changed, while the Custom Rates Index shows how much of the cost increase has been passed on to customers—considerably less than the total cost increase. Cost efficiencies gained from using larger equipment and covering more acres have helped some custom operators deal with this cost-price squeeze, but many have simply been squeezed out of business.




Table 4. USDA indices of prices paid and custom rates, 2000-2010.

		Prices	s paid inc	dices¹					
Year	Machinery ²	Repairs	Diesel	Wages	Interest	Composite ³	Composite annual percent change	USDA Custom Rates Index ⁴	Custom rates annual percent change
Weight	0.45	0.10	0.15	0.15	0.15	1.00			
2000	139	125	129	140	113	132	_	120	_
2001	144	129	121	146	104	133	1%	121	1%
2002	148	132	114	153	100	135	2%	120	-1%
2003	151	136	137	157	94	140	4%	125	4%
2004	162	140	165	160	97	150	7%	120	-4%
2005	173	146	232	165	113	169	13%	121	1%
2006	182	149	259	171	129	181	7%	123	2%
2007	191	154	284	177	142	192	6%	125	2%
2008	209	156	376	183	147	216	13%	144	15%
2009	222	159	233	187	138	200	-7%	145	1%
2010	228	162	300	189	135	213	7%	145	0%

Source: NASS, USDA Agricultural Prices Annual Summary (various years). Washington, DC, July. http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/MannUsda/viewDocumentInfo.do?documentID=1002

¹Index values are calculated using 1990–92 as base years, where index values equal 100.

²Machinery index is a composite of tractors, self-propelled, and other machinery.

³The composite custom rate index is calculated by weighting individual component indices as follows: machinery 45%, repairs 10%, diesel 15%, wages 15%, and interest 15%. The calculated value is rounded to the nearest full percentage point. The composite index was developed by the authors and is presented as an alternative to using the USDA Custom Rates Index.

⁴The Custom Rates Index is a USDA-calculated index.

The composite index reflects costs for operators using new equipment. Actual custom rate charges lag behind the composite index at least in part because many custom operators use machinery and equipment purchased in earlier years. Those who use an index as a guide should also be aware that the relative shares of labor, fuel, repair, and machinery costs vary considerably by type of operation. Fuel may account for one-fourth or more of plowing costs, but only 10 percent of a combine's cost. Thus, different weights for machinery, repairs, fuel, and wages may be appropriate.

All indices in Table 4 are based on national cost and price data. Values for Idaho may be slightly different. Data needed to keep these index values current can be obtained from USDA (see "References and Other Useful Links").

Conclusion

Hiring a custom operator provides a reasonable way to accomplish work when time or machinery is lacking. Performing custom work for others can help machine owners make more efficient use of their resources by spreading ownership costs over more acres, reducing the overall operating cost without incurring the expense of acquiring more land.

The survey data provided in this publication should serve only as a guide in setting rates. Prevailing conditions such as weather, field shape and size, the presence of rocks, and other factors affecting ease or difficulty of operation should be considered. For example, some custom operators in irrigated regions charge more for fields with furrow irrigation than for fields with sprinkler irrigation. Rocky or rough field conditions also result in higher charges, especially for tillage and cultivation. The size of the job is another important factor, with higher rates charged for smaller jobs.

Response rates for different types of operations varied widely. In some cases, only a single response was received, so please take this into consideration when using these data. Users should also be aware that quoted rates might not be representative of an entire area or region. For example, fuel costs can be much higher in more remote regions, thus justifying a higher rate.

While custom rates have increased since the last update of this publication in 2005–2006, most rates have not increased as fast as would be indicated by the composite cost index values found in Table 4. These index values suggest custom rates have not kept pace with increases in ownership and operating costs for new equipment.

One cost that does not show up in the appendix tables is the set-up fee charged by a number of custom operators, in addition to the per-unit charges. Fuel prices are another variable that often results in additional charges. Volatile and uncertain fuel prices in recent years left some custom operators losing money if they bid jobs when fuel prices were low and did not include a provision for a fuel surcharge in the contract. Some custom operators have instituted a fuel surcharge, while others require the individual who hires them to provide fuel. Operators in some regions may now offer custom rate quotes minus fuel.

In summary, custom rates need to be configured with as much information as possible, including current market rates in your area. This publication should serve as a data source to help determine equitable yet profitable custom rates for both users and providers of custom services across the major agricultural regions in Idaho.

References and Other Useful Links

Iowa State University. 2011 Custom Rates Survey. http://www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm/crops/html/a3-10.html

University of Idaho. 2011. *The Costs of Owning and Operating Farm Machinery in the Pacific Northwest*. PNW 346. http://www.cals.uidaho.edu/edComm/pdf/PNW/PNW0346/PNW0346.html

University of Idaho. 2010. *Machinery Cost Analysis Program*. http://www.cals.uidaho.edu/aers/r_machcost_inst.htm

University of Idaho, Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology website. http://www.cals.uidaho.edu.aers

University of Nebraska. 2010 Nebraska Farm Custom Rates Survey—Part 1. EC 823. http://www.ianrpubs.unl.edu/epublic/live/ec823/build/ec823.pdf

University of Nebraska. 2010 Nebraska Farm Custom Rates Survey—Part 2. EC 826. http://www.ianrpubs.unl.edu/epublic/live/ec826/build/ec826.pdf

USDA Prices Paid Index. http://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/
Note: USDA no longer publishes the Annual
Agricultural Prices Summary. USDA Prices Paid
Index values will also be available on the Crop
Resource section of the University of Idaho
Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural
Sociology website. http://www.cals.uidaho.edu/aers/
r_crops.htm

Table A. Custom aerial application costs: Dry and liquid materials, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	sw	SC	E	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	sc	E	Southern ID avg
MINIMUM JOB CHARGE	job					LIQUID PRODUCT					
Average High Low Responses DRY PRODUCT		\$300 \$300 \$300 1	\$180 \$180 \$180 1	\$900 \$1,000 \$800 2	\$460 \$1,000 \$180 4	3 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	- - - -	\$8.17 \$9.50 \$7.00 3	\$7.75 \$8.00 \$7.50 2	\$7.96 \$9.50 \$7.00 5
Minimum charge (< 100 lb) Average High Low	acre	\$11.05 \$11.05 \$11.05	\$8.88 \$9.50 \$7.50	\$9.50 \$10.00 \$9.00	\$9.81 \$11.05 \$7.50	5 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$8.90 \$8.90 \$8.90 1	\$9.34 \$10.00 \$8.50 4	\$8.75 \$10.00 \$8.00 3	\$9.00 \$10.00 \$8.00 8
Responses Plus cents per pound (> 100 lb) Average High	lb	1 11.0 11.0	8.6 9.5	9.5 10.0	9.7 11.0	7–8 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$9.95 \$9.95 \$9.95	\$10.04 \$10.50 \$9.50 4	\$10.38 \$12.00 \$8.75 2	\$10.12 \$12.00 \$8.75 7
Low Responses		11.0 1	8.0 4	9.0 2	8.0 7	10 gallons Average	acre	\$11.05 \$11.05	\$12.50 \$12.50	\$11.00 \$11.00	\$11.52 \$12.50
Average High Low Responses	acre	- - -	\$10.83 \$15.00 \$8.50 3	- - -	\$10.83 \$15.00 \$8.50 3	High Low Responses	50000	\$11.05 \$11.05 1	\$12.50 \$12.50 1	\$11.00 \$11.00 1	\$12.50 \$11.00 3

Table B. Custom ground application costs: Dry and liquid materials, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	sw	sc	E	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	sw	sc	Е	Southern ID avg
DRY FERTILIZER Notes: Plus \$1 on plowed ground.	l or roug	gh ground	d. Plus \$2	2–3 on c	orrugated	SPRAY CHEMICALS By volume:					
Broadcast: 0-750 lb Average High Low Responses	acre	\$7.50 \$8.00 \$7.00 3	\$7.10 \$7.50 \$6.50 5	\$6.67 \$8.00 \$5.00 9	\$7.09 \$8.00 \$5.00 17	≤ 10 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$8.50 \$9.50 \$7.50 3	\$6.49 \$7.00 \$6.00 5	\$6.67 \$7.00 \$6.00 6	\$7.22 \$9.50 \$6.00 14
Broadcast: 500-1,500 lb Average High Low Responses	acre	\$9.50 \$11.00 \$8.00 3	\$8.10 \$8.50 \$7.50	\$7.56 \$9.00 \$6.00 9	\$8.39 \$11.00 \$6.00 17	By volume: 11–20 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$8.83 \$9.50 \$8.25 3	\$6.95 \$8.00 \$6.20 6	\$7.38 \$8.00 \$6.50 4	\$7.72 \$9.50 \$6.20 13
Broadcast: variable rate Note: Plus \$/acre over sta Average High Low	acre andard	fertilizer t \$12.50 \$12.50 \$12.50	oroadcas \$14.00 \$15.50 \$12.50	t. \$10.80 \$14.00 \$8.00	\$12.43 \$15.50 \$8.00	By volume: 21–30 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	- - - -	\$7.25 \$8.00 \$6.75 3	\$7.58 \$8.00 \$7.25 3	\$7.42 \$8.00 \$6.75 6
Responses Broadcast fertilizer & seed Average High Low Responses	acre	\$2.00 \$3.00 \$1.00 2	2 - - -	\$1.00 \$1.50 \$1.00 2	\$1.50 \$3.00 \$1.00 4	By crop: grain, pulse crops, & alfalfa Average High Low Responses	acre	\$8.08 \$8.50 \$7.50 3	\$6.88 \$7.50 \$6.00 4	\$6.38 \$7.00 \$5.50 8	\$7.11 \$8.50 \$5.50 15
LIQUID FERTILIZER						By crop: row crops					
Broadcast spray Average High Low Responses	acre	- - - -	\$6.75 \$7.00 \$6.50 2	- - - -	\$6.75 \$7.00 \$6.50 2	(potatoes, sugar- beets, onions) Average High Low Responses	acre	\$8.83 \$9.50 \$8.25 3	\$7.75 \$8.25 \$7.00 6	\$7.25 \$8.00 \$6.00 6	\$7.94 \$9.50 \$6.00 15
Shank-in or markout Average High Low Responses	acre		\$21.21 \$24.00 \$18.50 7	\$20.00	\$20.13 \$30.00 \$14.00	Spray & incorporate Average High Low Responses	acre	\$13.50	\$21.00 \$22.00 \$20.00 2	- - -	\$16.92 \$22.00 \$12.00 5
Side dress Average High Low Responses	acre	\$14.00	\$13.00 \$14.00 \$12.00 2	- - - -	\$12.84 \$14.00 \$11.00 8	Apply sulfuric acid: 20-29 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre	\$10.00 \$10.00 \$10.00 1	\$9.25 \$9.50 \$9.00	\$9.33 \$11.00 \$8.00 3	\$9.53 \$11.00 \$8.00 7
n, University of Idaho						Apply sulfuric acid: 30 gallons Average High Low Responses	acre		\$10.33 \$12.00 \$9.00 3	\$10.75	



Table C. Custom row markout (bedding) and fumigation costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	SW	sc	E	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	Southern ID avg
STRIP TILLAGE					_	FUMIGATION					
Row markout & fertilize: 22" rows Average High Low Responses	acre	- - - -	\$40.00 \$40.00 \$40.00	- - - -	\$40.00 \$40.00 \$40.00	Shanked with chisel plow (Vapam or K-Pam): 30-40 gallons Average	acre	\$23.17	\$24.75	\$33.00	\$26.97
MARKOUT Note: Cost may increa	se \$2–4	4/acre witl	h GPS.			High Low Responses		\$25.00 \$20.00 3	\$25.00 \$24.50 2	\$34.00 \$32.00 4	\$34.00 \$20.00 9
Dry row markout: no product applied Average High Low Responses	acre	\$19.50 \$22.00 \$16.00 4	\$18.25 \$18.50 \$18.00 2	\$19.00 \$20.00 \$18.00 2	\$18.92 \$22.00 \$16.00 8	Ripper or disk- ripper with steel roller: Telone Average High Low Responses	acre	\$31.67 \$35.00 \$25.00	\$35.00 \$35.00 \$35.00	\$43.67 \$45.00 \$42.00	\$36.78 \$45.00 \$25.00
Row markout with one product: 0-30 gal Average High Low Responses	acre	\$22.63 \$24.50 \$20.00 4	\$21.30 \$24.00 \$18.50 5	\$17.13 \$20.00 \$14.00 4	\$20.35 \$24.50 \$14.00 13	Ripper or disk- ripper with steel roller: Telone + Vapam Average High	acre	\$50.00 \$50.00	- -	- -	\$50.00 \$50.00
Row markout: two products or 31–45 gallons Average	acre	_	\$24.50	\$17.00	\$20.75	Low Responses Broadcast K-Pam Average	acre	\$50.00 1	- -	- - \$38.00	\$50.00 1 \$38.00
High Low Responses		- - -	\$25.00 \$24.00 2	\$20.00 \$15.00 3	\$25.00 \$15.00 5	High Low Responses		- - -	- - -	\$38.00 \$38.00 1	\$38.00 \$38.00 1
Row markout, fertilize, & fumigate: Vapam/K-Pam Average High Low Responses	acre		\$25.75 \$25.00 \$24.50 2	\$23.50 \$25.00 \$20.00 4	\$24.63 \$25.00 \$20.00 6						

Table D. Custom tillage costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	sw	sc	E	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	Southern ID avg
PRIMARY TILLAGE						SECONDARY					
Deep rip/subsoil, V-ripper, disk-						TILLAGE (continued Disk with harrow)				
ripper: 18"+ Average High Low Responses	acre	\$35.00 \$35.00 \$35.00	\$30.00 \$35.00 \$25.00 2	\$43.00 \$45.00 \$40.00 3	\$36.00 \$45.00 \$25.00 6	or tire roller Average High Low Responses	acre	\$21.67 \$30.00 \$17.00	\$18.00 \$18.00 \$18.00	\$16.50 \$18.00 \$15.00 2	\$18.72 \$30.00 \$15.00
Disk-ripper: 10-16"	acre					Field cultivator	acre				
Note: Charge typically in harrow is included. Average	crease	s by \$2 fo \$35.00	or sod or a \$30.00	alfalfa, or \$24.43	when roller \$29.81	Average High Low		- - -	\$18.50 \$19.00 \$18.00	- - -	\$18.50 \$19.00 \$18.00
High		\$45.00	\$30.00	\$28.00	\$45.00	Responses		_	2	_	2
Low Responses		\$25.00 3	\$30.00 1	\$18.00 7	\$18.00 11	Triple K Average	acre	\$12.50	\$14.00	_	\$13.25
Moldboard plow: stubble or potato ground	acre					High Low		\$12.50 \$12.50	\$14.00 \$14.00 1	- - -	\$14.00 \$12.50 2
Notes: Charge typically i		es bv \$2-	-10 for ro	ckv aroun	d. Charge	Responses Groundhog	aoro	1	ı	_	2
typically increases by \$8 \$1 when packer is include	10 for	hay or s	od. Charg	ie may ind	crease by	Average High	acre	\$18.00 \$25.00		<u>-</u>	\$18.00 \$25.00
Average High Low		\$29.63 \$35.00 \$24.00	\$33.50 \$45.00 \$24.00	\$24.79 \$30.00 \$18.00	\$29.31 \$45.00 \$18.00	Low Responses		\$14.00 4	- -	- -	\$14.00 4
Responses		8	11	7	26	Rotary hoe/tiller	aara				
Chisel plow: 10–12" Average High	acre	\$20.33 \$26.00	\$21.33 \$28.00	\$17.25 \$18.00	\$19.64 \$28.00	(rota-tiller) Average High	acre	\$42.50 \$45.00	- - -	_	\$42.50 \$45.00
Low Responses		\$15.00 3	\$16.00 3	\$16.50 4	\$15.00 10	Low Responses		\$40.00 2	_	_	\$40.00 2
Offset (heavy) disk	acre		-	•		Roller harrow Average	acre	_	\$15.60	\$13.00	\$14.30
Average High Low		\$17.75 \$20.00 \$15.00	\$18.86 \$26.00 \$15.00	\$16.00 \$20.00 \$12.00	\$17.54 \$26.00 \$12.00	High Low Responses		- - -	\$20.00 \$12.00 5	\$13.00 \$13.00 1	\$20.00 \$12.00 6
Responses SECONDARY		4	7	4	15	Harrow: spike tooth or flexible	acre				
TILLAGE Tandem disk	acre					Average High	aoic	_	\$6.00 \$8.00	\$5.50 \$7.00	\$5.75 \$8.00
Average High	4010	\$15.00	\$18.00 \$21.00	\$13.17 \$16.00	\$15.39 \$21.00	Low Responses		- -	\$4.00	\$4.00	\$4.00 4
Low Responses		\$15.00 3	\$15.00 3	\$11.00 5	\$11.00 11	Land plane Average	acre	_	_	\$9.00	\$9.00
						High Low Responses		-	- - -	\$10.00 \$8.00 2	\$10.00 \$8.00 2

Table E. Custom cultivation costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	sw	sc	E	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	sw	sc	E	Southern ID avg
ROW CROP CULTIVATION						ROW CROP CULTIVATION					
Potato hill						continued					
drag-off	acre					Hill potatoes	acre				
Average		-	_	\$8.00	\$8.00	Average		_	\$19.00	\$14.00	\$16.50
High		-	-	\$8.00	\$8.00	High		_	\$19.00	\$14.00	\$19.00
Low		-	-	\$8.00	\$8.00	Low		_	\$19.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
Responses		-	-	1	1	Responses		-	1	1	2
Cultivate	acre					Basin tillaga/					
Average		_	\$17.00	\$11.50	\$14.25	Basin tillage/ dammer dike	ooro				
High		_	\$18.00	\$14.00	\$18.00		acre				
Low		_	\$15.00	\$9.00	\$9.00	Note: Plus \$1–2 wl	nen spray	ing is ind		#40.00	£40.40
Responses		_	4	2	6	Average		-	\$20.20	\$16.00	\$18.10
						High		_	\$24.00	\$16.00	\$24.00
Corrugate	acre	047.00	040.50		040.75	Low		_	\$17.00	\$16.00	\$16.00
Average		\$17.00	\$16.50	-	\$16.75	Responses		_	5	7	6
High		\$18.00	\$18.00	-	\$18.00						
Low		\$15.00	\$15.00	_	\$15.00						
Responses		4	2	-	6						

Table F. Custom planting and seeding costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

					Southern						Souther
Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	ID avg
FIELD CROPS						ROW CROPS					
Conventional drills	;					Dry beans: 22"	' acre				
Cmall arains alfalfa						Note: Higher rate	includes GP	S.			
Small grains, alfalfa, grass, & legumes	acre					Average		\$18.00		-	\$18.84
-						High		\$18.00	\$22.00	_	\$22.00
Note: Higher rate typica	ally ıncı					Low		\$18.00	\$18.00	_	\$18.00
Average			\$16.00	\$14.29	\$16.06	Responses		1	3	-	4
High		\$23.00		\$16.00	\$23.00	C 2011					
Low		\$10.00		\$11.00	\$10.00	Corn: 22"	acre				
Responses		4	4	7	15	Notes: Higher rate					
						Rates are for 22"	row spacing.				
Small grains, alfalfa,						Average		\$18.00		\$15.33	\$16.57
grass, & legumes:						High		\$18.00		\$17.00	\$18.00
roller harrow & plant	acre					Low		\$18.00	\$15.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
Note: Higher rate typica	ally incl	ludes use	of GPS.			Responses		1	4	3	8
Average	,e.	_	\$30.00	\$19.00	\$24.50	Sugarbeets: 22	2" acre				
High		_	\$30.00	\$24.00	\$30.00	•					
Low		_	\$30.00	\$16.00	\$16.00	Notes: Higher rate	e includes Gi				
Responses		_	1	4	5	Average		\$20.00		\$16.00	\$18.17
·			•	•	ŭ	High		\$20.00		\$18.00	\$20.00
Air seeder						Low		\$20.00	\$17.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
						Responses		1	4	2	7
Small grain &						Potatoes: inclu					
legumes: seed only	acre						iaes				
Notes: Plus \$2-4 when	n dry or	liquid fer	tilizer is a	pplied. Plu	s \$4–6	trucks to haul					
when both dry and liqu						seed	acre				
Minus \$2–3 for seeding				,		Notes: Higher rate	e includes Gi	PS. Plus	\$2 with liq	juid fertiliz	er
Average	, , ,		\$19.00	\$19.60	\$19.53	application.					
High		\$22.00	•	\$22.00	\$22.00	Average		_	\$30.00	\$42.50	\$36.25
Low		\$18.00		\$18.00	\$18.00	High		_	\$30.00	\$45.00	\$45.00
Responses		2	2	5	9	Low		-	\$30.00	\$40.00	\$30.00
1 tooponooo		_	-	J	J	Responses		_	1	2	3
Dryland no-till/dire	ct					-					
seeding											

\$22.00 \$24.00

\$20.00

2

\$22.00

\$24.00

\$20.00

2



acre

Small grain: seed & fertilizer

Average

Responses

High Low



Photo: R. Dennis Roe, Washington State University

Table G. Custom harvesting costs for hay, peas, and straw, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	sw	sc	Е	Southern ID avg	Operation U	nit	sw	sc	E	Southern ID avg
SWATH						BALING continued					
Non-corrugated o non-irrigated	r acre					Medium bale: 3' x 3' ba	ale				
Notes: Swathing hay it tioner. Swathing straw	may be	\$1–2 les	s. For SC	and E Ida	ho, add	Average High		- -	- - -	\$8.00 \$8.00	\$8.00 \$8.00
\$2–3 for rough or corr rugated fields. Typical				ge.	slude cor- \$17.51	Low Responses		_	_	\$8.00 1	\$8.00 1
Average High Low		\$30.00 \$17.00	\$10.70 \$21.00 \$12.00	\$16.28 \$20.00 \$13.00	\$30.00 \$12.00	Large rectangular bale: 3' x 4' ba	ale				
Responses		8	10	18	36	Notes: Rate increases for for straw may be \$1 less the		or hay.			
Swathing peas on corrugated field	acre		#40.00		#40.00	Average High		\$12.25 \$14.50	\$11.25 \$12.00	\$11.00 \$12.00	\$11.50 \$14.50
Average High Low		- - -	\$18.33 \$20.00 \$17.00	- - -	\$18.33 \$20.00 \$17.00	Low Responses		\$10.00 1	\$10.50 2	\$10.00 9	\$10.00 12
Responses		-	3	-	3	Large rectangular bale: 4' x 4' ba	ale				
TWIN RAKE or TURNOVER	acre					Notes: Rate increases for for straw may be \$2 less.	lowe				
Notes: For SC and E For SW Idaho, rates in small acreage.						Average High Low		\$15.50 \$17.00 \$14.00	\$15.00 \$17.00 \$12.00	\$16.71 \$20.00 \$12.00	\$15.74 \$20.00 \$12.00
Average High		\$10.25 \$15.00	\$7.21 \$11.00	\$5.00 \$7.00	\$7.49 \$15.00	Responses RETRIEVE &		2	5	7	14
Low Responses		\$8.00 4	\$5.00 7	\$3.00 11	\$3.00 22	STACK (short haul)					
BALING						Small bale:					
Small bale: 2-string (14" x 16' or 16" x 18")	• bale					2-string (16" x 18" x 48") ba Average	ale	\$0.58	\$0.40	\$0.56	\$0.51
Note: Higher rates are Average		x 18" bale \$0.64	s. \$0.76	\$0.66	\$0.69	High Low		\$0.65 \$0.50	\$0.40 \$0.40	\$0.65 \$0.40	\$0.65 \$0.40
High Low		\$0.04 \$0.75 \$0.55	\$0.76 \$0.88 \$0.65	\$0.75 \$0.55	\$0.88 \$0.55	Responses		3	1	4	8
Responses		8	3	6	17		ale			#0.00	#0.00
Small bale: 3-string Average	bale			\$0.85	\$0.85	Average High Low		- - -	_ _ _	\$0.60 \$0.60 \$0.60	\$0.60 \$0.60 \$0.60
High Low		_ _ _	_ _ _	\$0.85 \$0.85	\$0.85 \$0.85	Responses		-	-	1	1
Responses		-	-	1	1		ale	# 0.00	#0.50	40.05	0.4.00
		- BI III I				Average High Low		\$6.00 \$7.00 \$5.00	\$3.53 \$4.60 \$2.50	\$3.25 \$4.00 \$2.00	\$4.26 \$7.00 \$2.00
		7				Responses Large rectangular	. 1	2	3	4	9
Mary 1						Note: Rate for straw may b	ale be \$0			\$ 5,00	¢ ፍ ዕፍ
der				5025	C VICE	Average High Low		\$9.00 \$9.00 \$9.00	\$3.88 \$5.00 \$3.00	\$5.00 \$5.00 \$5.00	\$5.96 \$9.00 \$3.00
/ Snyder	NUMBER	NAME OF STREET		TENE -		Responses		1	4	4	9

Table G continued. Custom harvesting costs for hay, peas, and straw, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	sw	sc	E	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	sc	E	Southern ID avg
COMBINATION PACKAGE						COMBINATION PACKAGE continued					
Swath, rake, & bale (16" x 18"): no stack	ton					Swath, rake, bale	ton				
Average High Low Responses	ton	- - -	 	\$30.00 \$34.00 \$28.00 3	\$30.00 \$34.00 \$28.00 3	(4' x 4'), & stack Average High Low Responses	ton	\$40.00 \$40.00 \$40.00	\$36.00 \$40.00 \$32.00 2	\$33.20 \$50.00 \$26.00 5	\$36.40 \$50.00 \$26.00 8
Swath, rake, bale (3' x 4'), & stack Average High	ton	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	\$32.67 \$36.00	\$32.67 \$36.00	COMBINATION PACKAGE: based on yield per acre					
Low Responses		-	-	\$28.00	\$28.00 3	Swath, rake, bale (16" x 18"), & stack	ton				
						0.5 ton/acre 1.0 ton/acre 2.0 ton/acre 3.0 ton/acre Responses	ton	- - - -	- - - -	\$83.00 \$57.00 \$41.00 \$39.00	\$83.00 \$57.00 \$41.00 \$39.00

Table H. Custom harvesting costs for silage and other forage crops, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

					Southern						Southern
Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	ID avg
CORN SILAGE						CORN SILAGE					
Chop only	ton					continued					
Notes: Rate may increas	e by \$0	.25-\$1.0	0 for gre	en choppi	ng grain or	Bag: 8' x 150'		bag		ton	
hay. Rates are set to a st	andard		er basis,			Note: Includes the price	of the b	aa in SW	'. but not i	n E.	
Average		\$4.75	-	\$8.13	\$6.44	Average		\$2,000	_	\$4.00	_
High		\$5.00	-	\$9.00	\$9.00	High		\$2,000	_	\$4.00	_
Low		\$4.50	_	\$7.25	\$4.50	Low		\$2,000	_	\$4.00	_
Responses		2	_	2	4	Responses		1	_	1	2
Haul only: < 2 miles	ton										
Average		\$3.00	_	\$3.25	\$3.13	GREEN CHOP HAY					
High		\$3.25	_	\$3.25	\$3.25	& GRAIN					
Low		\$2.75	_	\$3.25	\$2.75	Chan anh	ton				
Responses		2	_	1	3	Chop only	ton	\$5.50		\$7.75	\$6.63
·						Average			_		
Haul: Plus \$/ton/						High Low		\$6.00 \$5.00	_	\$7.75 \$7.75	\$7.75 \$5.00
mile > 2 miles	ton							φ5.00 2	_	φ1.75 1	φ5.00 3
Average		\$0.30	-	-	\$0.30	Responses		2	_	ı	3
High		\$0.40	-	-	\$0.40	Rake, chop, haul,					
Low		\$0.20	-	-	\$0.20	& pack	ton				
Responses		2	_	-	2	Average	torr	\$8.50	\$9.50	\$7.75	\$8.58
Pack	ton					High		\$9.00	\$9.50	\$7.75	\$9.50
	ισπ	\$1.13	_	\$3.50	\$2.32	Low		\$8.00	\$9.50	\$7.75	\$7.75
Average		\$1.13 \$1.25	_	\$5.00	\$5.00	Responses		2	1	1	4
High Low		\$1.23 \$1.00	_	\$2.00	\$5.00 \$1.00	responses		_	'	'	7
		پارس 2	_	φ2.00 2	φ1.00 4	Rake, chop, haul,					
Responses		2	_	2	4	& bag	ton				
Chop, short haul,						Average		_	\$16.50	_	_
& pack	ton					High		_	\$16.50	_	_
Average	ton	\$8.38	\$9.50	\$12.00	\$9.96	Low		_	\$16.50	_	_
High		\$8.75	\$9.50	\$12.00	\$12.00	Responses		_	1	_	_
Low		\$8.00	\$9.50	\$12.00	\$8.00	r					
Responses		2	1	1	4						

Table I. Custom harvesting costs for dry beans, dry peas, seed crops, corn, and small grains, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	sw	sc	Е	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	Е	Southern ID avg
CUT &						-					
WINDROW						COMBINE/					
BEANS						THRASH					
	acre		\$29.50		\$29.50	continued					
Average		_	\$29.50 \$35.00	-	\$29.50 \$35.00						
High		_		-		Seed crops:					
Low		_	\$25.00 4	-	\$25.00	alfalfa &					
Responses		_	4	-	4	clover	acre				
						Average		\$60.00	_	_	\$60.00
COMBINE/						High		\$60.00	_	_	\$60.00
THRASH						Low		\$60.00	_	_	\$60.00
D b						Responses		1	_	_	1
Dry beans						•		•			·
(commercial)						Corn	acre				
or dry peas	acre		457.5 0		050.05	Average		\$48.50	\$38.50	-	\$43.50
Average		\$55.00	\$57.50	_	\$56.25	High		\$55.00	\$42.00	-	\$55.00
High		\$65.00	\$60.00	_	\$65.00	Low		\$42.00	\$35.00	_	\$35.00
Low		\$45.00	\$55.00	_	\$45.00	Responses		2	2	-	4
Responses		2	2	-	4						
Day beens						Small grains:					
Dry beans						irrigated	acre				_
(commercial)	at					Notes: Plus \$5–6	for lodge				
or dry peas	cwt		¢4 F0		¢4 F0	Average		\$45.67	\$36.14	\$28.30	\$36.70
Average		_	\$1.59	_	\$1.59	High		\$52.00	\$44.00	\$34.00	\$52.00
High		_	\$1.70	-	\$1.70	Low		\$40.00	\$28.00	\$23.00	\$23.00
Low		_	\$1.45	_	\$1.45	Responses		3	7	10	20
Responses		-	4	-	4	Callaia.					
Dry beans:						Small grains:					
garden	acre					dryland	acre		#00.00	#00.00	#04.00
Average	acic		\$53.33	_	\$53.33	Average		-	\$20.00	\$22.00	\$21.00
High		_	\$60.00	_	\$60.00	High		_	\$20.00	\$28.00	\$28.00
Low		_	\$45.00	_	\$45.00	Low		-	\$20.00	\$18.00	\$18.00
Responses		_	3	_	3	Responses		_	1	5	6
Responses		_	3	_	3	Short haul:					
Dry beans:						Snort naul: < 5 miles	cwt				
garden	cwt					Average	CWI	\$0.45			\$0.45
Average	0111	_	\$1.75	_	\$1.75	•		\$0.45 \$0.50	-	-	\$0.45 \$0.50
High		_	\$1.85	_	\$1.85	High		\$0.50 \$0.40	_	_	\$0.50 \$0.40
Low		_	\$1.65	_	\$1.65	Low			_	_	
LOW		_	3	_	3	Responses		2	_	_	2



Photo: Cindy Snyder

Table J. Custom harvesting costs for onions, potatoes, and sugarbeets, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	sw	sc	E	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	sw	sc	Е	Southern ID avg
POTATOES						SUGARBEETS					
Roll vines	acre					Top beets	acre				
Average		-	\$9.00	\$8.00	\$8.50	Average		-	_	\$20.00	\$20.00
High		_	\$9.00	\$8.00	\$9.00	High		_	-	\$20.00	\$20.00
Low		_	\$9.00	\$8.00	\$8.00	Low		_	-	\$20.00	\$20.00
Responses		-	1	1	2	Responses		_	-	1	1
Shred/beat vines	acre					Pull beets	acre				
Average		_	\$18.00	\$20.00	\$19.00	Average		_	_	\$40.00	\$40.00
High		_	\$18.00	\$22.00	\$22.00	High		_	_	\$40.00	\$40.00
Low		_	\$18.00	\$18.00	\$18.00	Low		_	_	\$40.00	\$40.00
Responses		_	1	2	3	Responses		_	_	1	1
·	ooro						ton				
Shred & roll vines	acre		_	\$23.00	\$23.00	Top & pull	ton		\$4.25	_	\$4.25
Average High		_	_	\$23.00	\$23.00	Average		_			\$4.25 \$4.50
Low		_	_	\$23.00		High		_	\$4.50	-	
Responses		_	_	φ23.00 1	\$23.00 1	Low		_	\$4.00 2	_	\$4.00 2
Responses		_	_	1	ı	Responses		_	2	_	2
larvest only: no						Top, pull, & haul	acre				
rucks	acre					Average		-	\$150.00	_	\$150.00
Average		_	\$160.00	\$175.00	\$167.50	High		_	\$150.00	-	\$150.00
High		_	\$160.00	\$175.00	\$175.00	Low		_	\$150.00	-	\$150.00
Low		_	\$160.00	\$175.00	\$160.00	Responses		-	2	_	2
Responses		_	1	1	2	Hauling	ton				
larvest & short haul	acre					Average	ton	_	\$1.83	\$3.00	\$2.42
	acie			\$240.00	\$240.00	High		_	\$2.25	\$3.00	\$3.00
Average		_	_			Low		_	\$1.60	\$3.00	\$1.60
High			-	\$240.00	\$240.00	Responses			3	ψ3.00 1	2
Low		-	-	\$240.00	\$240.00			_	3	ı	2
Responses		_	_	1	1	Plus \$/ton/mile					
larvest only: without						Average		_	\$0.23	\$0.12	\$0.18
uel, no trucks	acre					High		-	\$0.25	\$0.12	\$0.25
Average	0.0.0	_	_	\$0.30	\$0.30	Low		-	\$0.18	\$0.12	\$0.12
High		_	_	\$0.30	\$0.30	Responses		_	3	1	4
Low		_	_	\$0.30	\$0.30						
Responses		_	_	1	1						
•	4										
Short haul: < 5 miles	cwt			ድ ስ ጋ፫	¢ ∩ ጋ፫						
Average		-	-	\$0.25	\$0.25						
High		-	-	\$0.30	\$0.30						
Low		-	-	\$0.20	\$0.20		120000			4	
Responses		-	_	3	3		A STREET	-			



noto: Potato Grower Magazine

Table K. Custom hauling costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	sw	sc	E	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	SW	SC	E	Southern ID avg
Corn silage: < 2 miles Average High Low Responses	ton	\$2.75 \$2.75 \$2.75 1	- - - -	- - - -	\$2.75 \$2.75 \$2.75 1	Sugarbeets Average High Low Responses Manure:	ton mile	\$0.32 \$0.32 \$0.32 1	- - - -	- - - -	\$0.32 \$0.32 \$0.32 1
Corn silage: \$/mile > 2 miles Average High Low Responses	ton mile	\$0.40 \$0.40 \$0.40 1	- - - -	- - - -	\$0.40 \$0.40 \$0.40 1	12-15 ton/ load, < 1 mile Average High Low Responses	load	\$22.00 \$22.00 \$22.00 1	- - - -	\$34.00 \$34.00 \$34.00	\$28.00 \$34.00 \$22.00 2
Grain Average High Low Responses Potatoes: < 2 miles Average High Low Responses	ton mile	\$0.30 \$0.30 \$0.30 1	-	- - - - - \$0.25 \$0.30 \$0.20	\$0.30 \$0.30 \$0.30 1 \$0.25 \$0.30 \$0.20	Manure hauling/ spreading Average High Low Responses	ton mile	- - - -	\$2.00 \$2.00 \$2.00 1	\$3.00 \$4.00 \$2.00 4	\$2.50 \$4.00 \$2.00 5

Table L. Miscellaneous custom operation costs, 2010–2011 (Southern Idaho).

Operation	Unit	sw	sc	E	Southern ID avg	Operation	Unit	sw	sc	E	Southern ID avg
Seed potato cutting	cwt					Beat/shred grain					
Average	OW	_	_	\$1.59	_	stubble					
High		_	_	\$1.80	_		acre			640.00	£40.00
Low				\$1.25		Average		_	_	\$12.00	\$12.00
Responses		_	_	ψ1.23 5	_	High		-	_	\$12.00	\$12.00
Responses		_	_	5	_	Low		-	_	\$12.00	\$12.00
Transload potatoes	cwt					Responses		-	-	1	1
Average		_	_	\$0.15	_						
High		_	_	\$0.15	_	Diking field					
Low		_	_	\$0.15	_	(2 passes) for					
Responses				ψ0.15		flood irrigation	acre				
Responses		_	_	,	_	Average		_	_	\$6.00	\$6.00
Transload potatoes						High		_	_	\$6.00	\$6.00
plus sand machine	ton					Low		_	_	\$6.00	\$6.00
Average	ton		_	\$0.20		Responses		_	_	1	1
		_		\$0.20	_	Пеоропосо					•
High		_	_		_	Set check dikes for					
Low		_	_	\$0.20	_	flood irrigation	check dike				
Responses		_	_	1	-	Average	orioon aino	_	_	\$0.60	\$0.60
l and notatoon into						High				\$0.60	\$0.60
Load potatoes into						Low		_	_	\$0.60	\$0.60
truck	cwt			00.00		=*		_	_	φυ.ου 1	φυ.ου 1
Average		-	_	\$0.08	_	Responses		_	_	I	ı
High		_	_	\$0.08	-	Mow weeds or crop					
Low		_	_	\$0.08	_						
Responses		_	_	1	_	residue	acre			C47.00	647.00
						Average		-	-	\$17.00	\$17.00
						High		-	-	\$17.00	\$17.00
						Low		-	-	\$17.00	\$17.00
						Responses		-	_	1	1

Table M. Northern Idaho rates for custom work and equipment rental, 2010–2011.

Operation	Unit	Avg	Responses	Range
FERTILIZER APPLICATION				
Ory				
Floater (terragator): minimum 25 acres	acre	\$6.25	2	\$6.00-\$6.50
Anhydrous				
Shanked, with aqua	acre	\$5.93	3	\$5.30-\$7.00
Cultivator	acre	\$9.00	2	\$7.50-\$10.50
NH ₃ + dry (Banducator)	acre	\$8.50	1	\$8.50
Aerial .				
0–100 lb	lb	\$8.38	2	\$8.25-\$8.50
> 100 lb	lb	\$8.25	1	\$8.25
+ pesticide	acre	\$9.05	2	\$8.10-\$10.00
Minimum charge	acre	\$7.60	1	\$7.60
CHEMICAL APPLICATION				
Self-propelled ground sprayer				
< 1,000 acres	acre	\$7.25	2	\$6.50-\$8.00
≥ 1,000 acres	acre	\$6.75	2	\$5.50-\$8.00
Own chemicals or < 25 acres	acre	\$8.00	1	\$8.00
No service	acre	\$7.50	1	\$7.50
Full service	acre	\$7.38	2	\$6.75 -\$8.00
Aerial				
Minimum charge	job	\$325	2	\$250-\$400
B gallons	ácre	\$8.34	3	\$7.50-10.00
5 gallons	acre	\$8.70	3	\$8.00-10.00
7 gallons	acre	\$9.00	2	\$8.00-10.00
0 gallons	acre	\$9.38	2	\$8.75-\$10.00
LAND PREPARATION				
Heavy harrow	acre	\$6.50	1	\$6.50
PLANTING				
Direct (no-till) seeding, including fertilizer application				
Fuel supplied by custom operator*	acre	\$30.00	3	\$25.00-\$32.50
*Note: Upper range includes Raven accuboom, GPS.	acic	ψ50.00	3	Ψ20.00-Ψ02.00
Fuel supplied by grower*	acre	\$20.25	8	\$17.00-\$25.00
*Note: Upper range includes GPS, autoboom, or longer travel times.	4010	Ψ20.20	ŭ	Ψ11.00 Ψ20.00
Aerial seeding	acre	\$7.50	1	\$7.50
•	40.0	Ψ1.00		ψ1.00
HARVESTING	1	0000	_	4000
Combining	hour	\$200	1	\$200
Swathing straw (minimum 40 acres)	acre	\$7.00	1	\$7.00
Baling, 3' x 4' (minimum 40 acres) Stacking 3' x 4' bales	ton bale	\$28.00 \$3.00	1	\$28.00 \$3.00
Hauling 3' x 4' bales, 48-bale loads (minimum 30 miles)	loaded mile	\$3.00 \$4.00	1 1	\$3.00 \$4.00
	loaded fille	Ψ4.00	ı	Ψ4.00
EQUIPMENT RENTAL				
Drill (Ag Pro Conservation)		***	_	40.000
Minimum charge	job	\$2,280	1	\$2,280
150–249 acres	acre	\$15.25	1	\$15.25
250–499 acres	acre	\$14.00 \$12.75	1	\$14.00 \$12.75
500–999 acres	acre	\$12.75	1	\$12.75 \$11.00
2 1,000 acres	acre	\$11.00	1	\$11.00
Fertilizer applicators		04.50	4	¢4 F0
Mini-till anhydrous applicator	acre	\$1.50	1	\$1.50
Chisel anhydrous applicator	acre	\$2.50	1	\$2.50
/almar /almar with harrow	acre	\$3.00 \$3.50	1	\$3.00 \$3.50
/aimar with narrow /almar fertilizer machine	acre	\$3.50 \$4.00	1 1	\$3.50 \$4.00
	acre	\$4.00	I	\$4.00
Miscellaneous		00.50	4	#0.50
Weed wiper	acre	\$3.50	1	\$3.50
Spinner spreader	acre	\$3.25	1	\$3.25
Spinner spreader with motor	acre	\$3.75	1	\$3.75 \$2.00
Pull-behind chemical applicator*	acre	\$2.00	1	\$2.00
*Note: Rental may be free, depending on size of order.				

University of Idaho Extension	
Issued in furtherance of cooperative extension work in agriculture and home economics, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooper ment of Agriculture, Charlotte V. Eberlein, Director of University of Idaho Extension, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho 83844. The equal opportunity in education and employment on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, age, disveteran or Vietnam-era veteran, as required by state and federal laws.	University of Idaho provides
Published September 2006. Revised September 2011.	\$7.00