Datum = "given"; The phrase "data of the senses"
refers to that which is given "by" the senses. But what
does this mean?

First, to be given implies to be conscious of what is given - . The data of the senses is perception - sensation and conscious feeling,

The sensorimotor idea is the idea of thorough-going community between some and mous. As substances, rome and mous are given in intuitive form as persistent in time. Therefore, appearances of mour and some must full under the Relation of community at every moment in time, which implies that the continuous succession of appearances in some are connected moment-by-moment to the corresponding succession of appearances in some are connected moment-by-moment to the corresponding succession of appearances in some

However, our consciousness of appearances belows to the domain of the nows. .: The implication above is properly thousant in terms of the veverse: The succession of appearances in now must stand, moment by moment, in community w/ the succession of appearances in some thouser, thowever, we only know the appearances of some through the empirical study of physiology. What we are actually "given" is the succession of appearances in mous. Sense is the idea of the continuity of community in the succession of appearances in mous with the succession of appearances in now. I succession of appearances in now with the succession of appearances in now.

Let us call the Relation of community between mour and roma at a particular moment of time the sensory state

Issue: representations of The soma are understood by as only in terms of objective trine, while representations of The mour are understood in subjective time. Here we have a fundamental "disconnect" between biology and mental physics. I require an objectively valid idea of what the "representation of The soma" is. It requires an enormous amount of mental development to even understand the Romatic representation in biological terms. What my treatise requires is something a representation of the sometic representation that is concluded firmly in terms of Kantian metaphysics.

Perception comes into being at a moment (The moment we say we become conscious of The representation). The second Analogy of Experience, however, necessarily presupposes The continuity of sensible perception. .. There must be a "something" existing between moments of subjective time, and this something belongs to the Modality of the Potential for Perception in pure consciousness. Perhaps it is This "something" of representation that we should call the data of the senses. The biological models can be connected to much physics by this idea of the data of the senses is the substance of the Relation of Sense. (A sense is an idea of composition - Quantity and - and the sense has a degree - Quality)

cluster Cluster (unity) Pure Intuition of Space Time (internal) & externus ture Intuition of Space (External) interior Sensus (plurality) fam Intainen of Architype of Apprehension (Transitive) Fluster (totality) Data of The Senses (Substance) Agreeable. Jensi bility (Determmable) Disagnesable Seusation (Determination) Sensible Degree Perception (Determining Factor) [necessitutal ruter than necessary]

Possible Structure of the Data of the senses

We cannot speak directly of the biological representations because

These are empirical. The Data of the senses is the metaphysical

idea in which biological representation is grounded. ... The

data of the senses belongs to an applied metaphysic which is the common

to both neural science and mental physics.

Agreeable and Disagreeable pertain to the practical Reason and its Objects (book and Evil).